



Lower Chickahominy Watershed Summit

Creating a Framework for a Watershed Action Strategy

August 18, 2020

Introduction and Background

The five-year strategy developed in 2016 by the Virginia Coastal Zone Management Program (VCZMP) initiated a collaborative planning process across three counties in the Lower Chickahominy watershed (LCW) to identify and establish an overarching vision for land use and land conservation priorities. The effort supports improved coordination between natural resource agencies, local governments, tribal governments, and regional organizations and interests in planning for long-term ecologically sustainable development. This approach will build and strengthen relationships and partnerships among multiple stakeholders across the watershed.

Year three (2018-2019) of the project involved developing and implementing an expanded stakeholder outreach and communication strategy to: (1) further defining stakeholder interests and issues, and (2) developing and refining potential coordinated watershed policies and strategies for maximizing both socio-economic and ecological benefits. The Institute for Engagement & Negotiation (IEN) at the University of Virginia was contracted to support the VCZMP and the Richmond area Regional Planning District Commission (PlanRVA) in conducting outreach to watershed stakeholders. Year four (2019-2020) of the project involves the further identification of key strategies and beginning implementation action items; this includes the Lower Chickahominy Watershed Summit, detailed below.

Originally planned as an in-person event that would allow for broad stakeholder engagement, the Summit was held virtually via videoconference due to the COVID-19 pandemic. 30 participants representing 24 organizations attended the Watershed Summit held on August 18, 2020. Meeting participants were asked to provide ideas and feedback on the strategies that were developed in stakeholder engagement efforts conducted in year three of the LCW project.

Process

Meeting participants were surveyed prior to the Summit and they reviewed and ranked their top three choices of the seven topic areas, based on interest and expertise. Breakout groups were assigned based on the survey results, and participants who had not completed the survey were assigned to groups based on the best approximation by the project team of what topics might be good fit, and which groups needed additional participants.

Meeting participants rotated through three consecutive breakout groups, each focused on one of the seven topics. In each group, the facilitator asked for ideas for strategies to address each topic area, as well as ideas for deliverables and potential partners. In rounds two and three of the breakout groups, participants were able to expand upon the ideas and input generated by the participants from the preceding rounds.

In the final breakout group of the day, participants were assigned to the topic with which they had started the day, to review the ideas provided by other groups and wrap-up any outstanding items. Following the Summit, the LCW project team reviewed and refined the draft strategy. Below is the proposed framework for the Lower Chickahominy Watershed Action Strategy with seven key elements that reflect the prior four years of research, studies, and stakeholder engagement. The Richmond Planning District Commission (Plan RVA) will be working with the project team to move this framework forward in the next year to create a final Watershed Action Strategy.

Proposed Framework for The Lower Chickahominy Watershed Action Strategy

- 1. Create an Ongoing Lower Chickahominy Watershed Partnership**
- 2. Physical Recreational Infrastructure**
- 3. Sustainable Economic Development**
- 4. River Advocacy, Education, and Marketing**
- 5. Land Conservation and Landowner Education**
- 6. Protection of Tribal, Sacred, Cultural, Historic Sites and Traditions**
- 7. Ecological Restoration and Stewardship**

