Election of Officers

• Subcommittee Chair
• Subcommittee Vice-Chair
Background

• RSTBG – flexible and covers wide range of projects
• CMAQ – limited project types and geographic reach

• Programs date to the early 1990s (ISTEA)
• MPO oversees allocations and tracks funding
• MPO responsible for selecting projects
Background

• Funding was largely allocated based on population in 1990s

• In 2004, project selection guidelines were adopted to comply with federal regulations

• Methodology borrowed from Hampton Roads PDC; criteria initially developed in 1992 with some revisions before RRTPO adoption
Background

• Program has seen several revisions over the past 16 years
  • Increase years allocated from 3 (TIP) to 6 (SYIP)
  • RideFinders baseline allocation

• Document needs comprehensive review
  • Old organizational references – RAMPO
  • Dated language (accident vs. crash)
  • Criteria almost 30 years old
Background

• Alternative funding opportunities
  • Smart Scale
  • CVTA

• Evolving Transportation Priorities
  • Equity
  • Vision Zero
  • Resilience/Climate Change
Staff Identified Focus Areas

1. Unified Scoring Process
   • LRTP moving toward data-driven, performance-based evaluation for all projects
   • HRTPPO made similar move in 2009 and updated RSTBG highway scoring to match; transit and bike-ped coming
   • Consistent scoring and prioritization across all TPO work
   • Alternatives for studies; programs
Staff Identified Focus Areas

2. Guidelines for Transportation Alternatives (TA)
   • TA is a third source of funding overseen by the MPO
   • Funding for alternatives (mostly bike-ped)
   • No adopted guidance for program
   • Different visions for TA funds from sponsors
   • Include all MPO allocated funds in guidelines
Staff Identified Focus Areas

3. Leveraging Guidance
   • New sources of funding for projects
   • Outside sources larger than MPO funds
   • Guidelines encourage leveraging
   • Define standard leveraging process
Staff Identified Focus Areas

4. Refining Allocation Guidance
   • Order of allocations in guidelines
   • Target balances, general approach not included
   • Consider alternative approaches (as discussed at TAC)
   • Increased transparency
   • Include guidance for transfers, schedule changes
Staff Identified Focus Areas

5. Improve Project Delivery and Tracking
   • Costs average 35% over initial estimate since 2010
   • Planned obligations not consistent with actual schedule
   • Move toward sponsor reporting
   • End Goal: Provide TPO dashboard for public/sponsors
Scope of Update

• Task 1: Screening and Eligibility
  • Program Overviews
  • Project Screening
    • Federal Regulations
    • Cost Estimates
    • Schedules
  • LRTP Consistency Workflow
Scope of Update

• Task 2: Scoring and Selection
  • Scoring for RSTBG/CMAQ Projects
  • Scoring for Studies and Programs
  • TA Project Scoring
  • Prioritization
Scope of Update

• Task 3: Allocations
  • Order of Allocations
  • Balance Entry/Allocation Approach
  • Leveraging
  • Transfers
  • Advancing Projects
  • Cost Overruns
  • Reporting and Tracking
Scope of Update

• Task 4: Adoption
  • Draft Document Prep/Review
  • Subcommittee Recommendation
  • TAC Recommendation
  • Public Review Period (15 days)
  • Policy Board Adoption
## Proposed Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Action Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kick-off; Scope and Schedule</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1: Screening</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2: Scoring/Prioritization</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3: Allocations</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft to Subcommittee</td>
<td>End of February</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcommittee Recommendation</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>Yes, Majority Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC Recommendation</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Review</td>
<td>End of April</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Board Adoption</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>