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Overview

- Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) required to be updated every three (3) years following the state’s STIP schedule
- TIP lays out our planned transportation investments over the next four (4) years
- Inclusion in the TIP is required for a project to be eligible for federal funding
- TIP projects are consistent with priorities identified in the Long Range Transportation Plan
Changes to TIP Organization

- Financial plan included earlier in the plan to emphasize constrained nature of the TIP
- Projects organized by system rather than locality or agency
- Completed projects (based on schedule) listed separately so planned obligations are easier to find
- Performance based planning updated to discuss adopted measures, regional performance, and planned investments
Project Prioritization

- Projects were prioritized through the allocation process (Smart Scale, RSTP, CMAQ, TA, etc.)
- Projects were also analyzed against LRTP for consistency with goals/constrained plan
- As part of the LRTP update, staff is working to develop a prioritization methodology for projects
- Eventual goal is consistent prioritization for the LRTP, and future TIPs, and regional allocations projects
## Ungrouped Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Obligations</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$24,213,768</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$57,907,022</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$6,619,523</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-$721,466</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$275,055</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$4,891,252</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transportation</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$64,488,639</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>142</strong></td>
<td><strong>$154,397,835</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Grouped Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouping</th>
<th>Obligations</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction: Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Reconstruction</td>
<td>$14,044,363</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements</td>
<td>$120,470,318</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance: Traffic and Safety Operations</td>
<td>$18,232,749</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance for Bridges</td>
<td>$104,922,106</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance: Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation</td>
<td>$128,026,686</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction: Rail</td>
<td>$2,417,280</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction: Transportation Enhancement/Byway/Non-Traditional</td>
<td>$20,438,783</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$408,552,285</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requested Action

- RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization opens a 45-day comment period for public review and input on the draft Transportation Improvement Program as required by federal regulations [23 CFR § 450.316(a)(1)(i)] and by the Public Participation Plan.

- FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization approves the list of ungrouped projects as the universe of RRTPO TIP projects for air quality conformity analysis as required by federal regulations (23 CFR § 450.326).
Feedback and Questions
Greater RVA Transit Vision Plan: Phase 2

Evaluating High-Frequency Corridors for Near-Term Implementation

Technical Advisory Committee
January 14, 2020
Project Purpose

- Build upon the Greater RVA Transit Vision Plan Phase 1 (2017) and recent transit improvements in the Richmond Region

- Identify recommendations for high-frequency routes that can be implemented in the near-term to advance toward the long-term vision
Analysis Methodology

Screening

- Activity Density
- Employment & Workers
- Environmental Justice & Transit-Dependency
- Existing Network Layout
- Near-Term Development
- Steering Committee Feedback

Phase 1 Corridor Review

Detailed Analysis

- Initial Phase 2 Segment Analysis
  - Potential Ridership
  - Community Resources
  - Pedestrian Facilities
  - Roadway Characteristics

Refined Phase 2 Segment Analysis

- O&M Cost Estimates
- Capital Cost Estimates
- Return on Investment
- Funding Resources

Recommendation Development

WE ARE HERE
Screening Analysis Recap
Screening Analysis

- Evaluated 20 high-frequency routes identified in Greater RVA Transit Vision Plan Phase 1
- Purpose was to determine viability of high frequency service for near-term implementation
- Selected corridors (or corridor segments) were advanced to the detailed analysis phase
Screening Analysis

- Evaluation considered:
  - Activity density
  - Employment and working populations
  - Environmental justice and transit-dependent populations
  - Existing GRTC network layout
  - Potential near-term transit supportive development
  - Steering committee feedback
Screening Analysis Corridors

Legend
- Selected Screening Analysis Corridors
- Phase 1 (2017) Corridors
- Existing GRTC Service
  - Regular
  - Express
  - Pulse BRT

Locations:
- Henrico County Courts
- Reynolds Community College
- Short Pump
- Regency Square
- University of Richmond
- Chesterfield Towne Center
- Chippenham Hospital
- Richmond Airport
- VA Hospital
- John Tyler Community College
- Richmond Airport
Selected Analysis Corridors

Full Phase 1 Corridors:
A. Broad Street – Short Pump
F. Airport via Route 60
G. Jeff Davis South to Chester
T. West End Route 7 – Regency to Azalea

Partial Phase 1 Corridors:
D. Midlothian Turnpike
   (Downtown Richmond to Huguenot Road)
E. West End South
   (Downtown Richmond to Regency Square)
H. Route 1 to Ashland
   (Downtown Richmond to Parham Road)
I. West End Route 6 – Staples Mill/Route 33
   (Midlothian Turnpike to Hungary Road)
J. Glenside to Midlothian
   (University of Richmond to Brook Road)
L. Iron Bridge Road – City to Jeff Davis
   (Laburnum Avenue to Chippenham Parkway)
P. West End and Midlothian
   (Regency Square to Brook Road)
R. West End Route 4 – Pemberton Nuckols
   (Regency Square to Cox Road)
Detailed Analysis