PROPOSED FRAMEWORK FOR LOWER CHICKAHOMINY WATERSHED ACTION STRATEGY

1. Create an Ongoing Lower Chickahominy Watershed Partnership

Key Strategies	Action Steps	Deliverable and Timeline	Potential Partners
<p>Create a joint Lower Chickahominy Watershed Partnership, a forum for Tribes and Local Governments to work together regularly and with predictability through different election cycles, staff, etc. Other groups (nonprofits, businesses, etc.) may join the partnership as needed for specific goals. The partnership may use commissions or committees to help it advance its goals.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct a survey with stakeholders to gauge interest in goals and methods for partnership • Research and identify models for regional coordination on environmental • Use survey results and research to develop a strawman proposal for MOU, other organizational docs • Develop mission statement for partnership • Identify main people/organizations (consider representation in organizational structure) • Develop an outline of objectives or tasks the partnership wishes to accomplish (small group/workgroup assignment if necessary and appropriate) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MOU or Agreement Doc for all members to review/sign following the first meeting in February, 2021. • First year plan of action with assignment of tasks. • Bylaws and other associated organizational docs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: Plan RVA • Land owners • Tribes • Local governments • Business Owners (along the LC/investors) • Colonial Williamsburg, Jamestown, Tourism • State Agencies with land in watershed (DOF, DWR) • Chesapeake Conservancy • Banks/investors • Sports Organizations (paddling, fishing, etc.) • Academic Institutions (VCU, William & Mary, VIMS) • HRPDC & Crater PDC
<p>Creation of a “guidebook” for working relationships between local governments and tribes,</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create organizational chart or social network 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft or final copy of guidebook/directory 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: PlanRVA in cooperation with

<p>including designation of specific Point-of Contact liaisons to handle ongoing long-term relationships, as well as backup POCs. Another item for consideration is the creation of a kind of “hotline” for communication between Tribes and Local Governments.</p>	<p>analysis plus contacts directory</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintenance plan or agreement by June, 2021. 	<p>tribes and local governments.</p>
<p>Develop template agreements for use by the partnership (MOUs/ MOAs).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study examples of similar agreements • Draft agreement for review by all parties • Legal sign-offs by County Attorneys, Tribal Councils. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mutually agreed on template agreement(s) by June, 2021. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: PlanRVA (and their counsel), County and tribal attorneys • VCPC

2. Physical Recreational Infrastructure

Key Strategies	Action Steps	Deliverables and Timeline	Potential Partners
<p>Make recommendations regarding infrastructure improvements that will support and encourage growth of the ecotourism industry in the LCW counties.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create (or update, if one currently exists) an asset map on existing public access infrastructure, along with a gap analysis identifying weaknesses and opportunities for growth or expansion. Identify who would fund the improvements, how, and when. The asset map and gap analysis would be the year-end deliverables. • Improve development, maintenance, and accessibility of foundational recreational infrastructure (i.e. public dock access, rest rooms, ADA compliance, storage spaces for locally owned kayaks, storage for kayak/equipment rental). The year-end deliverable would be to identify funding opportunities for the boating center included in the James City County Master Plan, as the center would address many of these items. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One year to finalize the asset map and gap analysis • 6-9 months to identify funding opportunities for the boating center. • Align with year 5 grant cycle (by Dec 31 2021) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: Plan RVA/CZM • Counties • Department of Wildlife Resources • Kayakers and other outdoor groups • Private businesses
<p>Develop watershed-wide policies/ programs to expand on the Capital Trail by creating spur trails heading north from the existing trail</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engage the existing Virginia Capital Trail Foundation and request a subcommittee for this purpose 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Connect with the Foundation and begin a subcommittee by June 30, 2021. • Complete the economic impact study that would explore the costs and opportunities in this 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: Virginia Capital Trail Foundation, localities, TPOs • National Park Service • VDOT

		expansion by Dec 31, 2021.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DCR • VOF • Running/cycling/hiking groups • Nonprofits + community groups
<p>Develop an app that provides all appropriate ecotourism opportunities and historic landmarks/other educational features.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leverage Vawatertrails.org and www.fishswimplay.com to achieve many of the same components that an app would address (access points, local businesses, etc.) While funding would still be needed for this effort, it would align with investments CZM has already made elsewhere and could likely be substantially underway (if not completed) within a year. • Developing an app could open opportunities not integrated within the Water Trails site, such as trail route options and suggestions for local businesses (i.e. where people could exit the trail and find lodging, etc.). • Add JRA access map? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Input data that can be included within Vawatertrails.org with minimal effort by June 30, 2021. • Complete most (if not all) of the input of information that would be needed (requires funding) by Dec 31, 2021. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: CZM, PlanRVA (data from PDCs and others?) • JRA and localities