- Further evaluation of corridors identified in the screening phase
- Detailed analysis evaluated:
  - Access to community facilities
  - Walkability
  - Pedestrian network and connectivity
  - Roadway suitability
  - Ridership potential
Community Facilities

- Identified community facilities within ½ mile of route
  - Schools and Educational Facilities
  - Hospitals and Medical Facilities
  - Parks and Recreation Facilities
  - Government Buildings and Services
  - Major Destinations
- Grocery Stores (reviewed but not shown)
Walkability

- Highlighted areas that *might* be desirable to walk in if safe walking conditions are available
  - Based on EPA’s walkability index
Pedestrian Network

- Evaluated existing pedestrian infrastructure
  - Percent of roadway network within ½ mile of route with sidewalk
Pedestrian Connectivity

- Overlap of walkability index score and existing pedestrian infrastructure identifies areas where investment in pedestrian infrastructure may be needed to support connections to transit.
Roadway Suitability

- Reviewed roadway characteristics of routes and identified:
  - One-way streets
  - Two-lane roads
  - Difficult turning radii
  - Unsignalized left-turn movements
  - Turnaround locations
  - Alignment with existing GRTC routes
Ridership Potential

- Existing GRTC ridership and activity density were used to estimate ridership potential on proposed routes
  - Identified TAZs with high, medium, and low activity densities along existing GRTC routes
  - Determined average ridership within each high, medium, and low activity density category
  - Applied average ridership to high, medium, and low activity density TAZs along proposed routes
  - Summarized TAZ ridership along proposed routes
## Potential Ridership Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Ridership Low</th>
<th>Ridership High</th>
<th>Boardings per Mile Low</th>
<th>Boardings per Mile High</th>
<th>Boardings per Trip</th>
<th>Boardings per Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E - West End South</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D - Midlothian Turnpike</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>3,900</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G - Jeff Davis South to Chester</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H - Route 1 to Ashland</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L - Iron Bridge Road Jeff Davis</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F - Airport Via Route 60</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I - West End Route 6 - Staples Mill</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - Broad Street to Short Pump</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T - West End Route 7 - Regency to Azalea</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P - West End and Midlothian</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J - Glenside to Midlothian</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R - West End Route 4 - Pemberton Nuckols</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Blue corridors include Downtown Richmond

Note: Corridor ridership potential is **inclusive of existing ridership**. Therefore, net new ridership in a corridor with existing service would be less than shown in ridership range.
## Corridor Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ridership (daily riders)</th>
<th>Boardings per Mile</th>
<th>Boardings per Trip</th>
<th>Boardings per Hour</th>
<th>Community Facilities (# w/in 0.5 mi)</th>
<th>Connected Ped Areas (% ped facility coverage)</th>
<th>Walkability (average score)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommended Near-Term High-Frequency Corridors

Recommended for Near-Term

A. Broad Street – Short Pump  
(Willow Lawn to Bon Secours Short Pump)
D. Midlothian Turnpike  
(Downtown Richmond to Huguenot Road)
E. West End South  
(Downtown Richmond to Regency Square)
F. Airport via Route 60  
(Downtown Richmond to Richmond Airport)
H. Route 1 to Ashland  
(Downtown Richmond to Parham Road)

Not Recommended for Near-Term

G. Jeff Davis South to Chester  
(Downtown Richmond to John Tyler Community College)
I. West End Route 6 – Staples Mill/Route 33  
(Midlothian Turnpike to Hungary Road)
J. Glenside to Midlothian  
(University of Richmond to Brook Road)
L. Iron Bridge Road – City to Jeff Davis  
(Laburnum Avenue to Chippenham Parkway)
P. West End and Midlothian  
(Regency Square to Brook Road)
R. West End Route 4 – Pemberton/Nuckols  
(Regency Square to Cox Road)
T. West End Route 7 – Regency to Azalea  
(Regency Square to Richmond Henrico Turnpike)
Recommended Near-Term High-Frequency Corridors

Legend

- **Recommended Near-Term High-Frequency Corridors**
- **Selected Screening Analysis Corridors**
- **Phase 1 (2017) Corridors**
Next Steps

- Summarize screening and detailed analysis results in Tech Memo
- Evaluate costs for recommended routes
  - Operating and maintenance cost estimates
  - Capital cost estimates
  - Potential funding sources
- Prioritize corridors for near-term implementation
- Review prioritized results at Steering Committee Meeting #3