3. Sustainable Economic Development

Key Strategies	Action Steps	Deliverables and Timeline	Potential Partners
<p>Develop watershed-wide policies and programs that support using the river as a "soft" economic driver for activities such as ecotourism, ecological tours, fishing, and fish farming</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft language for MOU or zoning change for any or all of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Conservation goals ○ Economic development related to the Capital Trail, make sure it is written out to make it easier on others (to vote on and/or do their work for them). • Guidebook or short history of areas/waterways. • Partnering for public information campaign. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Model guidance recommendations for economic development example ordinance language for conservation easements with light economic development, requirements for open space/buffers in housing communities, and how to request zoning change for properties adjacent Capital Trail for pop-up businesses by December, 2021. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: PlanRVA, local governments, local businesses. • Tribes • USDA - Farm to Table support for locally grown products • National Park Service Capt. John Smith Water Trail • Army Corps • Possibly FWS • NRCS • DCR, DEQ, DWR, VMRC, VDOT, DOF, VASWCD • Historic triangle economic dev org • James River Association • Chesapeake Bay Foundation • Local chamber of commerce

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Partners through RFP announcements
<p>Combine different types of tourism experiences to create larger tourist packages, such as combining winery and estate tours with ecotourism and “paddle and peddle” opportunities. This could also include a local ordinance, for example, if a County wants to encourage small inns, B&B’s and small restaurants along the Capital Trail.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Draft MOU/MOA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workgroup has identified prioritized action list and possible rough draft straw man MOU, by June 30, 2021. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead: Tribes, local govt economic development staff, local chamber of commerce. Historic Societies USDA DOF DHR
<p>Developing watershed-wide programs to educate residents and visitors about how economic development can be undertaken in a way to support ecological integrity and natural resources and to integrate into each county’s comprehensive plan.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comp plan provisions for open space, larger buffers, trails, conservation easement - draft language Joint Use Commission tribes/local Potentially allow tribes to certify individuals in teaching learning materials/gives control to the narrative Guide for pre-colonial contact ecosystem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These activities can be incorporated into the actions and timelines noted above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead: Tribes, local govt planning staff, DOF, Dr. Terry Clower. Jody Keenan -- SVDC programs -- specific efforts through them -- tribal entrepreneurship.

	<p>recreation/demonstration on public lands or tribal interpretive site. Work w/ DOF & tribal historians to make sure right species selected.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Enforceable historic viewshed policy.• Native plant & “historic” plant nursery development.		
--	--	--	--

4. River Advocacy, Education, and Marketing

Key Strategies	Action Steps	Deliverable and Timeline	Potential Partners
<p>Develop a River Corridor Action Plan in Year 5 (2021)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Build summary of all actions that come out of today. If each group identifies top 3 priorities for the year, that creates a clear foundation for a “plan” • In the original set of priorities for the watershed, go back to the specific ideas for the watershed plan, and make sure they’re being covered and addressed. • What should the specific components be in the plan, e.g., education, sustainable economic development, land conservation, etc. (all the pieces of the rest of the larger LWC that are being discussed today). The Lower Chickahominy Watershed Partnership MOU (see first goal, above) will inform the next steps on this front. • Be sure that all stakeholders have been identified and engaged who represent the communities’ interests (river landowners, boaters, fishermen etc.) to identify what people would like to see in the River Plan. Have different work 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overarching plan by Dec 31, 2021 that encompasses all of the actions discussed today, plus the additional actions identified in the emerging priorities • (Would be important to see this all together and identify possible gaps.) • Share draft with all stakeholders engaged and get feedback prior to additional workshop/meeting. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: Plan RVA with other key stakeholders at this Summit. • Connect with National Park Service (Envision the James and Chesapeake Gateways) • VDOT - two districts • DCR - Parks and Rec • DWR • HRPDC • Virginia CZM • CraterPDC

	<p>groups or focus groups that can be called on to provide guidance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During engagement of stakeholders identify potential roles/ responsibilities and if someone is able to take the lead on specific elements of the plan • Create a Friends of Group? How? • What are the roles and responsibilities of the partners in implementing the actions in the plan? 		
<p>Strengthen or augment the existing Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) between VDOT and other state agencies to consider public access when planning bridge restoration work.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review MOU. • Identify who would be helpful to develop and implement the plan? Need engagement from the agencies (two VDOT districts), counties and organizations who are implementing. Need to be sure you have the support of these partners. Have them identify a clear timeline. • Overview of relevant state transportation policy affecting bridge design and access. • Possibility of splitting into two groups: one could be for James City Co through HRPDC, and PlanRVA does it for New Kent and Charles City. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Model MOU(s) by December 31, 2021 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: VDOT as implementing entity for the Richmond and HR District, PlanRVA pulls it together for Charles City/ New Kent, HRPDC pulls it together for James City. • CZM • DWR • DCR • HR + PlanRVA: Planning District Commissions + HRTPO

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Do this more at the local level, for each county (because VDOT works at request of counties)• Questions to be considered: cost considerations may mean that actual implementation needs to wait for appropriations• ***Expand this beyond bridge replacements: Middle Peninsula example of using dead-end roads as access points, where there is staging for access to the river• ***Consider how to present this as a cost-savings to VDOT, where instead of restoring they're able to get away with less costly solutions ... how construction staging areas could easily be turned into river access areas.• The current MOU results in a thorough review of these sites by VDOT, DCR and DWR annually. The MOU lacks a funding mechanism for development of access• In addition to these DWR operates numerous boating access facilities across the state through long term leases of sites from VDOT. There is more of this happening than folks may be aware of.		
--	---	--	--

<p>Develop programs to better educate recreationists about water safety and to enforce existing safety and boating regulations and establish a buoy system for safety.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify and clarify where help is needed to educate about and enforce existing laws. • Can you use a buoy system (Corps) for both regulatory channeling as well as trail system, and creation of something like “bike lanes”? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Winter (Jan-Feb-Mar) 2021: Meeting with VMRC, Coast Guard and localities, and Army Corps - to discuss issue and explore range of options, roles, authorities, and who else might need to be involved; Pull in DWR to identify where they are needed for both enforcement and education. • This big meeting will lay out a plan for both boater education and enforceable policies that may be needed • Consider the creation of an app that could be used for boater safety, or adding information into existing apps, to educate boaters about areas that need to go slow, etc. shoreline erosion (low wake areas) • Add boater ed for the watershed to the existing Virginia Water Trails website and Fishswimplay.com (HRPDC website for water access) • (Carrot) Create a public education campaign to incentivize good behavior. How can we incentivize boaters for good behavior and not just rely 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: VMRC marine police (for tidal waters), by code, DWR is the Virginia boating safety lead agency - Boating Education Section would be a natural tie in for this. • (For buoy system) Army Corps of Engineers and Coast Guard (certified boater training).
---	---	--	---

		<p>on regs and enforcement? (perhaps use Community-Based Social Marketing campaign?) by December, 2021.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Stick) Determine who actually enforces: could be a combination of VMRC, DWR, and local enforcement (gather feedback from them about what resources are needed - more staff?) by December, 2021.• Does technology exist and can buoys help collect information on speed and wakes? Information on shoreline erosion causes (boat wake) may be available through Shoreline Erosion Advisory Service?	
--	--	--	--

5. Land Conservation and Landowner Education

Key Strategies	Action Steps	Deliverable and Timeline	Potential Partners
<p>Enhance public education efforts for Family Legacy and Forest Conservation. Conduct Generation Next landowner education programs emphasizing underserved communities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Target larger landowners for outreach (sort landowner contacts to prioritize) • Identify which partners are already connected to landowners • Contact Green Infrastructure Center to get a project update - opportunity for collaboration? • Contact counties and schedule presentations • County increase land acreage under conservation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion between counties about an MOU between counties on conservation values and the watershed by June 2021. • Prioritized list of landowners by the end of 2021. • Within first year, help two families putting their properties into a trust • Generation Next webinar/in-person seminar • Regional Conservation Partnership Program in place. • ½ of acreage across the watershed under conservation protection (by 2030) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: Planning district commissions. Capital Region Land Conservancy, Virginia Department of Forestry, VCE, West Rock and Enviva (industry), landowners, Sustainable Forestry Initiative. • Colonial Soil and Water Conservation District - partner • State agencies

<p>Develop watershed-wide policies/ programs for improving data on contiguous forest blocks. Increase geospatial mapping and access to data.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Classification of types of forests <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Refine datasets with this info • Manage forests on a landscape scale • Increase subscription opportunities for stakeholders by end of 2021 • Host training session for interested stakeholders by end of 2021 • Ensure the Tribes are able to access and utilize the data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acquire list of interested stakeholders • Funding for map layers secured by December 2021 • Complete mapping layers related to forest types • Complete GIS training for land trust by December 2021 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: Department of Forestry, Virginia Forestry Association, Private industry, Chesapeake Conservancy. • PlanRVA • HRPDC • Crater PDC • Virginia Department of Conservation, Natural Heritage • Chesapeake Conservancy • PlanRVA
---	--	--	---

6. Protection of Tribal, Sacred, Cultural, Historic Sites and Traditions

Key Strategies	Action Steps	Deliverable and Timeline	Potential Partners
<p>Engage stakeholders in how local and tribal history can be integrated into the three county Comprehensive Plans for both ecological significance and business opportunity.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordinate with partners/tribal groups to compile list of specific areas that need protection • Workshop to bring together planning departments, historians, tribes, teachers to develop shared understanding of true history (required PD and additions to lesson plans) • Identify current SOL standards (especially for 4th grade where they teach Virginia history and conduct field trips) related to tribes/tribal history. Bring Dept. of Ed people into workshop • Identify existing data of historical sites/protected areas • Rewrite the history of the counties in the comp plans to reflect the true history. James City is starting their update - need to check status of updates in each county 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of key stakeholders • Summary of workshop with recommendations for curriculum development • Agreement to move forward with curriculum development • Lesson plans but perhaps in 2nd year • Development of history in a way that fits into comp plan language and organization but that also reflects the true tribal and African-American history pre and post European settlement • Territorial acknowledgements written by and with tribal governments, to be placed at public access points. • Plans in place in 2021 for a workshop to be held in 2022. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: PlanRVA, County planners, Tribal leaders, academic administrative, teacher professionals, and students. • Students to beta test curriculum ideas - could be 4-H groups or Boy/Girl Scouts, local 4th grade classrooms • Planning commissions • Boards of Supervisors • County residents. • Secretary of the Commonwealth • W&M School of Education • VSU

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create broad overlay districts for areas of cultural importance 		
<p>Develop watershed-wide policies and programs to systematically integrate tribal and other history into public access points including family-owned fishing industries.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take narratives written for comp plans and student curricula and areas mapped for overlay areas of significance and then develop signage language based on that info • Coordinate with tribal leaders to specify signage language and important information for protecting areas and significance of tribal areas (land acknowledgements) • Use QR codes and signage to create walking tours - listen to a tribal member listen about significance of tribal areas • Development territorial acknowledgments to alert visitors to sacredness of sites, tribal connections, importance of landscapes to tribal citizens today 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pilot kiosk to beta test with variety of potential audiences (with help from graphic artists, social marketers) • Identify potential kiosk sites • GIS map/database of potential walking tour - similar to paddling trail sites - or create a Story Map for website by December 2021. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: PlanRVA, Tribal representatives, environmental educators for mapping and signage, historic communications expert that incorporates tribal history accurately. • Local parks • Virginia CZM • Local historians • Williamsburg/Jamestown site staff to connect with tribal tourism • National Park Service • Preservation Virginia • DCR State Parks, e.g. Machicomoco State Park in Gloucester Co.
<p>Support land acquisition along the Chickahominy River by the</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify areas they'd want to acquire - but 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wish List Map of sites (not public) to be 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: Secretary of Commonwealth (in part

<p>Chickahominy Tribe and CIED, to enable access to the river and space for pow-wows.</p>	<p>need to be discrete so as not to drive up land prices.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify funding sources • Establishing partnerships • In year 2 talk about maintenance of lands acquired 	<p>acquired or at least development of criteria for acquisition that can include ecotourism</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of funding sources • MOU or MOA with agencies and tribes to work together on land acquisition by December, 2021. 	<p>for guidance on whether lands go into federal trust or not), land acquiring state and federal agencies, local land trusts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Forestry • Influential local property owners • Chesapeake Conservancy and NPS • CZM • Department of Wildlife Resources • Virginia Department of Conservation, Natural Heritage
--	---	--	---

7. Ecological Restoration and Stewardship

Key Strategies	Action Steps	Deliverable and Timeline	Potential Partners
<p>Establish policies to better support “living shorelines” for river restoration projects.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish a recurring interagency meeting (DWR, DEQ, DOF, DCR), including local governments • Identify specific priority projects • Sharing a list of people who have completed training on living shorelines thus far (• River-specific targeting plan where living shorelines are most suitable • Living shoreline guidance for forested shorelines, cypress-dominated shorelines 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More detailed shoreline planning to help attain funding/advance action, e.g. site-specific factors like construction access • Identify training program partner • Basic monitoring plan for living shoreline projects by December, 2021. • Invasive and nuisance species management plans for Lower Chickahominy River shorelines (including nutria) by December, 2021. • Promote native plants through CZM’s native plants social marketing campaign. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: VMRC and local wetland boards • CZM, DWR, localities; community leaders in each county to see what would fit best for them. • VDOF - supplement with riparian plantings • DCR Shoreline Erosion Advisory Service • VIMS (CCRM and NERRS) • James City Co. Parks • James River Association
<p>Establish policies that allow for seasonal access where appropriate, including policies that promote low-impact activities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better information, signage, visibility, from associated agencies for localities, about what activities are available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey users to determine land-use conflict areas by June, 2021. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: CZM, DWR, localities; community leaders in each county to see what would fit best for them. • Chickahominy Riverfront Park • Game Farm Marsh Life Area • New Kent Forestry Center • Crawfords State Forest • Department of Forestry • VDOT - to create access near rivers

<p>Establish “no wake” zones to mitigate the erosion on the living shoreline from foot traffic and larger waves.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a central location for the mapping of no-wake zones • Education pamphlet/signage at boat ramps for boaters on why no wake zones are important - safety and erosion • Better signage on shoreline for foot traffic. • Create criteria for no-wake zones, enlist/engage power-boaters in the importance of no-wake zones/shoreline restoration; consider reframing “no wake” to speed limits within certain distance of shoreline 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review criteria that localities use to establish no-wake zones by June, 2021. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lead: Cities, Counties, and Towns apply to DWR https://dwr.virginia.gov/forms-download/BOAT/BOAT-025.pdf • Power Boaters - they contribute a lot of revenue to localities from property taxes on boats, need their buy-in for shoreline restoration (and safety) • DWR Conservation Officers • Local parks, state agencies, or other land management entities for shoreline signage.
---	---	---	--

This project, Task #93, was funded by the Virginia Coastal Zone Management Program led by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality through Grant # NA19NOS4190163 of the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended.