Transportation Improvement Program

FFY 2024 - FFY 2027



Notices and Disclaimers

Title VI Notice

RRTPO and PlanRVA fully comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and regulations in all programs and activities. The RRTPO and PlanRVA will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. For more information on meeting accessibility, or to obtain a Title VI Complaint Form, see PlanRVA.org or call the Title VI Coordinator at (804) 323-2033

Aviso del Título VI

RRTPO y PlanRVA cumplen completamente con el Título VI de la Ley de Derechos Civiles de 1964 y los estatutos y reglamentos relacionados en todos los programas y actividades. La RRTPO y el PlanRVA se esforzarán por brindar adaptaciones y servicios razonables para las personas que requieran asistencia especial para participar en esta oportunidad de participación pública. Para obtener más información sobre la accesibilidad a las reuniones o para obtener un Formulario de queja de Título VI, consulte PlanRVA.org o llame al Coordinador del Título VI al (804) 323-2033.

Acknowledgement

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) was prepared by PlanRVA staff in cooperation with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT), Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), and the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), and the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) member jurisdictions and agencies.

Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the analysis of the RRTPO as part of PlanRVA which is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the FHWA, the FTA, the DRPT, the VDOT, or the Board of the RRPDC. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The FHWA, FTA, DRPT, or VDOT acceptance of this report as evidence of the fulfillment of the objectives of this program does not constitute endorsement or approval of the need of any recommended improvements nor does it constitute approval of their location and design or a commitment to fund any improvements. Additional project level environmental impact assessments and/or studies of alternatives may be necessary.

Table of Contents

Introduction	Page 1
Financial Plan	Page 6
Project List	Page 13
Interstate Projects	Page 16
Primary Projects	Page 22
Secondary Projects	Page 27
Urban Projects	Page 34
Enhancement Projects	Page 37
Miscellaneous Projects	Page 38
Public Transportation Projects	Page 41
Rail Projects	Page 51
Project Groups	Page 55
Illustrative Projects	Page 61
Modifications	Page 66
Performance Targets	Page 67
Conformity Assessment	Page 75
Self-Certification	Page 77
Resolution of Approval	Page 78
Public Engagement and Comments	Page 79

Introduction

The Richmond Region

Tri-Cities MPO Study

The Richmond region is the area covered by PlanRVA or Virginia Planning District Commission 15 which is made up of the City of Richmond, the Town of Ashland,

NVGIN IERI RERE DIA PRO NOAA USOS EPI

Exhibit 1: Richmond Region with Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary

and the counties of Charles City, Chesterfield, Goochland, Hanover, Henrico, New Kent, and Powhatan. The Richmond region covers 2,165 square miles, and is located approximately 100 miles south of Washington, D.C. and midway between Atlanta and

Boston.

According to the 2045 Long Range Growth Forecast Analysis prepared for ConnectRVA2045, the region had a population of 1.1 million people as of base year 2017. The Richmond region is forecast to grow by nearly 30%, reaching a total of 1.4 million residents by 2045. The largest gains in actual population are projected in the three largest localities, Chesterfield, Henrico, and the City of Richmond. In percentage terms, New Kent, Goochland, Powhatan, and Hanover counties are expected to see the highest population growth. This regional population will live in 552,000 households, an increase of nearly 125,000 households by 2045.

The City of Richmond is the capital of the Commonwealth of Virginia. Richmond region employers

provide more than 550,000 jobs for area residents. Henrico County remains the region's largest employment center. The region serves as home to Virginia Commonwealth University, the University of Richmond, Virginia Union University, Virginia State University, Randolph Macon College and Reynolds and Brightpoint Community Colleges with a collective enrollment of approximately 76,000 students.

The region's strategic location south of Washington DC and west of the Hampton Roads coastal area positions it to capitalize on the opportunities offered by its geography. The region is well served by the interstate transportation network, with I-95 and I-64 intersecting near its center. Routes 295 and 288 form an outer circular beltway system. Businesses and residents both prosper from the relatively short 24-minute, on average,




Exhibit 2: Map of Regional Activity Centers

sparsely populated rural counties. The 2017 population

work commute. Rail access provides connections to east coast and mid-west markets, and the region is well positioned to capitalize on anticipated increases in freight movement to the Port of Virginia in Hampton Roads.

The region includes both a well-developed urban core surrounded by vibrant older suburban neighborhoods and traditional subdivisions expanding into the more density map shows the City of Richmond with the emerging development pattern of a higher density ring following major thoroughfares extending from the center to meet the outer expressways. The southeastern quadrant of the region is a noticeable departure from this concentric pattern. The James River creates the natural boundary while Route 895 provides a connection between the 1-295 bypass and I-

95 with potential to facilitate more development by 2045. Employment densities following much the same pattern start to merge with population concentrations to define activity centers throughout the region. A mixed-use area where the density of commercial, industrial, and residential land uses is highest is more conducive to a variety of transportation options, including transit. Twenty (20) activity centers, ranging from urban to suburban to small town represent the areas of highest population and employment density within the Richmond region.

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO)

The RRTPO is a policy-making organization made up of local elected officials from each of the region's nine member jurisdictions and state and federal transportation agencies, and area transportation service/system operators. The RRPDC serves as lead staff providing administrative and technical services for the RRTPO. In addition, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) provide additional technical support.

The RRTPO serves as the forum for cooperative regional transportation decision-making. The RRTPO must carry out metropolitan transportation planning in cooperation with the state and transit providers. The RRTPO develops the region's transportation plans and programs, and approves the long-range transportation plan, ConnectRVA 2045, which is a prerequisite for the

allocation of federal-aid highway and transit funds. The development of an efficient and effective multimodal transportation network is essential for the region if it is to sustain a strong economy, clean environment, and high quality of life.

Metropolitan Planning Organizations (or Transportation Planning Organizations) are designated under Section 134 of Title 23, U.S. Code, for maintaining and conducting a "continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive" (3-C) regional transportation process that results in plans and programs consistent with adopted plans for development of the metropolitan area. Census defined urbanized areas of 50,000 or greater in population are designated as "MPOs". The Governor, with the concurrence of area local governments, is charged with designating the MPO's member organizations. The RRTPO is designated as a "Transportation Management Area (TMA)," defined as a metropolitan area with a population of over 200,000, creating additional requirements for transportation planning such as the Congestion Management Process (CMP).

Like many metropolitan areas, the RRTPO encompasses several jurisdictions, each with their own comprehensive plans and transportation programs. In Virginia, planning district commissions, which are established under state code to conduct regional planning, serve as TPO staff for most of Virginia's urbanized areas.

Member Jurisdictions and Partner Agencies

The following jurisdictions are voting members of the RRTPO with the number of votes apportioned according to population indicated in parenthesis:

- Charles City County (1)
- Chesterfield County (4)
- Goochland County (2)
- Hanover County (3)
- Henrico County (4)
- New Kent County (2)
- Powhatan County (2)
- City of Richmond (4)
- Town of Ashland (1)

Partner agencies which also hold one vote include the Capital Region Airport Commission, GRTC Transit System, Richmond Metropolitan Transportation Authority (RMTA), and VDOT (as the Secretary of Transportation's designee). Non-voting members represent other RRTPO committees and partner agencies.

TPO Study Area

Under federal requirements, the study area for the RRTPO must encompass both the existing urbanized area and contiguous area expected to become urbanized during the period covered by the long-range transportation plan. It must also cover areas designated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Clean Air Act as part of the non-attainment / maintenance area for air quality standards.

To ensure that the plan covers all urbanized areas, air quality attainment areas, and areas expected to become urbanized by 2045, the study area has been defined to include:

- Charles City County
- Goochland County
- Hanover County
- Henrico County
- New Kent County
- Powhatan County
- · City of Richmond
- Town of Ashland
- A majority of Chesterfield County

The part of Chesterfield County not included in the RRTPO is contained in the Tri-Cities MPO study area. This includes those areas of Chesterfield County near Hopewell, Colonial Heights, and Petersburg.

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The TIP is the region's collaborative list of transportation investments for a four-year period. This TIP covers federal fiscal years 2024 to 2027 and is in effect starting October 1, 2023. The TIP includes:

- Projects awarded RRTPO's federal funds,
- Projects using federal and state dollars managed by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) or Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) and,

 Regionally significant projects, regardless of funding source, which must be included in the air quality analysis and conformity determination.

Development of the TIP is the region's opportunity to review regionally significant projects and projects awarded federal funding through various competitive processes to ensure they align with the goals and vision for the future in ConnectRVA 2045, the long-range transportation plan. Through the TIP process, the region works to develop consensus on a list of projects to move forward. Once a project is in the TIP, the project or a phase of the project can be authorized in a federal agreement under which the federal government commits to reimburse the state for a share of eligible costs. This commitment is called an obligation.

Projects or project phases are only included in the TIP if full funding for the project is reasonably anticipated to complete the project. To meet this requirement, the TIP includes a financial analysis showing how the planned projects can be undertaken with expected revenues.

After the TIP is approved, the TIP is included in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The STIP includes all TIPs in the state as well as projects to be undertaken outside of MPO boundaries. The approved TIP documents are sent to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for review and acceptance.

Financial Plan

Introduction

Federal law requires the TIP include a financial plan showing how the proposed projects can be reasonably implemented with public and private funds. The revenue projections and project costs in the TIP have been cooperatively developed with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), and Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC). The following tables show expected revenues and obligations for each of the four years covered by the TIP. In addition to construction projects, financial projections have also been made to show revenues for maintaining and operating the region's highway and transit systems during the same 4-year period.

Some projects listed in the TIP may have no planned obligations. These projects are included informational purposes. There are several reasons this may occur and include:

- The project is completed and awaiting final closeout.
- The project is already underway but the next phase will not happen during the four-year window covered by the TIP.

In addition, the TIP includes a section for illustrative projects. These are regional priority projects which cannot be achieved within the expected revenues but would be undertaken if additional funding sources are found. In general, these projects are expected to seek discretionary grant funding to allow for implementation.

The TIP funding summary tables summarize by year and by funding source the revenue amounts estimated and committed for fiscal year 2024 - 2027. The tables include expenditures and estimated revenues expected for each funding source and show that the program is financially constrained by year. The financial summary tables are based on total funds available, which include annual allocations of funds including any state and local matching dollars. These revenue sources are all reasonably expected to be made available and committed to the project phase during the programmed year of the TIP.

Highway Revenues

This section of the plan covers revenues and projects with oversight from the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). This includes funds such as CMAQ and RSTP which are subsequently flexed to transit projects. This section of the financial plan contains four tables summarizing various aspects of financial planning for highway projects. Table 1 shows planned federal obligations for the fiscal years covered by the TIP. This table also includes state match funds and other non-federal contributions to projects.

Table 2 covers conversion of advance construction projects to federal obligations. Advance Construction is an innovative funding mechanism that allows states to proceed with projects without federal obligation authority while maintaining the ability to convert a project to federal obligations in the future. Funds in this table are shown based on the year of conversion to federal obligations.

Table 3 shows planned federal obligations for projects that impact the Richmond area but also serve other metropolitan planning areas (MPOs) or rural areas of the state. In general, these projects are either located Table 1: Federal Obligations, State and Local Matching Funds

along the interstate highway system or are programmatic in nature.

Table 4 shows funding programmed for maintenance of the existing highway system. This table demonstrates the region is adequately maintaining the condition of existing roadways and bridges while planning for capital improvements.

The revenue projections for this section of the plan were developed by VDOT and provided to the MPO.

	FFY :	2024	FFY	2025	FFY	2026	FFY	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
BR	\$12,101,723	\$12,101,723	\$5,250	\$5,250	\$6,512,969	\$6,512,969	\$18,086,017	\$18,086,017
CMAQ	\$6,258,358	\$6,258,358	\$4,460,430	\$4,460,430	\$7,406,386	\$7,406,386	\$3,408,947	\$3,408,947
CRP/F	\$0	\$0	\$3,119,527	\$3,119,527	\$3,543,449	\$3,543,449	\$0	\$0
DEMO	\$215,038	\$215,038	\$0	\$ O	\$0	\$0	\$331,314	\$331,314
EB/MG	(\$1,032,889)	(\$1,032,889)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ O	\$0	\$0
HIP/F	\$1,329,636	\$1,329,636	\$1,691,917	\$1,691,917	\$0	\$ O	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000
HSIP	\$13,018,711	\$13,018,711	\$4,726,541	\$4,726,541	\$2,928,420	\$2,928,420	\$3,558,600	\$3,558,600
NHFP	\$301,599	\$301,599	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP/E	\$835,240	\$835,240	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$3,042,471	\$3,042,471	\$5,235,970	\$5,235,970

NHS/NHP P	\$6,011,068	\$6,011,068	\$9,379,740	\$9,379,740	\$9,481,099	\$9,481,099	\$26,821,412	\$26,821,412
	l *01.01.650	401.014.550	h 1 7 00 4 400	L #17.00 / /00	l		l *** -== 155	
RSTP	\$31,314,550	\$31,314,550	\$17,034,682	\$17,034,682	\$8,868,360	\$8,868,360	\$36,777,455	\$36,777,455
STP/STBG	\$15,316,500	\$15,316,500	\$4,455,675	\$4,455,675	\$4,368,144	\$4,368,144	\$3,876,524	\$3,876,524
TAP	\$3,204,223	\$3,204,223	\$2,096,131	\$2,096,131	\$1,044,432	\$1,044,432	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$96,003,36 0	\$96,003,36 0	\$53,952,969	\$53,952,969	\$47,195,73 0	\$47,195,73 0	\$89,784,23 7	\$89,784,23 7
Non- Federal	\$55,973,607	\$55,973,607	\$31,594,329	\$31,594,329	\$ O	\$ O	\$7,571,369	\$7,571,369
State Match	\$20,935,80 O	\$20,935,80 O	\$11,017,588	\$11,017,588	\$10,880,49 6	\$10,880,49 6	\$21,633,036	\$21,633,036
Subtotal	\$73,102,855	\$73,102,855	\$248,488,38 9	\$248,488,38 9	\$42,515,85 8	\$42,515,85 8	\$55,346,60 9	\$55,346,60 9
Total	\$169,106,21 5	\$169,106,21 5	\$302,441,35 8	\$302,441,35 8	\$89,711,58 8	\$89,711,58 8	\$145,130,84 6	\$145,130,84 6

Table 2: Advance Construction Conversion

	FFY :	2024	FFY 2025		FFY :	2026	FFY	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
CMAQ	\$0	\$0	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$84,801	\$84,801	\$2,594,881	\$2,594,881
HSIP	\$1,200,586	\$1,200,586	\$2,114,596	\$2,114,596	\$0	\$0	\$1,357,060	\$1,357,060
NHFP	\$0	\$0	\$120,066	\$120,066	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHS/NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$11,404,096	\$11,404,096	\$11,421,946	\$11,421,946	\$6,604,430	\$6,604,430
RSTP	\$652,088	\$652,088	\$321,338	\$321,338	\$475,245	\$475,245	\$1,219,840	\$1,219,840
STP/STBG	\$211,757	\$211,757	\$0	\$0	\$6,034,402	\$6,034,402	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$2,064,431	\$2,064,431	\$14,040,096	\$14,040,096	\$18,016,394	\$18,016,394	\$11,776,211	\$11,776,211

Table 3: Statewide or Multiple MPO

	FFY 2024		FFY 2025		FFY 2026		FFY 2027	
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
CMAQ	\$5,229,927	\$5,229,927	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHS/NHPP	\$9,444,326	\$9,444,326	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$14,674,253	\$14,674,253	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Table 4: Maintenance

	FFY :	2024	FFY 2025		FFY	2026	FFY	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
BR	\$354,545	\$354,545	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$1,237,719	\$1,237,719	\$0	\$0
CMAQ	\$0	\$0	\$8,390,000	\$8,390,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHFP	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902
NHPP/E	\$176,284	\$176,284	\$ O	\$O	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHS/NHPP	\$4,802,198	\$4,802,198	\$8,986,794	\$8,986,794	\$6,296,585	\$6,296,585	\$4,360,943	\$4,360,943
STP/STBG	\$44,448,489	\$44,448,489	\$44,629,974	\$44,629,974	\$44,814,544	\$44,814,544	\$45,002,252	\$45,002,252
Subtotal	\$56,648,418	\$56,648,418	\$62,923,670	\$62,923,670	\$53,215,750	\$53,215,750	\$50,230,097	\$50,230,097

Highway Program Descriptions

BR: Provides funding for bridge improvements both on and off the National Highway System (NHS).

CMAQ: Provides flexible funding for congestion reduction and air quality improvement projects and programs; funding only available for areas not meeting federal air quality standards or maintenance areas. Some of these projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with theregional funding framework.

CRP/F: Funding for projects that reduce on-road emissions of climate change causing pollutants.

DEMO: Provides specialized funding to demonstration, priority, pilot, or special interest projects.

EB/MG: Historic program providing minimum guaranteed funding for localities.

HIP/F: Provides funding for restoration, repair, and construction of federal aid eligible roads, bridges, and tunnels.

HSIP: Funds projects to reduce traffic fatalities and serious injuries on public roads; set aside for Railway Highway Crossings Program.

NHFP: Provides funding to improve the movement of freight on the National Highway Freight Network (NHFN).

NHPP/E: Funds dedicated to improving infrastructure condition, safety, congestion reduction, system reliability, or freight movement on the NHS.

NHS/NHPP: Funds projects to construct new facilities on or improve the condition and performance of the National Highway System (NHS).

RSTP: Provides funding for a broad range of capacity, operational, and congestion mitigation related improvements. These projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with the regional funding framework.

STP/STBG: Provides flexible funding for a wide range of eligible projects and programs to address state and local transportation needs.

TAP: Funding dedicated to bicycle and pedestrian facilities through the Surface Transportation Block Grant. Some of these projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with the regional funding framework.

Transit Revenues

This section of the plan covers revenues and projects with oversight from the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (VDRPT) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA). This section includes projects from GRTC and smaller providers offering mobility options for seniors and people with disabilities. Table 5

summarizes projected revenues and obligations by year and funding source.

The revenue projections in this section were developed cooperatively with the Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) and DRPT. For GRTC-directed formula funds, the revenue projections assume 80% federal, 20% local split for preventive maintenance. All other projects assume 28% federal, 68% state, 4% local split consistent with the minor enhancement category for DRPT's Making Efficient and Responsible

<u>Investments in Transit (MERIT)</u> Capital Assistance program.

For the FTA 5310 program, FFY2024 was carried over directly from the FY21 – FY24 TIP. For subsequent years, federal revenue is assumed to be equal to the 2022 apportionment without any escalation. Available state and local match for FY25 – FY27 assume the state's match policies and mix of projects from the FY21 – FY24 TIP will continue (24% operating assistance, 63% capital – non-vehicle, 13% capital – vehicle).

Table 5: Transit Funding

	FFY:	FFY 2024		FFY 2025		2026	FFY 2	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
FTA 5307	\$12,223,289	\$11,235,918	\$12,345,522	\$11,294,774	\$12,468,977	\$11,758,952	\$12,593,667	\$8,885,410
FTA 5310	\$1,417,000	\$1,417,000	\$1,333,618	\$0	\$1,333,618	\$0	\$1,333,618	\$0
FTA 5339	\$1,409,910	\$0	\$1,424,009	\$0	\$1,438,249	\$0	\$1,452,632	\$0
Local	\$2,773,700	\$2,431,632	\$2,829,156	\$2,326,039	\$2,699,247	\$2,392,350	\$2,721,140	\$1,981,844
State	\$17,278,948	\$11,471,230	\$17,700,186	\$11,280,165	\$17,624,692	\$12,407,455	\$17,962,440	\$5,428,853
Total	\$35,102,847	\$26,555,780	\$35,632,491	\$24,900,978	\$35,564,783	\$26,558,757	\$36,063,497	\$16,296,107

Transit Program Descriptions

FTA 5307: Provides funding to public transit systems in large urban areas for capital, planning, job access

projects, and some operating expenses such as ADA paratransit and preventive maintenance.

FTA 5310: Program to assist local transit agencies, governments, and nonprofit groups in meeting needs of seniors and people with disabilities.

FTA 5339: Provides funding to transit agencies and states to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities.

Project List

Introduction

As a short-term plan for the next four years, the core of the TIP is the list of projects to be implemented in the near term. The TIP includes capital and non-capital surface transportation projects, bicycle and pedestrian facilities and other transportation enhancements, Federal Lands Highway projects, and safety projects. Projects that impact the capacity of arterials are considered "regionally significant" and are required to be individually listed in the TIP. By practice, all transit projects are also listed individually in the TIP. The TIP includes projects that receive FHWA or FTA funds, projects that are regionally significant but funded with other funds including state, local, and private sources.

Project Screening

Every project included in the FY24 – FY27 TIP was screened against the goals and objectives of ConnectRVA 2045, the region's long-range transportation plan (LRTP). These goals were developed through a cooperative, iterative process with input from members of the LRTP advisory committee and feedback from the public and were ultimately approved by the RRTPO's policy board. The goals and objectives are summarized below. More information about the development and selection of these goals and objectives can be found here.

- A. **Safety** improve the safety of the transportation system for all people
 - 1. Enhance safety and comfort of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
 - 2. Work to eliminate all serious injuries and fatalities resulting from vehicular crashes.
- B. Environment/Land Use Reduce the negative impact the transportation system has on the natural and built environment.
 - Address roadways prone to flooding and consider climate impacts in transportation planning.
 - Reduce transportation related pollutants, including decarbonizing transportation.
 - Reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita.
 - Increase number and share of trips taken by shared and active transportation modes.
 - Tie land use planning to transportation investments through encouragement of walkable and transit-oriented communities.
 - Minimize impacts of transportation system on natural resources and communities with particular emphasis on Environmental Justice (EJ) populations.
- C. **Equity/Accessibility** Improve equitable access through greater availability of mode choices that are affordable and efficient


- Reduce trip lengths for all people with a focus on Environmental Justice (EJ) populations.
- 2. Increase access to jobs and community services via transit, walking, and biking for all people with a focus on EJ populations.
- D. **Economic Development** Improve connectivity and mobility for strong economic vitality
 - 1. Reduce peak period travel times.
 - 2. Increase transportation investment which focuses on economic vitality.
 - 3. Improve reliability and accessibility of travel to and within the regional activity centers.
 - 4. Reduce freight bottlenecks.
 - 5. Increase multimodal access to tourist destinations.
- E. **Mobility** Increase travel efficiency and mode choices by maintaining the transportation system in a state of good repair
 - Increase the percentage of complete streets across the highway network to maximize use of available capacity.
 - Increase system efficiency through operational, transportation demand management (TDM), and technologybased solutions.
 - Improve system reliability across all modes.

Each project was screened to assess the project's ability to help meet the objectives. This

assessment ensures projects that are implemented advance the region's planning goals.

How to Read a Project

The RRTPO has taken a web-first approach to the TIP and public accessibility. All projects can be found in an interactive format at https://www.rrtpotip.org. These pages include project details, maps (where available), consistency with ConnectRVA goals, planned obligations, and the ability to comment directly on the project. An example of the page format is below.



In this document, projects are also listed in a format that matches that Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

UPC N	NO	101020	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	Added Capacity	No. 27 (1981) 1887	
SYST	EM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	1	OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJ	ECT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 10	(BERMUDA TRIAN	GLE RD TO MEADO	OWVILLE RD)	ADMIN BY	Locally
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: 1.73 mi. W HUNDRED ROAD)		RMUDA HUNDRED	RD) TO: 0.27 mi. E	of Rte. 618 (OLD BE	RMUDA
PROG	RAM NOTE	FFY25, \$1,321,439		FY26, \$1,384,964 G	RVEE DS Prin FFY: ARVEE DS Prin FFY		
ROUT	E/STREET	WEST HNDRD/ME	ADOWVILLE/OLD B	ERMUDA HNDRD/F	TOTAL COST	\$66,061,655	
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW AC	Federal - A	COTHER	\$0	\$7,648,824	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN	Federal - A	CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964
60	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$1,157,140	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN TO	OTAL		\$0	\$1,157,140	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964
CN AC	Federal - A	Federal - AC		\$16,709,865	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - A	COTHER	\$0	\$0	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0
CN AC \$0				\$16,709,865	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0

Project basic information included location, scope and cost

Planned Obligations by Phase, Year, and Fund Source

Advance Construction by Phase and Year (marked with AC)

Interstate Projects

121682

SCOPE

UPC NO

OPCIN	U	121002	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	enng		
SYSTEN	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Goochland County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	I-64/ASHLAND RD	INTERCHANGE IMR			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: I-64 TO: I-64	÷				
Progra	m Note	FFY23 Rollover proj	ect - Project added to	the FFY24 STIP base	ed on FHWA approva	l of STIP Amd #FFY2:	3-06 2/14/23.
		Project is consisten	nt with the metropolita	an TIP.			
ROUTE	STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$360,000
	FUND SOURCE MATCH FY24 FY25					FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			_				
UPC N	0	9756	5 SCOPE	Bridge Replacemen	t w/o Added Capacit	У	
SYSTEN	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	RTE 64 - REPLACE	BRIDGES OVER RTE 1	56 (FED ID 9760 & 97	62)	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: 0.473 MILE	WEST OF ROUTE 156	ΓΟ: 0.475 MILE EAST	OF ROUTE 156 (_)		
PROGE	RAM NOTE	Linked with UPC 9	7566				
ROUTE	STREET	INTERSTATE 64 (00	064)			TOTAL COST	\$35,006,633
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - A	COTHER	\$0	\$16,925	\$0	\$0	\$0
AC							
UPC N	0	107458	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	Added Capacity		
SYSTEN	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	FO
PROJE	CT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 64 -	- MAJOR WIDENING			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: RTE 295 TO:	EXIT 205 (BOTTOM'S	BR) (3.9300 MI)			
PROGR	RAM NOTE	\$2,849,102 GARVEE	4 GARVEE Debt Servio Debt Service Principa pal FFY27. Total GARV	al FFY25, \$2,993,833 (SARVEE Debt Service	Principal FFY26, \$3,1	44,756 GARVEE
ROUTE	STREET	0064				TOTAL COST	\$50,490,434
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0 \$1,280 \$		\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$2,849,102	\$2,993,833	\$3,144,756
	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$2,710,358	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN TO	TAL		\$0	\$0 \$2,710,358 \$2,849,102 \$2,993,833			
CN AC	Federal - A	C	\$0	\$25,651,017	\$0	\$0	\$0

Preliminary Engineering

Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$7,939,005	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN AC	\$0	\$33,590,022	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC N	10	110394	SCOPE						
SYSTE	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE	ECT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 64 -	MAJOR WIDENING	GARVEE DEBT SERV	ICE	ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCR	RIPTION								
PROGRAM NOTE Includes \$11,286,114 GARVEE Debt Service Interest Prev, \$1,384,651 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY24, \$1,247,381 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY25, \$1,103,132 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY26, \$951,577 GARV Service Interest FFY27, \$2,222,194 FFY28-32. Total GARVEE Debt Service Interest \$18,195,049. Corresponding Cl 107458							7 GARVEE Debt		
ROUTE	E/STREET	0064				TOTAL COST	\$43,909,094		
	FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$1,247,381	\$1,103,132	\$951,577		
	Federal - NHS/NHPP		\$0	\$1,384,651	\$0	\$0	\$0		
PE TO	TAL		\$0	\$1,384,651	\$1,247,381	\$1,103,132	\$951,577		
PE AC	Federal - A	С	\$0	\$5,524,284	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO	113844	SCOPE	Traffic Management/Engineering				
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County OVERSIGHT NFO				
PROJECT	I-64 at Parham IMF	}	ADMIN BY Locally				
DESCRIPTION	FROM: .25 miles north of Mayland Drvie TO: Fordson Road (0.2700 MI)						
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$600,000	
FUND SOL	JRCE MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27					FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO		120374	SCOPE	New Construction Roadway				
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT		#I64CIP - I-64WB E	XIT 181 IMPROVE INT	ERCHANGE CONFIG	URATION	ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCRIPTION	NC	FROM: MM 181 TO: 1	OM: MM 181 TO: MM 181					
ROUTE/STR	EET	0064		TOTAL COST \$12,000,0				
	FUND	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federa	al - AC	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	
RW AC	W AC Federal - AC \$0			\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	CN AC Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,000,000	\$0	

UPC NO		120384	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT		#164CIP - I-64 INCF	REASE BUS FREQUEN	ICY- RTE7 / NINE MIL	E ROAD	ADMIN BY	DRPT	
DESCRIPT	ION							
ROUTE/STI	REET	NINE MILE ROAD (0064)			TOTAL COST	\$7,816,397	
FU	JND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC Fe	deral - A	C OTHER	\$0	\$7,816,397	\$(\$(\$0	
UPC NO		120381	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Multi-juris dictional:	: Richmond MPO	OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT		#I64CIP - E/WB EX	PRESS BUS ROUTE-2	2x SHORT PUMP TO	DOWNTOWN	ADMIN BY	DRPT	
DESCRIPT	ION							
ROUTE/ST	REET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$3,017,484	
	FUND	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federa	I - AC OTHER	\$0	\$3,017,484	\$0	\$0	\$0	
UPC NO		111984	SCOPE					
SYSTEM	SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Chesterfield County			У	OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT		#SMART18 - I-95 Au	ıxLanes b/w Rte.288/[Rte.10-GARVEE Debt	Serv	ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCRIPT	ION							

UPC NO		111984	SCOPE				
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	У	OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT		#SMART18 - I-95 Au	ıxLanes b/w Rte.288/I	Rte.10-GARVEE Debt	Serv	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTI	ON						
PROGRAM NOTE Includes \$547,287 GARVEE Debt Service Interest Prev, \$330,386 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY24, \$528,861 GARVEI Debt Service Interest FFY25, \$523,506 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY26, \$488,887 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY27, \$2,800,788 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY25-39. Total GARVEE Debt Service Interest \$5,219,715. Corresponding CN UPC 111466							
ROUTE/STF	REET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$7,597,000
							1 / /
	FUND	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federa		MATCH \$0	FY24 \$0	FY25 \$528,861	FY26 \$523,506	. , ,
PE	Federa CONV	al - AC					FY27
PE TOTAL	Federa CONV	al - AC ERSION	\$0	\$0	\$528,861	\$523,506	FY27 \$488,887

UPC NO)	119673	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering			
SYSTEN	1	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	I-95 at Commerce R	Road Access Study			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: Various TO: \	/arious (_)				
Prograi	m Note	FFY23 Rollover proje	ect to adjust the FFY2	24 STIP based on STIF	P Adj. #FFY23-09 pro	cessed 6/27/23. Proje	ect is
		consistent with the	metropolitan TIP.				
ROUTE	/STREET	I-95 (0095)				TOTAL COST	\$550,000
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
		T		T			
UPC NO		116656	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEN		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE			SPEED LIMITS - PROC	GRAM UPC		ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR		FROM: Various TO: \	/arious				
ROUTE	/STREET	0095	•			TOTAL COST	\$4,912,629
	FUND SOUP		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$4,912,629	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO		116657	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEN		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE			ERING PROGRAM UF			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR		FROM: Various TO: \		<u>, </u>		ADMIN DY	IVDOT
	/STREET	0095	/arious			TOTAL COST	\$5,700,000
ROUTE	FUND SOUP		МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	\$3,700,000 FY27
PE	Federal - Ni		\$0				
PE AC	Federal - AC		\$0				
PE AC	rederai - Ac	COINER	Φ0	\$2,200,000	Φ(7) <u> </u>
UPC NO)	116658	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEN	1	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	#I95CIP GEOFENCE	D EMERGENCY NOT	IFICATIONS - PROGE	RAM UPC	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR		FROM: Various TO: \					
	/STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$200,000
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$200,000	\$0		
FE AC Tederal - AC OTTLER				. ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

UPC N	0	116659	SCOPE	Safety					
SYSTEN	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE	CT	#I95CIP ADVANCE	O WORK ZONE TECH	NOLOGY - PROGRAI	M UPC	ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: Various TO:	Various						
ROUTE	STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$950,000		
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE AC	Federal - A	C OTHER	\$0	\$950,000	\$0	\$	0 \$0		
UPC N	0	116661	SCOPE	Safety					
SYSTEN	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE	CT	#195CIP CORRIDOR	R TECHNOLOGY IMPE	ROVEMENTS - PROG	RAM UPC	ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: Various TO:	ROM: Various TO: Various						
ROUTE	STREET	0095	_		_	TOTAL COST	\$3,202,500		
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE AC	PE AC Federal - AC OTHER				\$(\$	0 \$0		
UPC N			SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o A	Added Capacity				
SYSTEN		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE			- BR REPAIR OVER R	· ' '		ADMIN BY	VDOT		
	RIPTION		F RTE 76 TO: 0.071 M	•	MI)				
	RAM NOTE		based on current allo	ocations/estimate					
ROUTE	STREET	0195				TOTAL COST	\$14,697,630		
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$	0 \$0		
	-								
UPC N		115869	SCOPE	Safety		0) (EDGLOUE	Luca		
SYSTEN		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE			E TECHNOLOGY FOR	OPERATIONS		ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCRIPTION FROM: Various TO: Various							4		
ROUTE/STREET 9999				5) (0) (5, 65	TOTAL COST	\$2,000,000		
			MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - N		\$0	\$913,491	\$0	\$0	\$0		
PE	Federal - A	COTHER	\$0	\$1,086,509	\$0	\$0	\$0		
AC	AC								

UPC NC)	123919	SCOPE	Safety					
SYSTEM	1	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Goochland County OVERSIGHT NFO					
PROJEC	CT	#SMART24 - I-64 AT ASH	SMART24 - I-64 AT ASHLAND RD (RTE 623) INTERCHANGE ADMIN BY VDOT						
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: I-64 TO: ASHLAND	RD (RTE 623) INTER	FERCHANGE (0.2800 MI)					
PROGRA	AM NOTE	TIP AMD - add \$5,374,713	(AC-Other) FFY24 P	E phase; add \$1,274,	,992 (NHPP) FFY27 R	W phase. Approved	12/7/23.		
ROUTE/	STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$75,917,941		
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE AC	Federal - A	AC OTHER	\$0	\$5,374,713	\$0	\$0	\$0		
RW	Federal - N	NHS/NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,274,992		

UPC N	0	123831	SCOPE	Reconstruction w	/ Added Capacity		
SYSTEN	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	New Kent County	′	OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	#SMART24 - I-64 GAP WIDE	NING - SEGMENT B			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: MILEMARKER 215.6 T	O: MILEMARKER 22	4.3 (8.7000 MI)			
PROGRAM NOTE TIP ADJ – Add rollover project to TIP based on prior MPO and FHWA approval of STIP Amd #FFY23-03 11/18/23. Approved 11/6/23.; TIP AMD - move \$23,323,495 (Other: State) from Prev to FFY24 PE phase; add \$1,000,000 (Other: State) FFY25 RV phase; add \$165,935,226 (Other: State), \$46,789,224 (Other: Funds CVTA) & \$7,251,447 (NHPP) FFY25 CN phase. Approved 12/7/23.							
ROUTE	/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$244,299,392
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Other		\$0	\$23,323,495	\$0	\$0	\$0
RW	Other		\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0
CN	Federal - N	NHS/NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$7,251,447	\$0	\$0
Other \$0 \$0 \$212,724,450 \$0						\$0	
CN TO	TAL		\$0	\$0	\$219,975,897	\$0	\$0

МРО	Richmond	ichmond							
UPC NO	122147	SCOPE	Safety						
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Multi-jurisdictional: Richmond MPO OVERSIGHT FO						
PROJECT	#ITTF23 - 288 NB H	HARD SHOULDER	ER RUNNING (HSR) ADMIN BY VDOT						
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 22.62 MM TO: Rte 6								
	FFY23 Rollover pro Project is consiste			TIP based on FHWA	approval of STIP	Amd #FFY23-10 7/03/23.			
ROUTE/STREET	RTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$39,561,735			
FUND SO	URCE	МАТСН	FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27						
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					\$0				

MPO	Richmond							
UPC NO	122805	SCOPE Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity						
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	New Kent County	′	OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	#SMART24 - I-64 (#SMART24 - I-64 GAP WIDENING - SEGMENT A ADMIN BY VDOT						
DESCRIPTION	FROM: MILEMARK	ROM: MILEMARKER 204.9 TO: MILEMARKER 215.6 (10.7000 MI)						
	FFY23 Rollover pro consistent with th	•		TIP based on FHW	A approval of STIP	Amd #FFY23-07 3/03/23. Project is		
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$277,828,078		
FUND SOURCE MATCH FY2			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					\$0			

Primary Projects

UPC NO)	101020	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 10 (E	BERMUDA TRIANGLE	RD TO MEADOWVIL	LE RD)	ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	IPTION	TON FROM: 1.73 mi. W of Rte. 618 (OLD BERMUDA HUNDRED RD) TO: 0.27 mi. E of Rte. 618 (OLD BERMUDA HUNDRED ROAI (2.0000 MI)						
PROGR	GRAM NOTE Includes \$3,601,251 GARVEE DS Prin Prev, \$1,157,140 GARVEE DS Prin FFY24, \$1,260,368 GARVEE DS Prin FFY25, \$1,321,439 GARVEE DS Prin FFY26, \$1,384,964 GARVEE DS Prin FFY27 Total GARVEE DS Prin \$21,468,256. Corresponding DS UPC 110393							
ROUTE,	/STREET	WEST HNDRD/MEA	DOWVILLE/OLD BEF	LE/OLD BERMUDA HNDRD/RVRSBND (0010)			\$66,061,655	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
RW AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$7,648,824	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - AC	CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964	
	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$1,157,140	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN TOT	AL		\$0	\$1,157,140	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964	
CN Federal - AC AC		\$0	\$16,709,865	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Federal - AC OTHER \$0			\$0	\$0	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0	
CN AC			\$0	\$16,709,865	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO		102952	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County OVERSIGHT NFO					
PROJEC	CT	RTE 10 (I-95 - Rt 1) - \	WIDENING	ADMIN BY Locally					
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.087 MI W F	MI W RTE 1(JEFF DAVIS HWY) TO: 0.507 MI E RTE 1 (JEFF DAVIS HWY) (0.5100 MI)						
ROUTE/	STREET	WEST HUNDRED RO	DAD (0010)			TOTAL COST	\$8,996,859		
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - RSTP \$34,222			\$136,888	\$0	\$0	\$0		
CN Federal - AC OTHER			\$0	\$3,711,108	\$0	\$0	\$0		
AC									

UPC NO	104889	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County OVERSIGHT NFO					
PROJECT	RT 10 (Whitepine to	Frith) WIDENING	ADMIN BY Locally					
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 0.787 MI N. o	B7 MI N. of Rte. 288 TO: 1.110 MI S. of Rte. 288 (1.8970 MI)						
PROGRAM NOTE	All funds obligated l	pased on current allo	cations/estimate					
ROUTE/STREET	IRONBRIDGE ROAD	(0010)			TOTAL COST	\$15,171,0		
FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH FY24 FY25			FY26	FY27		
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0								

UPC N	10	110393	SCOPE					
SYSTE	M	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	У	OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT #HB2.FY17 RT 10 (E			ERM TRI TO MEADO	WV) GARVEE DEBT S	ERVICE	ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCI	ESCRIPTION							
PROG	PROGRAM NOTE Includes \$2,973,055 GARVEE DS Int Prev, \$774,993 GARVEE DS Int FFY24, \$758,944 GARVEE DS Int FFY25, \$698,074 GARVEE DS Int FFY26, \$634,246 GARVEE DS Int FFY27, \$2,736,587 GARVEE DS Int FFY28-38. Total GARVEE DS Int \$8,575,899. Corresponding CN UPC 101020							
ROUT	E/STREET	0010				TOTAL COST	\$16,324,630	
	FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$758,944	\$698,074	\$634,246	
	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$774,993	\$0	\$0	\$0	
PE TO	TAL		\$0	\$774,993	\$758,944	\$698,074	\$634,246	
PE AC	PE Federal - AC		\$0	\$4,827,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC N	0	56181	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTE	М	Primary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	CT	RTE 33 - ADD LEFT	TURN LANES AT THE	ADMIN BY	VDOT			
DESCF	RIPTION	FROM: 0.310 MI S R	FROM: 0.310 MI S RTE 623 (ASHLAND RD) TO: 0.285 MI N RTE 623 (ASHLAND RD) (0.5850 MI)					
ROUTE/STREET 0033								
ROUTE	E/STREET	0033				TOTAL COST	\$9,026,104	
ROUTE	STREET FUND SOU		MATCH	FY24	FY25	TOTAL COST FY26	\$9,026,104 FY27	
ROUTE PE	ĺ		MATCH \$0	FY24 \$8,853	FY25 \$0			

UPC NO	118145	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	ering				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	Route 60 Corridor Ir	mprovement Study			ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Winterfield F	Rd/LeGordon Drive TO	D: Old Buckingham F	Road/Woolrdige Rd				
ROUTE/STREET	ROUTE 60 (0060)				TOTAL COST	\$125,000		
FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
		1						
UPC NO	120380	SCOPE	Transit					
	Primary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	#I64CIP - I-64 E/WE	3 - BROAD STREET - S	HORT PUMP BUS SE	RVICE	ADMIN BY	DRPT		
DESCRIPTION								
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$3,744,635		
FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE AC Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$3,744,635	\$0	\$0	\$0		
UPC NO	115534	SCOPE	Other			r		
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	Hopkins/Chippenha	am Interchange Modi	fication Report (IMR)		ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: \	/arious						
ROUTE/STREET	CHIPPENHAM PARI	KWAY (0150)			TOTAL COST	\$500,000		
FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
UPC NO	118470	SCOPE	Safety and Educatio	n of Pedestrians /Bic	yclisits			
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	W Broad St Pedestr	ian and Transit Impro	ovements - Glenside	Dr	ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Glenside Dri	ve TO: Parham Road	(1.7900 MI)					
ROUTE/STREET	WEST BROAD STRE	ET (0250)			TOTAL COST	\$11,951,231		
FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE Federal - RS	TP	\$315,587	\$1,262,348	\$0	\$0	\$0		
RW Federal - RS	TP	\$494,307	\$0	\$0	\$1,977,226	\$0		
CN Federal - RS	TP	\$1,580,353	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,321,411		

UPC NO	111467	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	Chesterfield County OVERSIGHT				
PROJECT	#SMART18 - SB Rt 2	88 to WB US 360 WB	3 Off-Ramp ADMIN BY Locally					
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 0.046 MI. N o	of Route 360 (Hull Str 1.)	O (Hull Street Rd.) TO: 1.135 MI. N. of Route 360 (Hull Street Rd.) (1.0890 MI)					
Program Note	FFY23 Rollover projectionsistent with the	ect to adjust the FFY2 metropolitan TIP.	24 STIP based on STIF	P Adj. #FFY23-09 pro	cessed 6/27/23. Proje	ct is		
ROUTE/STREET	ROUTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$21,860,471		
FUND	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NC)	118147	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	IAR - Route 288 (We	est Creek Area)			ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Broad Street	Road TO: Tuckahoe	Creek Parkway				
ROUTE/	STREET	ROUTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$499,900	
	FUND SOURCE MATCH FY2			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - RSTP \$180 \$720			\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NC)	104890	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM		Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County OVERSIGHT NFO			NFO	
PROJEC	CT	RTE 360 E (Lonas Pk	wy to Castle Rock Ro	le Rock Rd) - WIDENING ADMIN BY				
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.190 Miles West of Bridgewood Rd. TO: 0.029 Miles East of Castle Rock Rd. (0.5610 MI)						
ROUTE/	STREET	HULL STREET ROAD	(0360)			TOTAL COST	\$7,034,227	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	CN Federal - AC OTHER \$0			\$597,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

UPC NO		118144	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	US 360 Superstreets	s Study			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Winterpock	Rd TO: Harbour Point	: Parkway/Mockingbi	rd Lane			
ROUTE/	STREET	US 360 (0360)				TOTAL COST	\$300,000	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
UPC NO		13551	SCOPE	Safety				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	#SMART18 - RTE 360) WIDENING	ADMIN BY			Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.61 MI W RT	E 643 (LEE DAVIS RD) TO: 0.19 MI E RTE 64	43 (LEE DAVIS RD) (0	.8000 MI)		
ROUTE/	STREET	MECHANICSVILLE T	URNPIKE (0360)			TOTAL COST	\$34,744,037	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$73,989	\$0	\$0	\$0	
RW AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$407,325	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$4,712,137	\$0	\$0	\$0	
			_					
UPC NO)	115202	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	Countywide Sidewa	ılk Plan			ADMIN BY	Locally	

FY24

\$0

FY25

\$0

TOTAL COST

FY26

\$0

\$124,935

\$0

FY27

\$0

DESCRIPTION

ROUTE/STREET

FUND SOURCE

FROM: Various TO: Various

MATCH

VARIOUS (9999)

Secondary Projects

UPC NO)	111713	SCOPE	New Construction Roadway			
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	#SMART18 - BBC Ph	1 - Bailey Br Conn., B	Brad McNeer Conn.		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: BRAD MC NE	ER PKWY TO: BAILE				
ROUTE/	ROUTE/STREET BAILEY BRIDGE CONNECTOR (0000)					TOTAL COST	\$26,374,800
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - AC	CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0
RW AC			\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$22,374,800	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	115193	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	Road Diet Study (Ho	opkins, Turner, White	pine)	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: \	/arious					
ROUTE/STREET	HOPKINS, TURNER,	WHITEPINE (0637)			TOTAL COST	\$83,793	
FUND SOUP	FUND SOURCE MATCH FY24 FY25			FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				

UPC NO)	77121	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	RTE 638 - CONSTRU	CT LTL & ADD SB RE	ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.2 MILE SOL	FROM: 0.2 MILE SOUTH OF ROUTE 301 TO: INTERSECTION ROUTE 301 (0.2000 MI)					
ROUTE/	STREET	ATLEE ROAD (0638)				TOTAL COST	\$2,588,517	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	CN Federal - CMAQ		\$4,906	\$19,622	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	CN Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$160,430	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

UPC NO		115195	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	Atlee Station Rd Wid	dening (Phase 2)			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: RTE 1860 (Ca	ROM: RTE 1860 (Castle Tower Rd) TO: RTE 1255 (Warren Ave) (2.0700 MI)					
ROUTE/	STREET	ATLEE STATION ROA	AD (0637)			TOTAL COST	\$31,529,239	
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
RW	Federal - RS	STP	\$411,144	\$0	\$1,644,576	\$0	\$0	
RW	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$4,421,280	\$0	\$0	
AC								
CN Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,542,708		
AC								

UPC N	0	109988	SCOPE	Bridge Replacement w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	М	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	:CT	#SGR21VB -RT 715 -	BR ONLY OVER NEV	WFOUND RIVER (Fed	(FOUND RIVER (Fed 9578) ADMIN BY			
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: 0.80 Miles North of Rte 781 TO: 0.90 Miles North of Rte 781 (0.0730 MI)						
Progra	m Note	FFY23 Rollover proj	ect to adjust the FFY	24 STIP based on ST	P Adj. #FFY23-08 pro	ocessed 6/01/23. Proje	ect is	
		consistent with the	metropolitan TIP.					
ROUTE	STREET	BEAVER DAM ROA	D (0715)			TOTAL COST	\$1,722,235	
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO		81667	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County	Hanover County OVERSIGHT NFC			
PROJECT	Γ	RTE 615 (CREIGHTC	N RD) - ROUNDABO	IDABOUT ADMIN BY Locally				
DESCRIP	TION	FROM: COLD HARBOR RD - FR 0.16 MI N CREIGHTON RD TO 0.08 MI S TO: CREIGHTON RD - FR 0.12 MI W COLD HARBOR RD TO 0.07 MI E (0.2000 MI)						
ROUTE/S	STREET	CREIGHTON ROAD	& COLD HARBOR RO	DAD (0615)		TOTAL COST	\$7,599,275	
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO		109260	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	POLE GREEN RD W	IDENING			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Bell Creek Ro	oad TO: Rural Point R	oad (1.6300 MI)				
ROUTE/	STREET	POLE GREEN ROAD	(0627)			TOTAL COST	\$20,506,116	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
RW	Federal - RS	TP	\$932,365	\$3,729,460	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - RSTP		\$1,365,726	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,462,905	
CN	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,571,369	
AC								

UPC NC		104957	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	SYSTEM Secondary		JURISDICTION	Hanover County	Hanover County		NFO	
PROJECT #HB2.FY17 RTE 656 -			SLIDING HILL ROAD CORRIDOR			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRIPTION FROM: 0.35 MI W RT			E 1265 (TOTOPOTOM	IOY TRAIL) TO: 0.676 I	MI E RTE 1265 (TOTOF	POTOMOY TRAIL) (1.0	300 MI)	
ROUTE/	STREET	SLIDING HILL ROAD	(0656)			TOTAL COST	\$10,248,817	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$569,190	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$2,747,306	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

UPC NO)	50528	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity			
SYSTEM	SYSTEM Secondary		JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT THREE CHOPT ROA			D - WIDENING - PE C	DNLY		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: BARRINGTO	N HILL DRIVE TO: GA	SKINS ROAD (1.5000	MI)		
ROUTE/	ROUTE/STREET 9999					TOTAL COST	\$2,933,000
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - RS	TP	\$14,000	\$56,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO		60933	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	RTE 9999 - DABBS H	HOUSE RD; RECONST	RUCTION		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRIPTION FROM: INT. DABBS I (0.8920 MI)			HOUSE ROAD AND N	INE MILE ROAD TO:	0.075 MI. N OF INT. D	ABBS HOUSE RD & E	RICHMOND RD	
ROUTE/	STREET	DABBS HOUSE ROA	AD (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$13,444,064	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - RS	TP	(\$107,936)	(\$431,742)	\$0	\$0	\$0	
RW	V Federal - RSTP		\$8,816	\$35,262	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	CN Federal - RSTP		\$99,120	\$396,480	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$154,321	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO)	118150	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles				
SYSTEN	SYSTEM Secondary JURISDICTION Henrico County				OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE	СТ	Nuckols Road Pedes	strian Improvements			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: Springfield R	load TO: Capital One	way				
ROUTE	ROUTE/STREET NUCKOLS RD (0157)					TOTAL COST	\$2,160,000	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - CN	1AQ	\$66,400	\$265,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	
RW	/ Federal - CMAQ		\$164,800	\$0	\$0	\$659,200	\$0	
CN	·		\$200,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$803,200	

UPC NO	115001	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	Parham Road/Hung	ary Road Bicycle and	d Pedestrian Study ADMIN BY Locally			Locally	
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Parham Roa	d - Various TO: Hung	ary Road - Various				
ROUTE/STREET PARHAM ROAD (999		99)			TOTAL COST	\$250,000	
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO		111716	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	#SMARTI8 - RICHMO	OND-HENRICO TURN	NPIKE SOUTH SGM	Т	ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: LABURNUM	AVENUE TO: HUMMI	NGBIRD RD (1.1490 N	4 I)			
PROGR	AM NOTE	Linked to UPC 110911						
ROUTE	STREET	RICHMOND-HENRIC	CO TURNPIKE (7609)			TOTAL COST	\$29,678,850	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - ST	P/STBG	\$0	\$92,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	
RW	Federal - ST	P/STBG	\$0	\$1,732,358	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - STP/STBG		\$0	\$2,200,719	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$21,252,031	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

UPC N	0	104880	104880 SCOPE Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles					
SYSTEM	М	Secondary	Secondary JURISDICTION Henrico County			OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	CT	RIDGEFIELD PKW\	' - CONSTRUCT SIDE'	ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: PUMP RD T	O: FALCONBRIDGE [
ROUTE	STREET	RIDGEFIELD PARK	WAY (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$1,172,000	
	FUND SOL	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	CN Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$1,999	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

UPC N	0	60934	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTE	М	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	:CT	RTE 9999 - SADLER RD; WIDEN & RECONSTRUCT; FED ESCROW PROJ					Locally	
DESCR	ESCRIPTION FROM: 0.005 MI WEST OF DOMINION BOULEVARD TO: 0.030 MI EAST OF CEDAR					FOREST ROAD (1.88	30 MI)	
ROUTE	STREET	SADLER ROAD (999	99)			TOTAL COST	\$3,500,000	
	FUND SOURCE MATCH			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - STP/STBG		\$29,656	\$118,623	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO)	50529	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity			
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	CT	RTE 9999 (THREE CI	HOPT ROAD) WIDEN	TO 4 LANES		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 1.076 Mi W IN	NT. COX ROAD TO: 0.241 MI E INT. GASKINS ROAD (2.1740 MI)					
ROUTE	/STREET	THREE CHOPT ROA	D (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$30,818,528	
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
RW	Federal - RS	TP	\$728,699	\$2,914,796	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - RS	TP	\$2,700,499	\$0	\$10,801,994	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$9,903,733	\$0	\$0	
UPC NO 101246			SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEN	SYSTEM Secondary		JURISDICTION	Powhatan County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	PROJECT ROUTE 681 - BRIDG		E REPAIR (SCOUR) O	VER APPOMATTOX F	RIVER	ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCR	IPTION	Rte 681 Over Appom	nattox River (VA Str 6	910) (0.2700 MI)				
ROUTE,	/STREET	CLEMENTON ROAD	(0681)			TOTAL COST	\$2,036,495	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	Federal - BF	}	\$0	\$90,135	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federal - AC	COTHER	\$0	\$557,778	\$0	\$0	\$0	
UPC NO)	112974	SCOPE	New Construction R	loadway			
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	•	OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	CT	RTE 668 (WOOLRID	GE ROAD, RT 288-OL	D HUNDRED ROAD)	EXTENSION	ADMIN BY	LOCALLY	
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 0.299 MI Sou	th of Rte 652 TO: 0.43	35 MI North of Rte 288	8			
ROUTE	/STREET	Woolridge Road (Rt	e 668)			TOTAL COST		
MPO N	OTE	Project is non-federa	l; Funded via CVTA, st	d via CVTA, state Revenue Sharing; Included for conformity; CN com			expected in 2025	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO	HEN0001	SCOPE	New Construction R	oadway			
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County OVERSIGHT NFO				
PROJECT Magellan Parkway Extension					ADMIN BY	LOCALLY	
DESCRIPTION From: Green City, To: Magellan Parkway Bridge ove							
ROUTE/STREET	Magellan Parkway				TOTAL COST	\$14,400,000	
MPO NOTE	Project is non-federa	al; Locally funded; Incl	uded for conformity (only; CN completion e	expected in 2024		
FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
			I				

UPC NO	HEN0002	SCOPE	New Construction Bridge				
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County OVERSIGHT NFO			NFO	
PROJECT	Magellan Parkway E	Bridge over I-95	ADMIN BY LOCALLY				
DESCRIPTION From: Magellan Pkwy Extension, To: Magellan Pkwy (Retreat @ One)							
ROUTE/STREET	Magellan Parkway				TOTAL COST	\$18,616,000	
MPO NOTE	Project is non-federa	al; CVTA funded; Inclu	; CVTA funded; Included for conformity; CN completion expected in 2025				
FUND SOUP	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO		T29562	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles				
SYSTEM		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	Т	Multimodal Improv	ements on S. Laburn	ADMIN BY	LOCALLY			
DESCRIP	PTION	From: Thornhurst Street To: Gay Avenue						
ROUTE/S	STREET	S. Laburnum Avent	ue (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$18,616,000	
MPO NO	TE	TIP AMD – add \$1,70)3,335 (AC-Other) FF\	/24				
	FUND SC	FUND SOURCE MATCH		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federal – AC Other \$0		\$1,703,335	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Urban Projects

UPC NO	C NO 121681 SCOPE Bridge Replacement w/o Added Capacity			у					
SYSTEM		Urban	JURISDICTION	Henrico County	Henrico County		NFO		
PROJECT #BF- RTE 0 MEADOW I			W RD (FED ID 9652) (/ RD (FED ID 9652) CR			Locally		
DESCRIPTION	NC	FROM: 2.15 miles TO	GRAPEVINE RD TO:	0.15 miles TO 60					
			•	: - Project added to the FFY24 STIP based on FHWA approval of STIP Amd #FFY23-06 2/14/23. vith the metropolitan TIP.					
ROUTE/STR	REET	MEADOW ROAD (00	EADOW ROAD (0000)			TOTAL COST	\$2,181,266		
	FUND S	OURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
RW	Federal	- BR	\$0	\$0	\$5,250	\$0	\$0		
CN	CN Federal - BR		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,746,556	\$0		
CN AC	Federal	- AC OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$186,500	\$0		

UPC NO		15958	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT		
PROJEC	CT	COMMERCE ROAD	- WIDENING			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: BELLS ROAD	(SOUTH JUNCTION)	TO: 0.13 Mile N. of BE	ELLEMEADE ROAD (1.	8780 MI)		
ROUTE/	STREET	COMMERCE ROAD				TOTAL COST	\$36,272,153	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - RS	TP	\$100,159	\$400,635	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - NH	IS/NHPP	\$0	\$3,290,346	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Federal - RS	TP	\$438,514	\$1,754,054	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Federal - STP/STBG		\$234,321	\$937,283	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN TOTA	AL		\$672,834	\$5,981,683	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$20,934,577	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

UPC NO	19036	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity			
SYSTEM	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	FOREST HILL AVEN	JE IMPROVEMENTS	E IMPROVEMENTS			Locally
DESCRIPTION	FROM: HATHAWAY	RD TO: POWHITE PA	D TO: POWHITE PARKWAY (0.7400 MI)			
ROUTE/STREET	FOREST HILL AVEN	JE (U000)			TOTAL COST	\$14,189,886
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO 19035			SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o	Added Capacity		
SYSTEM	YSTEM Urban JURISDICTION Richmond				OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	JAHNKE ROAD - 2 L	ANE IMPROVEMENTS	S		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: BLAKEMORE	RD TO: FOREST HIL	L AVE (1.6000 MI)			
ROUTE/	STREET	JAHNKE ROAD (UOC)O)			TOTAL COST	\$22,015,668
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - RS	TP	\$82,000	\$328,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
RW	Federal - RS	TP	\$30,000	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN	Federal - CM	1AQ	\$216,381	\$865,522	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - RS	TP	\$1,279,354	\$5,117,417	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG		\$170,000	\$680,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN TOTAL		\$1,665,735	\$6,662,939	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$9,126,994	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO		104887	SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	TEM Urban JURISDICTION Richmond			OVERSIGHT	NFO			
PROJEC	ROJECT RTE 60 - BRIDGE REHABILITATION					ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	AT RTE 161 (BELT BL'	VD) (0.1900 MI)					
ROUTE/	STREET	MIDLOTHIAN TURN	PIKE (0060)			TOTAL COST	\$2,010,348	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	Federal - RSTP		\$0	\$411,882	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	CN Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$85,589	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

UPC NO		15955	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o	Added Capacity		
SYSTEM	1	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	ROJECT RTE 1 - INTERSECTION IMPROV			MPROVEMENTS AT HOPKINS RD & HARWOOD ST			VDOT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.008 MI S C	HESTERMAN AVE TO): 0.435 MI N CHESTE	RMAN AVE (0.4430 N	11)	
ROUTE/	STREET	RICHMOND HWY (0	001)			TOTAL COST	\$15,494,494
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - ST	P/STBG	\$130,746	\$522,985	\$0	\$0	\$0
RW	V Federal - CMAQ		(\$38,534)	(\$154,134)	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - EB	s/MG	(\$258,222)	(\$1,032,889)	\$0	\$0	\$0
RW TOT	ΓAL		(\$296,756)	(\$1,187,023)	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO		115222	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	1	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	Park-N-Ride Bus Pu	rchase	ADMIN BY Locally				
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: V	FROM: Various TO: Various					
ROUTE/	STREET	VARIOUS (9999)				TOTAL COST	\$90,335	
	FUND SOURCE MATCH		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	Federal - CMAQ \$18,00		\$18,067	\$72,268	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO		T29775	SCOPE	Safety				
SYSTEM		Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond OVERSIGHT NFO				
PROJECT		RICHMOND SAFE S	TREETS 4 ALL TRAFF	IC SAFETY AND OPE	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION FROM: CITYWIDE (MULTIPLE LOCATIONS) TO: CITYWIDE (MULTIPLE LOCATIONS)								
PROGRAM	PROGRAM NOTE TIP AMD - add \$1		762,516 (Other Funds	s) FFY24 PE phase;	add \$9,006,394 (Ot	her Funds) FFY25 C	CN phase. Approved	
		9/5/2024.						
ROUTE/ST	REET	CITYWIDE (MULTIPI	LE LOCATIONS) (9999	9)		TOTAL COST	\$13,461,137	
	FUND SOUI	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Other		\$440,629	\$1,762,516	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Other		\$2,251,599	\$0	\$9,006,394	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO		T29776	SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM		Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	-	ARTHUR ASHE BL\	/D. BRIDGE REPLACE	MENT OVER CSX R	AILROAD	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIP	TION								
PROGRA	M NOTE	TIP AMD - add \$1,3 9/5/24	TIP AMD - add \$1,360,000 (Other Funds) FFY25 PE phase; add \$17,040,000 (Other Funds) FFY27 CN phase. Approved 9/5/24						
ROUTE/S	TREET	ARTHUR ASHE BL\	/D OVER CSX (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$23,000,000		
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Other		\$340,000	\$0	\$1,360,000	\$0	\$0		
CN	CN Other		\$4,260,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$17,040,000		

Enhancement Projects

UPC NO		107535 SCOPE Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles					
SYSTEM	Enhancement JURISDICTION Henrico County				OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	DOREY PARK - SHARED-USE PATH - TAP					ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 1.0 mi S of the	e int of Darbytown R	d and Dorey Pk TO: 0	.1 mi S of the int of D	arbytown Rd and Do	rey Pk
ROUTE/	STREET	DOREY PARK DRIVE	(EN15)			TOTAL COST	\$364,863
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$17,315	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN			\$0	\$13,798	\$0	\$0	\$0
AC							

UPC NO	107515	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles					
SYSTEM	Enhancement	JURISDICTION	Richmond OVERSIGHT NFO					
PROJECT	CARNATION STREET	ION STREET - ADD SIDEWALK - TAP ADMIN BY Locally						
DESCRIPTION	FROM: RTE 60 (MIDLOTHIAN TPKE) TO: HIOAKS RD							
PROGRAM NOTE	All funds obligated I	oased on current allo	cations/estimate					
ROUTE/STREET	CARNATION STREE	Γ (EN15)			TOTAL COST	\$591,991		
FUND SOU	FUND SOURCE MATCH			FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO)	110968	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles				
SYSTEM		Enhancement	JURISDICTION	Henrico County	NFO			
PROJEC	T	FOUR MILE CREEK	UR MILE CREEK VCT IMPROVEMENTS ADMIN BY Loc					
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.1 mi E of Farmer's Circle Drive TO: 0.1 mi W of Farmer's Circle Drive (0.2000 MI)						
ROUTE/	STREET	EN17				TOTAL COST	\$1,234,975	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	CN Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$387,242	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

Miscellaneous Projects

UPC NO	103393	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestr	rians and Bicycles		
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Ashland		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	ASHLAND TROLLEY	LINE TRAIL			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION	FROM: MAPLE ST EX	KTENSION TO: TROLL	EY LINE TRAIL PARK	(0.2700 MI)		
ROUTE/STREET	0000				TOTAL COST	\$1,710,156
FUND SOUI	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO	T23339	SCOPE				
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	PE for Parking Lots				ADMIN BY	DRPT
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: \	/arious				
ROUTE/STREET	0000				TOTAL COST	\$507,318
FUND SOUI	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC Federal - AC	COTHER	\$0	\$99,999	\$0	\$0	\$0
		1	T			
UPC NO	T206	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	Alternative Fuel Tro	lley Vehicle Replacen	nent		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION						
ROUTE/STREET	GREATER RICHMON	ND TRANSIT COMPA	VY (0000)		TOTAL COST	\$180,000
FUND SOUI	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			T			
UPC NO	109193	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT CRAC CNG BUS PURCHASE					ADMIN BY	Other
DESCRIPTION	FROM: various TO: v	arious				
ROUTE/STREET 9999					TOTAL COST	\$140,150
· ·						
FUND SOUI	RCE	MATCH \$28,000	FY24 \$112,000	FY25 \$0	FY26 \$0	FY27 \$0

UPC NO)	8635'	7 SCOPE	Other					
SYSTEN	Л	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Multi-jurisdictional:	Richmond MPO	OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE	СТ	RAMPO Travel Den	nand Modeling On-C	all Consultant Suppo	rt	ADMIN BY	Other		
DESCR	IPTION								
ROUTE	/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$1,443,550		
	FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
			\$0	\$(\$(\$(\$0		
UPC NO		115815	SCOPE	Other					
SYSTEN		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE		I-64 Express Barge	·			ADMIN BY	Other		
DESCR		FROM: RMT TO: RMT (_)							
ROUTE	STREET				TOTAL COST	\$3,000,000			
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
CN Federal - CMAQ			\$600,000	\$2,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
UPC NO 118143			SCOPE	Preliminary Engine	erina				
SYSTEN		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond District-		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE		RRTPO Scenario Pl				ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: Various TO:					3		
ROUTE	/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$243,550		
	FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - R	STP	\$48,710	\$194,840	\$0	\$0	\$0		
UPC N		T20741	SCOPE						
SYSTEN		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE		VEHICLE FUEL CON	NVERSION PROGRAM	MARKETING		ADMIN BY	Other		
DESCRIPTION									
ROUTE/STREET 9999						TOTAL COST	\$360,000		
	FUND SOU		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - C	MAQ	\$72,000	\$288,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO	T11802	SCOPE	Other			
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	FO
PROJECT	Vehicle Fuel Conve	ersion Program			ADMIN BY	Other
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 1 TO: 1					
ROUTE/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$8,640,000
FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN Federal - C	MAQ	\$1,235,482	\$4,941,927	\$0	\$(\$0
UPC NO	T41	SCOPE	Transit			_
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	Renovation of Tran	sportation Facility			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTION						
ROUTE/STREET GREATER RICHMOND TRANSIT COMPANY (Mtch)				TOTAL COST	\$3,786,000	
FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$(\$0
UPC NO	T203	SCOPE	Transit			_
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	Regionwide Air Po	llution Reduction Pro	gram - Ridefinders		ADMIN BY	DRPT
DESCRIPTION	Regionwide Air Po	llution Reduction Pro	gram			
ROUTE/STREET	COMPOOL INC.(RI	DEFINDERS) (RAPR)			TOTAL COST	\$17,688,219
FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE Federal - C	MAQ	\$201,800	\$407,200	\$400,000	\$0	\$0
UPC NO	113832	SCOPE	Other			
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	Richmond Marine	Terminal (RMT) - Drop	Lot		ADMIN BY	Other
DESCRIPTION	FROM: various TO:	various				
PROGRAM NOTE	All funds obligated	based on current allo	cations/estimate. Lir	nked to UPCs 122142,	122142 & 122143.	
ROUTE/STREET	RMT TERMINAL (U	000)			TOTAL COST	\$2,764,062
FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC N	VO	124309	SCOPE	Other			
SYSTE	EM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJ	ECT	Transforming Rai	l in Virginia / VPRA			ADMIN BY	DRPT
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: DC Rte 46	, Rte 47, Rte 50 adr	n Rte 151 TO: Roanol	ke, Newport News,	Norfolk and Richm	iond
PROC NOTE				,296,138 (AC-CM) & :Y26, \$1,796,014 (AC		: State) FFY24, add	\$19,197,761 (ACC-
ROUT	E/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$274,074,889
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - A	AC CONVERSION	\$10,824,035	\$0	\$19,197,761	\$22,302,363	\$1,796,014
	Federal - 0	CMAQ	\$20,475,252	\$81,901,009	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Other		\$0	\$117,578,455	\$0	\$0	\$0
PETC	PE TOTAL		\$31,299,287	\$199,479,464	\$19,197,761	\$22,302,363	\$1,796,014
PE AC	Federal - A	AC .	\$10,824,035	\$43,296,138	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO		T29563	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Henrico County OVERSIGHT NFO			NFO	
PROJECT	•	Henrico County C	Community Chargir	ng Network ADMIN BY DRPT				
DESCRIP [*]	TION	From: Various To:	m: Various To: Various					
PROGRAM NOTE TIP AMD - add \$363,200 (AC-Other) FFY24 PE Phase; add \$1,452,800 (AC-Other) FFY25 CN Phase					nase			
ROUTE/S	TREET	County Wide (Mu	Itiple Locations) (99	999)		TOTAL COST	\$1,816,000	
	FUND:	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federa	Federal - AC Other \$0		\$363,200	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federa	l – AC Other	er \$0 \$0 \$1,452,800 \$0 \$0			\$0		

Public Transportation Projects

UPC NO	T2131	SCOPE	Transit					
SYSTEM	Public	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
	Transportation							
PROJECT	Expansion of Bus Se	of Bus Service ADMIN BY VDOT						
DESCRIPTION	Expansion of Bus Se	Expansion of Bus Service						
ROUTE/STREET	0000				TOTAL COST	\$2,595,508		
FUND SOURCE MATCH			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					

UPC NO)	T20113	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	СТ	GRTC REPLACEMEN	OF ROLLING STOCK CNG BUSES (FY22) ADMIN BY DRPT					
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: N/A TO: N/A						
ROUTE,	/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST		\$2,550,420
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	Federal - CN	/AQ	\$139,630	\$558,520	\$0	\$0		\$0

STIP ID	GRTC003	SCOPE	Transit							
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company							
PROJECT	Preventive Maintena	reventive Maintenance ADMIN BY GRTC								
MPO NOTES	TIP AMD: Reduce FTA 5307 by \$2,650,000 and local by \$662,500 in FY24. Reduce total to \$29,937,500. Approved 11/6/23. TIP ADJ: Increase FTA 5307 to 5,500,000, Local funding to 1,400,000 for in FY24. Increase total to \$31,837,500. Approved 6/21/24				TOTAL			\$31,837,500		
	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25)		FY26		FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307		\$ 5,500,	000	\$	6,650,000	\$	6,650,000	\$	6,650,000
	Local		\$ 1,400,	000	\$	1,662,500	\$	1,662,500	\$	1,662,500

STIP ID		GRTC004	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT		Replace Rolling Stock	<	ADMIN BY GRTC			
MPO NOTES						TOTAL	\$32,400,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 53	07		\$1,344,000	\$3,024,000	\$4,032,000	\$672,000
	State			\$3,264,000	\$7,344,000	\$9,792,000	\$1,632,000
	Local			\$192,000	\$432,000	\$576,000	\$96,000

STIP ID	GRTC005	SCOPE	Transit	Transit						
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond	Greater Richmond Transit Company						
PROJECT	Transit Amenities		ADMIN BY GRTC							
MPO NOTES	TIP ADJ: Reduce prev state, and local by \$8; TIP ADJ: Change Fed amount of \$1,275,000 TIP ADJ: Move FY23 (\$1,275,000, state to \$2	2,877. eral Funding source f in Previous. Approve Previous) to FY24. Inc	From FTA 5339 to Ot d 5/24/24. crease FTA 5307 to 9	ther Federal (CPF) for \$337,000, CPF to	TOTAL	\$4,532,000				
	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27				
	Federal - FTA 5307		\$337,000	\$28,000	\$28,000	\$28,000				
	CPF Funding		\$1,275,000	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	State		\$2,123,000	\$68,000	\$68,000	\$68,000				
	Local		\$497,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000				

STIP ID		GRTC008	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM Public Transportation RECIPIENT			RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Paratransit Vehic	cles	ADMIN BY GRTC			GRTC	
TIP AMD: Remove \$740,600 in F MPO NOTES \$2,492,645 State, \$146,625 local i \$15,165,654. Approved 11/2/23			\$146,625 local in F		,	TOTAL	\$15,165,654	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 530)7		\$0	\$998,200	\$483,000	\$998,200	
	Federal – FTA 5339		\$1,766,984					
State			\$4,291,245	\$2,424,200	\$1,173,000	\$2,424,200		
	Local			\$252,425	\$142,600	\$69,000	\$142,600	

STIP ID	GRTC031	SCOPE	Transit					
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company					
PROJECT	Surveillance/Security	Equipment			ADMIN BY	GRTC		
MPO NOTES	FY24. Reduce total to	e FTA 5307 by \$88,000, state by \$408,000, and local by \$4,000 in tal to \$100,000. Approved 11/6/23. e FTA 5307 to \$120,000, local funding to \$30,000 in FY24. Increase . Approved 6/21/24			TOTAL	\$150,000		
	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
	Federal - FTA 5307		\$120,000					
	State		\$0					
	Local		\$30,000					

STIP ID	GRTC032	SCOPE	Transit						
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company						
PROJECT	Shop Equipment				ADMIN BY	GRTC			
MPO NOTES	TIP ADJ – Reduce FTA FY24. Reduce total to TIP ADJ – Increase FT FY24. Increase total t	\$1,965,000. Approved A 5307 to \$100,000, s	d 11/6/23. tate to \$240,000, a	•	TOTAL	\$1,975,000			
	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
	Federal - FTA 5307		\$100,000	\$155,400	\$154,000	\$142,800			
	State		\$240,000	\$377,400	\$374,000	\$346,800			
	Local		\$20,000	\$22,200	\$22,000	\$20,400			

STIP ID		GRTC033	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Computer Hardware	omputer Hardware ADMIN BY GRTC					
MPO NOTE	TIP ADJ – Reduce FTA 5307 by \$350,840, state by \$852,040, and local by \$50,120 in FY24. Reduce total to \$2,154,000. Approved 11/6/23. TIP ADJ – Increase FTA 5307 to \$520,000 and local to \$78,000 in FY24. Increase total to \$2,198,000. Approved 6/21/24					TOTAL	\$2,198,000	
	FUND SOURC	E		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA	5307		\$520,000	\$35,000	\$39,200	\$43,400	
	State			\$1,180,000	\$85,000	\$95,200	\$105,400	
	Local			\$78,000	\$5,000	\$5,600	\$6,200	

STIP ID	GRTC034	SCOPE	Transit
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company

F	PROJECT	Computer Software			ADMIN BY	GRTC
MF	PO NOTES	TIP ADJ – Increase FTA 5307 by \$486,840, state FY24. Increase total to \$5,459,621. Approved 11/6	TOTAL	\$5,459,821		
		FUND SOURCE	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - F	TA 5307	\$846,000	\$323,010	\$323,010	\$323,010
	State	State		\$784,453	\$784,453	\$784,453
	Local		\$168,000	\$46,144	\$46,144	\$46,144

STIP ID		GRTC035	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation RECIPIENT Greater Richmond Transit Company					
PROJECT		Support Vehicles		ADMIN BY GRTC			
MPO NOTES	MPO NOTES					TOTAL	\$1,002,285
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 53	07		\$205,734	\$53,164	\$21,742	
	State			\$499,640	\$129,112	\$52,802	
	Local			\$29,391	\$7,595	\$3,106	

STIP ID GRTC056		GRTC056	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT	Renovation of Admin Maintenance			Facility		ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add \$351,000 FTA 5307, \$561,000 State, and \$63,000 local in FY24. Update total from \$575,000 to \$1,550,000. Approved 11/2/23			TOTAL	\$1,550,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$428,000	\$28,000	\$28,000	\$28,000
	State			\$748,000	\$68,000	\$68,000	\$68,000
	Local	Local		\$74,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000

			I
STIP ID	GRTC059	SCOPE	Transit
	1	i l	

I SVSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT Non-Fixed Route AD		ADA Paratransit S	ADA Paratransit Service		ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$1,300,000 in FTA 53 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23		300,000 in FTA 530	07 and \$325,000	TOTAL	\$1,625,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307 State Local			\$1,300,000			
				\$325,000			

STIP ID		GRTC060	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT		Mobility Manage	ment			ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES		and \$3,000 in loc	TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$65,000 in FTA 5310, \$13,000 in state, and \$3,000 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23 TIP ADJ: Adjust FY24 5310 to \$99,000, State to \$42,000, and Local to			TOTAL	\$152,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 531	0		\$99,000			
State		\$42,000					
	Local			\$11,000			

STIP ID	GRTC067	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT	Planning Consultant Services			ADMIN BY	GRTC		
MPO NOTES	State, and \$126,34 TIP ADJ: Increase	48 in local in FY24.	00 to \$1,453,000, decrease state by	TOTAL	\$3,158,000		

FUND SOURCE	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Federal - FTA 5307	\$1,453,000			
State	\$1,579,000			
Local	\$126,000			

STIP ID	GRTC065 SCOPE		Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT Articulated Vehicles			ADMIN BY GRTC			GRTC	
MPO NOTES						TOTAL	\$2,400,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$672,000			
	State			\$1,632,000			
	Local			\$96,000			

STIP ID	STIP ID CAA0001 SCOPE			Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Capital Area Agency on Aging, Senior Connections			5
PROJECT Operating Assistance			ADMIN BY DRP		DRPT		
MPO NOTES		TIP ADJ: Increase total FY24 funding by \$3K. Increase FTA 5310 to \$62,000, local to \$13,000. Increase total to \$123,000. Approved 11/6/23.				TOTAL	\$123,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$62,000			
	State			\$48,000			
	Local			\$13,000			

STIP ID	CAA0002	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Capital Area Agency on Aging, Senior Connections			
PROJECT	Mobility Managemen	Mobility Management			DRPT	
MPO NOTES				TOTAL	\$215,000	

FUND SOURCE	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Federal - FTA 5310	\$172,000			
State	\$34,000			
Local	\$9,000			

STIP ID CCH001		CCH001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield County			
PROJECT Mobility Management					ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES	MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$240,000 in FTA 5310 State, and \$12,000 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23			TOTAL	\$300,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310		\$240,000				
	State			\$48,000			
	Local			\$12,000			

STIP ID CCH002			SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield County				
PROJECT Operating Assistance			ance			ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$37,500 in FTA 5310, \$30,000 in State, and \$7,500 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23.			TOTAL	\$75,000		
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$37,500				
	State			\$30,000				
	Local			\$7,500				

STIP ID	CHS0001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield Community Services Board			
PROJECT	Paratransit Vehicles	Paratransit Vehicles				
MPO NOTES	_	TIP ADJ: Changed recipient name from Chesterfield Mental Health support to Chesterfield Community Services Board to match DRPT			\$236,000	

		documentation. Adjusted FY24 5310 to \$189,000 and Local to \$47,000 as requested by DRPT. Approved 8/15/24.				
	FUND SOURCE Federal - FTA 5310 State		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$189,000			
			\$0			
	Local		\$47,000			

STIP ID		CHS0003	SCOPE	Transit				
ISVSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield County Citizens Information & Services				
PROJECT	Operating Assistance ADMIN BY DRPT			DRPT				
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed planned FY24 obligations. Approved 11/2/23			2/23	TOTAL		\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 53	10		\$0				
	State			\$0				
	Local			\$0				

STIP ID		CHS0004	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield Mental Health Support Services				
PROJECT		Capital Cost of Contra	ost of Contracting			ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed planned FY24 obligations. Approved 11/2/23			2/23	TOTAL		\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 53	10		\$0				
State			_	\$0				
	Local		_	\$0				

STIP ID	HCS0001	SCOPE	Transit
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Hanover County DASH

PROJECT	PROJECT Operating Assistance				ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES		TIP ADJ: Adjust FY24 5310 to \$44,000, State to \$35,000, and Local to \$9,000 as requested by DRPT. 8/15/24			TOTAL	\$88,000
	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 53	010	\$44,000			
	State		\$35,000			
Local			\$9,000			

STIP ID		HCS0002	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Hanover County DASH				
PROJECT		Capital Cost of Contracting				ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed planned FY24 obligations. Approved 11/2/23			2/23	TOTAL		\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 53	10		\$0				
	State			\$0				
	Local			\$0				

STIP ID HCS0003 SCOPE Transit			SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Hanover County			
PROJECT Mobility Management			ment			ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES State, and \$25,440 TIP ADJ: Adjust FY			ew project. Add \$50 40 in local in FY24. FY24 5310 to \$1,009 quested by DRPT. A	Approved 11/2/23 9,000, State to \$202		TOTAL	\$1,261,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$1,009,000			
State				\$202,000			
	Local			\$50,000			

STIP ID	PCSS001	SCOPE	Transit
311P ID	F C 3 3 0 0 1	JCOFL	Hallsit

SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Powhatan County Department of Social Services			
PROJECT		Operating Assistance			ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES			TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$12,500 in FTA 5310, \$10,000 in State, and \$2,500 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23			TOTAL	\$25,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$12,500			
State				\$10,000			
	Local			\$2,500			

STIP ID		GRTC050	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmor	nd Transit Compar	ny		
PROJECT Demo and Remedia			ediation			ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP ADM MOD: C	Change project title Correct typo in doll	ed 7/11/24. ding source to FTA e to "Demo and Re ar amount. Chang	emediation".	TOTAL	\$1,190,240	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5339			\$952,192	\$0	\$0		\$0
	State			\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
	Local			\$238,048	\$0	\$0		\$0

STIP ID		HHI0001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Heart Havens, Inc			
PROJECT		FTA 5310 Replacement Vehicle (2)				ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Approved 9/5/2024.			TOTAL	\$646,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Federal - FTA 5310				\$131,000	\$128,000	\$128,000	\$128,000

	Local			\$35,000	\$32,000	\$32,000	\$32,000	
STIP ID			SCOPE	Transit				
211110		SOAR0001	SCOPE	HallSit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	SOAR365				
PROJECT		FTA 5310 Replace	ement Vehicle ((4)		ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add ne	TIP AMD: Add new project. Appr		, +.	TOTAL	\$309,215	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5	310		\$247,372				
	Local			\$61,843				
		T						
STIP ID		GRTC100	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit C		ompany		
PROJECT FTA S		FTA 5310 Operati	ng			ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add ne	w project. App	roved 9/5/2024	, +.	TOTAL	\$75,000	
	FUND SOURCE				FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5	310		\$37,500				
	State			\$30,000				
	Local			\$7,500				
STIP ID		CCSB001	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT		Community Ser	vices Board		
PROJECT		FTA 5310 Expansi	ion Vehicle (1)			ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add ne	w project. App	roved 9/5/2024	, +.	TOTAL	\$121,175	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5	310		\$96,940				
Local			\$24,235					
STIP ID		CCSB002	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Transit Chesterfield Community Services Board				

PROJECT		Mobility Management			ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Appro	TIP AMD: Add new project. Approved 9/5/2024.			\$29,000
	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 53	310	\$23,000			
	State		\$5,000			
Local			\$1,000			

Rail Projects

UPC NO	-20299	SCOPE	SCOPE Operational expenses related to six trains.					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	Virginia State-Supp	orted Amtrak Opera	tions		ADMIN BY	VPRA		
DESCRIPTION	train on the Richm	Operating expenses for 2 trains on the Newport News route (Route 47), 3 trains on the Norfolk route (Route 50), and 1 train on the Richmond route (Route 51). The cost included is only for a portion of the routes and a portion of the train costs estimated for the jurisdiction.						
PROGRAM NOTE	TIP AMD – Add nev	TP AMD – Add new project.						
ROUTE/STREET	Newport News Ope Norfolk Operations Richmond Operation	(Route 50)			TOTAL COST	\$144,119,692		
FUND SOURCE		Previous Funding	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE Federal CMA	AQ	\$0	\$2,695,051	\$2,806,852	\$2,951,060	\$3,073,864		
PE State CMAQ		\$0	\$673,763	\$701,713	\$737,765	\$768,466		
PE VPRA		\$20,706,216	\$22,117,599	\$23,044,638	\$30,897,597	\$32,945,107		

UPC NO		VPRA001	SCOPE	Hanover Third Tr	ack (Siding C)			
SYSTEM		Primary	JURISDICTION	Hanover		OVERSIGHT		
PROJECT		Hanover Third Tr	ack (Siding C)			ADMIN BY	VPRA	
DESCRIPTION		Hanover Third Track (Siding C) is a Phase 1 Transforming Rail in Virginia (TRV) project that is strategically located to allow freight and passenger trains to utilize the sidings and fluidly move traffic through the corridor between Washington, D.C. and Richmond. Siding C is one of three sidings that is included in the Phase 1 of TRV. These improvements will increase network fluidity and reduce delays due to passenger and freight train interference and lay the groundwork for a dedicated third track from Alexandria to Spotsylvania. Siding C is 2.9 miles long and stretches from Milepost 15.5 to Milepost 18.7.						
PROGRAM NOTE TIP AMD – Add new project. Project is Amtrak Fund purposes only					d. Included for info	ormation and coor	rdination	
ROUTE/STREET		Siding C is locate	Siding C is located in Hanover County north of Ashland. TOTAL COST					
	FUND SOURCE		Previous Funding	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
RW	Amtrak Capital (federal funds)	\$0	\$40,000	\$60,000	\$0	\$0	
PE	Amtrak Capital (federal funds)		\$1,898,385	\$3,596,771	\$1,099,193	\$0	\$0	
CS	CS Amtrak Capital (federal funds)		\$388,890	\$745,004	\$25,678,761	\$38,161,944	\$0	
РМ	Amtrak Capital (federal funds)	\$722,877	\$1,102,852	\$4,678,424	\$6,190,498	\$0	

Project Groups

Introduction

Many projects which use federal funds do not add capacity to the regional transportation network or create new connections. Some examples of these more minor projects include cleaning highway signs, bridge safety inspections, and constructing sidewalks where none exist currently.

Federal law allows funds to be set aside to cover groups of smaller projects. The projects and project details within each group can change without triggering the TIP modification process, providing additional flexibility. As the projects within each grouping can change, VDOT provides regular updates online. By practice, public transportation projects are all individually listed in the TIP and STIP.

As with other projects, any changes to the planned obligations or total cost of these groupings require TIP amendments or adjustments as detailed in the Modifications section of this document.

Bridge Rehabilitation, Replacement, and Reconstruction

These funds cover bridge construction projects such as bridge or drainage structure rehabilitation, and reconstruction or replacement when said work is on or adjacent to the same alignment.

Rail

These funds cover projects for rail/highway grade crossing improvements, regardless of funding source. Examples include improvements to warning devices, crossing surfaces, and construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossings at the same location.

Recreational Trails

These funds cover projects funded and advanced as part of the recreational trails program through the Department of Conservation and Recreation.

Transportation Enhancement, Byway, and Other Non-Traditional Projects

These funds cover projects with scopes of work eligible for funding under the former Transportation Enhancement and Transportation Alternatives Programs, and the Transportation Alternatives (TA) Set-Aside of the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, regardless of funding source. Examples include construction of interpretive pull-offs and overlooks, welcome centers, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, parking facilities, and wildflower plantings

Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation

These funds cover projects such as area wide programs for cleaning drainage facilities, corrosion protection activities, highway sign face cleaning, and retrofitting of dowel bars. In addition, pavement preservation projects that are of a preventative nature that extend pavement life between 2 and 10 years and typically involve the surface layer of the pavement structure. Finally, this group includes pavement resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation (3R) activities that are limited to the bound layers of the pavement and typically extend pavement life between 12 and 20 years.

Preventive Maintenance for Bridges

These funds cover eligible bridge activities such as sealing, replacing, or reconstructing joints; deck overlays; painting; cathodic protection; retrofit of fracture critical members and fatigue prone details; and some concrete repairs. This group also includes bridge safety inspections.

Traffic and Safety Operations

These funds cover projects which include signs, traffic signals, pavement markings and markers, guardrail, replacement or preventive maintenance of roadway lighting, maintenance, replacement, or upgrade of traffic calming devices.

Transit Access

These funds cover projects which provide bicycle or pedestrian facilities which increase access to transit services.

Transit Ridesharing

These funds cover projects which include continuation of ridesharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels.

Transit Vehicles

These funds cover projects which include purchase or lease of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet, rehabilitation of transit vehicles, and the purchase of support vehicles. This also includes the purchase of operating equipment for vehicles such as radios, fareboxes, and lifts.

GROUPIN	NG C	Construction :	Bridge Rehabilitation/Repl	acement/Reconstruc	tion		
ROUTE/S	STREET					TOTAL COST	\$360,510,34
	FUND SOURCE	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - NHS,	/NHPP	(\$2,868,000)	(\$11,472,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - STP/S	STBG	\$678,712	\$C	\$0	\$2,714,848	\$0
PE TOTAI	L		(\$2,189,288)	(\$11,472,000)	\$0	\$2,714,848	\$(
RW	Federal - BR		\$452,815	\$C	\$0	\$1,811,259	\$(
	Federal - NHS,	/NHPP	\$233,560	\$C	\$0	\$934,241	\$0
RW TOTA	\L		\$686,375	\$C	\$0	\$2,745,500	\$(
RW AC	Federal - AC C	OTHER	\$C	\$385,735	\$0	\$801,491	\$0
CN	Federal - BR		\$8,285,484	\$12,100,763	\$0	\$2,955,154	\$18,086,017
	Federal - DEM	10	\$82,829	\$C	\$0	\$0	\$331,314
	Federal - HIP/F	F	\$1,000,000	\$C	\$0	\$0	\$4,000,000
	Federal - NHP	P/E	\$461,045	\$C	\$0	\$0	\$1,844,181
	Federal - NHS,	/NHPP	\$5,443,430	\$1,300,924	\$0	\$0	\$20,472,795
	Federal - RSTP		\$323,520	\$C	\$0	\$0	\$1,294,080
	Federal - STP/S	STBG	\$608,621	\$C	\$0	\$0	\$2,434,482
CNTOTAL		\$16,204,928	\$13,401,687	\$0	\$2,955,154	\$48,462,869	
CNAC	Federal - AC (OTHER	\$C	\$1,463,845	\$0	\$12,991,883	\$87,002,028

GROUPING	è	Construction : Rail	nstruction: Rail								
ROUTE/STR	REET					TOTAL COST	\$4,132,882				
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27				
CN	Federal - STP/STBG		\$139,296	\$1,253,662	\$C	\$C	\$C				
CNAC	Federal - AC		\$0	\$374,908	\$C	\$C	\$C				

GROUPING	(Construction : Recre	ational Trails				
ROUTE/STREET						TOTAL COST	\$16,171,265
FUN	ND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$C	\$(\$0	\$C	\$C

GROUPIN	NG Construction : Saf	ety/ITS/Operational Imp	provements			
ROUTE/S	STREET				TOTAL COST	\$663,445,403
	FUND SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$452,297	\$1,479,467	\$504,396	\$249,559	\$137,119
	Federal - CMAQ	\$603,551	\$1,116,160	\$861,563	\$0	\$436,480
	Federal - NHFP	\$C	(\$25,405)	\$C	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$154,882	\$619,529	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - RSTP	\$167,661	\$670,642	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$440,623	\$1,391,127	\$C	\$1,112,902	\$649,590
PE TOTA	L	\$1,819,013	\$5,251,520	\$1,365,959	\$1,362,461	\$1,223,189
PE AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$C	\$823,125	\$C	\$0	\$504,400
RW	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$887,039	\$0	\$200,066	\$310,487	\$3,037,602
	Federal - CMAQ	\$1,005,197	\$320,000	\$C	\$3,700,786	\$C
	Federal - CRP/F	\$1,665,744	\$0	\$3,119,527	\$3,543,449	\$C
	Federal - HSIP	\$62,000	\$0	\$0	\$405,000	\$153,000
	Federal - NHFP	\$81,751	\$327,004	\$C	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NHPP/E	\$208,810	\$835,240	\$0	\$0	\$C
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$2,076,831	\$4,034,102	\$3,910,503	\$362,719	\$C
	Federal - RSTP	\$1,781,213	\$10,000	\$3,611,712	\$783,918	\$2,719,222
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$60,044	\$0	\$C	\$540,394	\$ C
RW TOTA	AL .	\$7,828,628	\$5,526,346	\$10,841,808	\$9,646,753	\$5,909,824
RW AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$1,628,884	\$388,109	\$7,163,522	\$ C
CN	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$2,812,358	\$373,207	\$6,131,538	\$4,781,962	\$1,997,060
	Federal - CMAQ	\$541,840	\$0	\$0	\$1,622,400	\$544,959
	Federal - HIP/F	\$326,409	\$1,305,636	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - HSIP	\$2,630,475	\$13,018,711	\$4,726,541	\$2,523,420	\$3,405,600
	Federal - NHPP/E	\$1,533,065	\$0	\$400,000	\$2,340,471	\$3,391,789
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$4,003,703	\$1,356,231	\$2,270,926	\$7,667,979	\$4,719,674
	Federal - RSTP	\$6,113,690	\$10,812,862	\$976,400	\$5,272,656	\$7,392,843
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$1,359,509	\$4,645,585	\$0	\$0	\$792,452
CNTOTAL	-	\$19,321,048	\$31,512,232	\$14,505,405	\$24,208,888	\$22,244,377
CNAC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$21,453,159	\$29,924,421	\$11,644,188	\$38,615,200

GROUPIN	NG Construction : Tro	insportation Alternative	s/Byway/Non-Traditio	nal		
ROUTE/S	STREET				TOTAL COST	\$154,510,696
	FUND SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$76,199	\$211,757	\$93,040	\$0	
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$93,400	\$373,600	\$0	\$0	•
	Federal - RTAP	\$56,945	\$227,779	\$0	\$0	
PE TOTAL		\$226,544	\$813,136	\$93,040	\$0	
PE AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$C	\$116,300	\$0	\$0	\$C
RW	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$487,165	\$0	\$466,400	\$1,482,260	\$0
	Federal - CMAQ	\$195,811	\$275,600	\$507,642	\$0	
	Federal - HIP/F	\$6,000	\$24,000	\$0	\$0	-
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$23,882	\$95,528	\$0	\$0	
	Federal - RSTP	\$24,000	\$96,000	\$0	\$0	
	Federal - RTAP	\$29,321	\$76,872	\$40,410	\$0	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$496,560	\$417,600	\$1,568,640	\$0	
	Federal - TAP/F	\$2,000	\$0	\$8,000	\$0	
RW TOTA	L	\$1,264,738	\$985,600	\$2,591,092	\$1,482,260	\$0
RW AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$3,930,106	\$7,330,960	\$0	\$C
CN	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$638,036	\$0	\$0	\$2,552,142	\$C
	Federal - CMAQ	\$1,434,883	\$0	\$2,691,225	\$1,424,000	\$1,624,308
	Federal - DEMO	\$53,760	\$215,038	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - HIP/F	\$422,979	\$0	\$1,691,917	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NHPP/E	\$175,500	\$0	\$0	\$702,000	
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$928,618	\$0	\$3,198,311	\$516,160	\$0
	Federal - RSTP	\$208,640	\$0	\$0	\$834,560	\$0
	Federal - RTAP	\$744,519	\$1,284,713	\$759,214	\$934,148	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$554,666	\$0	\$2,218,664	\$0	
	Federal - TAP/F	\$753,413	\$1,614,859	\$1,288,507	\$110,284	
CNTOTAL		\$5,915,013	\$3,114,610	\$11,847,838	\$7,073,294	\$1,624,308
CNAC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$C	\$7,090,893	\$15,837,228	\$11,036,098	\$C

GROUPING	÷	Maintenance: Preve	ntenance : Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation						
PROGRAM	NOTE	Funding identified to	ding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.						
ROUTE/STR	REET	TOTAL COST \$13						\$134,737,913	
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - NH	IFP	\$0	\$115,430	\$115,430	\$115,430		\$115,430	
	Federal - NH	IS/NHPP	\$0	\$2,258,586	\$2,258,586	\$2,258,586		\$2,258,586	
	Federal - STP/STBG		\$0	\$31,116,665	\$31,244,409	\$31,374,325		\$31,506,450	
CNTOTAL			\$0	\$33,490,681	\$33,618,425	\$33,748,341		\$33,880,466	

GROUPING	j	Maintenance: Preve	Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance for Bridges							
PROGRAM	NOTE	Funding identified to	o be obligated distric	twide as projects are	identified.					
ROUTE/STI	REET					TOTAL COST	\$93,219,475			
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
CN	Federal - BR		\$C	\$354,545	\$50,000	\$1,237,719	\$C			
	Federal - Ch	MAQ	\$C	\$0	\$4,195,000	\$0	\$ Q			
	Federal - HS	IP .	\$C	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Federal - NH	HFP	\$C	\$751,472	\$751,472	\$751,472	\$751,472			
	Federal - Nh	HPP/E	\$C	\$176,284	\$C	\$0	\$ C			
	Federal - Nh	HS/NHPP	\$C	\$2,543,612	\$6,728,208	\$4,037,999	\$2,102,357			
	Federal - STI	P/STBG	\$C	\$6,926,117	\$6,953,560	\$6,981,469	\$7,009,853			
CNTOTAL			\$C	\$13,752,030	\$18,678,240	\$13,008,659	\$9,863,682			
CNAC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$C	\$7,896,875	\$16,803,314	\$13,216,675	\$C			

GROUPING	G	Maintenance: Traffic	ntenance : Traffic and Safety Operations							
PROGRAM	NOTE	Funding identified to	identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.							
ROUTE/ST	REET		TOTAL COST \$32							
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
CN	Federal - Ch	MAQ	\$0	\$0	\$4,195,000	\$0	\$C			
	Federal - HS	IP	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$C	\$0	\$C			
	Federal - STF	P/STBG	\$0	\$6,405,707	\$6,432,005	\$6,458,750	\$6,485,949			
CNTOTAL	CN TOTAL \$0				\$10,627,005	\$6,458,750	\$6,485,949			

GROUPING	;	Transit : Access	ransit : Access						
ROUTE/STR	REET	ET					\$26,803,183		
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$407,236	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,628,943		

GROUPING		Transit: Ridesharing						
ROUTE/STR	EET		TOTAL COST					
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$C	\$(1 4/	\$0	\$ C	

GROUPING	1	Transit: Vehicles					
ROUTE/STREET						TOTAL COST	\$3,355,697
FUN	ND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$C	\$0	\$0	\$0

Illustrative Projects

Introduction

Under federal law, the TIP must be fiscally constrained, meaning the projects that are listed must have identified funding sources. Localities and transit agencies continue to plan for and pursue funding for other high priority projects. The <u>Illustrative Projects List</u> in this section provides information on priority projects from <u>ConnectRVA 2045</u>, the long-range transportation plan, that the RRTPO has endorsed for federal discretionary funding. Projects on this list are supported by the RRTPO for any federal discretionary grants and earmark funding, and the RRTPO will amend the TIP to add these projects to the constrained TIP if funding is awarded.

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE Bridge, New Construction					
SYSTEM	Urban	JURISDICTION	Ashland	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Vaughan Road Ove	Vaughan Road Overpass			TBD		
DESCRIPTION	From: Henry Street	To: Park Street					
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FRA-6		
ROUTE/STREET	Vaughan Road			ESTIMATE	\$ 41,152,852		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	I-95/Route 10 Pha	I-95/Route 10 Phase II Interchange Improvements		ADMIN BY	TBD	
DESCRIPTION						
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-67	
ROUTE/STREET	I-95 at VA-10			ESTIMATE	\$ 47,329,424	

МРО	Richmond						
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield OVERSIGHT				
PROJECT	I-95/Willis Road In	I-95/Willis Road Interchange Improvement			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project Only LRTP ID FHW-72				FHW-72		
ROUTE/STREET	I-95 at Willis Road	k		ESTIMATE	\$123,427,775		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Route 150/Route	Route 150/Route 360 Interchange and Multimodal Improvements			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-153		
ROUTE/STREET	Chippenham Parl	Chippenham Parkway (VA-150) at US-360 ESTIMATE \$ 45,000,000					

МРО	Richmond						
UPC NO		SCOPE Roadway, New Construction					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Powhite Parkway Extension, Phase II			ADMIN BY	TBD		
DESCRIPTION	From: Woolridge	Road To: US-360					
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-904 FHW-905		
ROUTE/STREET	Powhite Parkway	(VA-76)		ESTIMATE	\$ 500,000,000		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity			
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Route 150/Route	Route 150/Route 60 Interchange and Multi-modal Improvements			TBD	
DESCRIPTION						
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-154	
ROUTE/STREET	Chippenham Parl	(way (VA-150) at US	5-60	ESTIMATE	\$ 24,444,160	

МРО	Richmond	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Roadway, New Construction			
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico	OVERSIGHT		
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Short Pump Area Improvements - N. Gayton Rd Interchange			TBD	
DESCRIPTION				•		
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-32	
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 at N. Gayton I	Rd.		ESTIMATE	\$ 96,000,000	

МРО	Richmond	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Henrico	OVERSIGHT		
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Short Pump Area Improvements - W. Broad St. Interchange			TBD	
DESCRIPTION						
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-55	
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 at W. Broad S	St. (US-250)		ESTIMATE	\$ 118,000,000	

МРО	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico	OVERSIGHT		
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Short Pump Area Improvements - I-295 Improvements			TBD	
DESCRIPTION	From: I-64 To: N	uckols Rd				
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-34	
ROUTE/STREET	I-295			ESTIMATE	\$ 19,000,000	

МРО	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity		
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Improvements - 28	38 SB Auxiliary Lane	ADMIN BY	TBD
DESCRIPTION	From: I-64 To: Tuckahoe Creek Parkway				
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Only		LRTP ID	FHW-159
ROUTE/STREET	VA-288			ESTIMATE	\$ 29,000,000

MPO	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity		
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT		Improvements - V/ 288 NB Auxiliary L	A-288/W. Broad St. Interchange ane	ADMIN BY	TBD
DESCRIPTION					
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Only		LRTP ID	FHW-163
ROUTE/STREET	VA-288 at W. Brod	ad St. (US-250)		ESTIMATE	\$ 22,000,000

Modifications

Introduction

The TIP is an ever-evolving list of transportation priorities. It changes on a regular basis to include new projects or project phases. A TIP modification is any change that is made between full updates of the TIP. There are three types of TIP modifications: (1) amendments, (2) administrative modifications, and (3) technical corrections. RRTPO coordinates modifications with VDOT and DRPT to ensure consistency between the TIP and the STIP. All completed modifications are listed on the impacted project page and in a separate Amendments and Adjustments document on the RRTPO website for easy reference.

Amendments

TIP amendments are the most significant type of TIP modification. An amendment involves a major change to a project. The list below provides examples of TIP amendments:

- Adding or removing a project or phase
- Changing the start date significantly for the project or phase
- Revising the design concept or scope in a significant way such as changing the project limits, the number of lanes in a highway project, or the number of stations for a transit project

- Modifying the TIP in any way that triggers an updated air quality conformity analysis
- Increasing project or phase cost. Details about the sliding scale used to determine if a cost increase is significant can be found in the STIP procedures manual here.

All amendments are made available for public review and comment consistent with the <u>Public Engagement Plan</u>. Amendments require an updated demonstration of fiscal constraint and, if the project is not exempt, a determination of air quality conformity. Amendments are incorporated into the STIP and are subject to Federal approval.

Amendments are reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and approved by the Policy Board.

Administrative Modifications

Administrative modifications, also known as adjustments, are minor changes to the TIP. Examples of minor changes include:

- Changing the start date for the project or phase by a small amount
- Revising the design concept or scope in a limited way
- Increasing project or phase cost below the sliding scale threshold for an amendment

- Revising the funding source such as updating the type of federal funding or replacing non-Federal with Federal funding
- Splitting or combining projects already included in the TIP without changes to cost, scope, or schedule
- Updating the project or phase name
- Switching the lead agency administering the project

Administrative modifications do not undergo public review, a demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a determination of air quality conformity. These modifications do not require Federal approval.

Administrative modifications are processed by RRTPO staff with the agreement of the affected locality or agency and submitted to VDOT or DRPT as needed.

Technical Corrections

The last type of modification is the technical correction. These corrections include typographical, grammatical, or syntactical errors that address, for example, an error in spelling, grammar, deletion of a redundant word or formatting that was inadvertently published. It does not include changes to funding amounts. Technical corrections do not require Federal approval.

Performance Targets

Introduction

Federal law establishes performance measures to ensure states and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) are investing in projects that contribute towards national goals. The USDOT has published rules for states and MPOs to collect data and establish performance targets that will support performance-based investment decisions. The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) must include a description of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the performance targets identified by the MPO. The TIP must also demonstrate the link between investment priorities in the TIP and achievement of performance targets in the plans. A summary of each set of performance targets and the relation to investment decisions is included below.

Roadway Safety

This measure focuses on reducing fatal and severe injuries for all users, including both motorized and non-motorized modes of travel. Fatalities and injuries are measured in both total number and rate per 100 million vehicle miles travelled (VMT). A separate target is also set for non-motorized modes such as biking and walking to reflect the importance of protecting more vulnerable road users. The safety target is reviewed annually. The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for 2023.

Table 1: Roadway Safety Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Fatalities	103
Fatalities per 100M VMT	0.986
Serious Injuries	886
Serious Injuries per 100M VMT	8.462
Non-Motorized Fatalities & Serious Injuries	113

To achieve the targets for fatalities and injuries across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce crashes resulting in fatalities or severe injuries. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on safety in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 2: Safety Weighting in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	25%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	25%
VHSIP (state)	100%
Smart Scale (state)	20%
CVTA regional (CVTA)	38.5%

In the FY24 – FY27 TIP, \$40,213,747 in Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding is dedicated to safety improvements throughout the region.

68

Beyond dedicated safety funds, the Safety/ITS/ Operational Improvements project group has

\$274,712,462 dedicated to improvements which enhance safety and operations on existing roadways.

To improve the safety of more vulnerable non-motorized road users, \$82,373,060 is programmed toward active transportation improvements in the Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional project group. These investments are expected to provide safer connections for non-motorized road users, reducing fatalities and serious injuries.

Pavement Condition

This measure focuses on the condition of pavements along interstates and non-interstates that form the National Highway System (NHS). In planning and programming federal funds, MPOs are required to emphasize preservation of the existing transportation system. The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for pavement conditions for the next four years.

Table 3: Pavement Condition Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Interstate Pavement in Good Condition	45%
Interstate Pavement in Poor Condition	3%
Non-Interstate Pavement in Good Condition	25%
Non-Interstate Pavement in Poor Condition	5%

Highways maintenance is primarily the responsibility of the Virginia Department of Transportation, particularly in the case of the interstates and state primary highways that form the NHS. Some localities including the Town of Ashland, Henrico County, and the City of Richmond own and maintain their own roadways to varying extents.

To ensure pavements throughout the state are maintained in good condition, funding for maintenance is set aside before distribution to the various competitive programs. Additionally, the State of Good Repair program makes funding for reconstruction and rehabilitation available for interstate and primary routes through a prioritization process.

In the FY24 – FY27 TIP, \$134,737,913 in federal funds are programmed for Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation. These funds are expected to help meet the pavement condition targets and represent approximately 22% of the total federal funding programmed in the TIP.

Bridge Condition

This measure is like the pavement condition target but focused on the condition of the deck or surface of bridges on the National Highway System (NHS) that are included in the National Bridge Inventory (NBI). The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for bridge deck condition for the next four years:

Table 4: Bridge Condition Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Deck Area of Bridges in Good Condition	25.1%
Deck Area of Bridges in Poor Condition	3.6%

The primary funding source for bridge rehabilitation is the State of Good Repair program. This program provides funding for structurally deficient bridges (bridges in poor condition). Other funding programs such as RSTP or the Central Virginia Transportation Authority (CVTA) regional program have also provided funding for bridge projects.

Bridge funding generally falls under either preventive maintenance, including deck overlays and inspections, or bridge rehabilitation, replacement, or reconstruction project groups. These two funds program \$227,957,388 to support these bridge deck condition targets.

Roadway Performance

This measure focuses on the consistency or dependability in the travel time of a trip weighted by the number of people travelling along a corridor. This measure looks at the longest 20% of travel times along the corridor relative to the median travel time. If travel time is at least 50% longer than normal during the worst periods, the segment is deemed unreliable. Each road segment is weighted by length, traffic volume, and vehicle occupancy to calculate the percentage of person-miles that are reliable. RRTPO has adopted the following targets for the next four years.

Table 5: Reliability Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Person-Miles Travelled that are Reliable (Interstate)	85%

Person-Miles Travelled that are Reliable	88%
(Non-Interstate)	00/0

To achieve the targets for reliability across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce congestion and improve reliability. While congestion is not the same as reliability, the two measures are closely related. Congested roads are more likely to experience delays due to unexpected events (such as crashes or lane closures) and reducing non-recurrent congestion improves reliability. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on congestion or reliability in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 6: Reliability and Congestion Weighting in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	15%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	15%
Smart Scale (state)	15%
CVTA regional (CVTA)	23%

Improvements to congestion bottlenecks and unreliable segments of the NHS are expected to improve regional performance toward these measures. Identified projects based on the RRTPO's Congestion Management Process (CMP) include:

- UPC 102952 RTE 10 (I-95 Rt 1) WIDENING
- UPC 120374 #I64CIP I-64WB EXIT 181
 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE CONFIGURATION

- Grouped projects (Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements):
 - UPC 101034 #HB2.FY17 RTE 6 PATTERSON AVE AT PARHAM RD INTERSECTION
 - UPC 112051 I-195 SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS
 - UPC 115412 #SMART20 RCUT Route 60 and Woolridge\Old Buckingham

Freight Movement

This measure is like roadway performance but focuses on the reliability of travel for trucks on the interstates. This measure looks at the median travel time and compares it with the longest five percent (5%) of travel times for the same corridor. A lower Target (closer to one) indicates more reliable travel. The RRTPO has adopted the following target for the next four years.

Table 7: Truck Reliability Performance Target

Target Description	Target
Truck Travel Time Reliability Index	<1.56

To achieve the targets for truck travel time reliability across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce congestion and improve reliability, with a focus on truck movement. As noted under roadway performance, congestion and reliability are closely connected and improvements to congestion often improve reliability. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on congestion or

reliability for truck travel in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 8: Freight Reliability in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	3.75%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	3.75%
Smart Scale (state)	4%

Improvements to unreliable freight segments of the interstate are expected to improve regional performance toward these measures. Identified projects based on the RRTPO's Congestion Management Process (CMP) include:

- UPC 107458 #HB2.FY17 RTE 64 MAJOR WIDENING
- Grouped Projects (Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements)
 - UPC 109320 #HB2.FY17 SB I95 Belvidere
 St Interchange Safety Improvement
 - UPC 109321 #HB2.FY17 RTE 95 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE AT MAURY ST
 - UPC 109322 #HB2.FY17 RTE 95 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE AT RTE 10

Transit Asset Management

This measure focuses on the condition of vehicles and facilities owned and operated by public transportation service providers. All rail operators and fixed and non-fixed route providers with more than 100 vehicles (Tier 1 agencies) set their own performance targets and

develop a Transit Asset Management (TAM) plan. Smaller, non-rail providers (Tier 2 agencies) can choose to follow a group asset management plan developed by the state rather than developing their own targets. The Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) is a Tier 1 agency.

GRTC has adopted policies for asset management for revenue vehicles, non-revenue vehicles, and facility conditions in the <u>Transit Asset Management Plan</u>. The targets for vehicles are set as a percentage of vehicles at or beyond their <u>useful life benchmarks (ULB)</u>, an FTA developed measure of expected useful life of a vehicle. Facilities are assessed based on the percentage classified as marginal or poor (less than 3 on the 5-point TERM scale). A summary of the targets by asset class for 2023 is included below.

Table 9: GRTC TAM Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Rolling Stock	
AO - Automobile	35%
BU – Bus	20%
BR – Over the Road Bus	20%
CU - Cutaway	35%
Equipment	
Automobiles	100%
Trucks & Other Rubber Tired Vehicles	72%
Facilities	
Administrative/Maintenance Facilities	33%
Passenger/Parking Facilities	10%

In addition to GRTC, the eastern portions of the region are served by Bay Transit, a nonprofit community transit service. Bay Transit is a Tier 2 provider which has opted into the state-sponsored group plan published October 1, 2022. The performance targets for 2023 are summarized below.

Table 10: DRPT Tier 2 TAM Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Rolling Stock	
AB – Articulated Bus	5%
BU – Bus	15%
BR – Over the Road Bus	15%
CU - Cutaway	10%
MV – Minivan	20%
VN - Van	20%
Equipment	
Automobiles	30%
Trucks & Other Rubber Tired Vehicles	30%
Facilities	
Administrative Facilities	10%
Maintenance Facilities	10%
Passenger Facilities	15%
Parking Facilities	10%

In allocating funds, DRPT prioritizes State capital assistance provided to transit agencies via the <u>MERIT Capital Assistance Program</u>. The MERIT program is designed to favor projects that:

- Achieve the statewide policy objective of maintaining a state of good repair of existing assets and,
- Have the greatest impact on the provision of public transportation services throughout the state.

To achieve these targets, the region is investing significantly in the replacement of rolling stock. In total, the TIP programs \$44,598,150 for maintaining GRTCs fleet in a state of good repair.

Additionally, just over \$1 million is planned to be invested in support vehicles and \$575,000 is programmed to renovations of administrative and maintenance facilities. These investments will help the region to meet the designated targets for asset management.

Transit Safety

This measure focuses on the safety of public transportation, specifically fatalities, injuries, safety events, and the frequency of these incidents in terms of both of revenue miles and distance. All rail operators and fixed and non-fixed route providers with more than 100 vehicles (Tier 1 agencies) set their own performance targets and develop a Transit Asset Management (TAM) plan. Smaller, non-rail providers (Tier 2 agencies) can choose to follow a group asset management plan developed by the state rather than developing their own targets. The Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) is a Tier 1 agency.

GRTC has developed policies and targets for reducing fatalities, injuries and safety events which were originally adopted on July 21, 2020. The targets for 2023 are summarized below.

Table 11: GRTC Safety Targets

Target Description	Target	
Fixed Route		
Fatalities (total reportable)	0	
Fatalities (per revenue mile)	0	
Injuries (total reportable)	108	
Injuries (per revenue mile)	0.13	
Safety Events (total)	144	
Safety Events (per revenue mile)	0.22	
Distance between Major Failures	9,500 mi.	
Distance between Minor Failures	3,200 mi.	
Paratransit/Demand Response		
Fatalities (total reportable)	0	
Fatalities (per revenue mile)	0	
Injuries (total reportable)	60	
Injuries (per revenue mile)	0.05	
Safety Events (total)	96	
Safety Events (per revenue mile)	0.15	
Distance between Major Failures	15,500 mi.	
Distance between Minor Failures	5,200 mi.	

To achieve these safety targets, the region has prioritized investments in preventive maintenance for GRTC rolling stock. Total spending on preventive maintenance exceeds \$33 million for the four years covered by the TIP.

The TIP also programs \$600,000 in additional security equipment for the GRTC system. This equipment will help to reduce injuries and safety events on the system.

Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality

As an attainment area under the 2015 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), RRTPO is not required to adopt the CMAQ standards.

Conformity Assessment

Introduction

The Richmond-Petersburg Area was previously designated a nonattainment area under the 1997 ozone standard and later a maintenance area before being designated an attainment area under the 2008 ozone standard. The area is currently in attainment for all standards including the 2015 ozone standard.

In February 2018, the D.C. Circuit Court issued a decision in South Coast Air Quality Management District v. EPA which impacted areas like the Richmond-Petersburg Area that had been designated nonattainment and/or maintenance under the 1997 ozone standard but designated attainment under the 2008 ozone standard. One of those impacts is that new, updated, or amended Transportation Improvement Program and Long-Range Transportation Plan must

demonstrate conformity in keeping with the antibacksliding requirements associated with the revocation of the 1997 ozone standard.

A copy of the Richmond Regional Conformity
Assessment report which includes the FY 2024-2027
Transportation Improvement Program and 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan (as well as the Tri-cities area plans) for the 1997 ozone standard can be found on the RRTPO website. The conformity analysis was prepared in accordance with EPA's November 24, 1993, Final Rule on Criteria and Procedures for Determining Conformity and subsequent rulemakings related to ozone. The RRTPO resolution approving the conformity analysis is attached as an informational item.



POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23; ITEM A-6-c.

Regional Conformity Assessment

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by John H. Hodges, of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) adopts the Richmond and Tri-Cities FY2024 - FY2027 Transportation Improvement Program and 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Regional Conformity Assessment as presented.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 78, 2023.

WITNESS: BY:

Janice Firestone Program Manager

anice Firestone

PlanRVA

Chet Parsons Secretary

Richmond Regional Transportation

Planning Organization

Self-Certification



POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23; ITEM B-2. MPO Self-Certification

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

On motion by Kevin P. Carroll, seconded by Cynthia I. Newbille, the members of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization hereby certifies that in accordance with 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 450 Section 336 Self-certification and Federal certifications, the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

- 1. 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and 23 CFR 450.336;
- Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d)) and 40 CFR part 93;
- 3. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21;
- 4. 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin sex or age in employment or business opportunity.
- national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
 5. Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act (Pub. L. 114-357) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding
- the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in DOT funded projects;
 6. 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts:
- 7. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38;
- The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- 9. Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 18, 2023.

Attest:	Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization
Chet Parsons RRTPO Secretary	John L Lumpkins Jr John L Lumpkins Jr (Ma) 26, 2023 13:08 EDT) John L. Lumpkins, RRTPO Chair
5/22/23	5/26/23
Date:	Date
Attest:	Virginia Department of Transportation
Mark Riblett Mark Riblett (May 26, 2023 11:41 EDT)	Dale R. Totten Dale R. Totten (May 26, 2023 12:37 EDT)
Mark Riblett	Dale Totten
Assistant Richmond District Engineer	Richmond District Engineer
5/26/23	5/26/23
Date:	Date

Resolution of Approval



POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23

FY24 - FY27 Transportation Improvement Program

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by Cynthia I. Newbille, the members of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution.

Resolved, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) adopts the FY24 – FY27 Transportation Improvement Program as presented.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 18, 2023.

BY:

WITNESS:

James Firestone
Program Manager

PlanRVA

Chet Parsons Secretary

Richmond Regional Transportation

Planning Organization

Public Engagement and Comments

Introduction

The Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) is committed to providing opportunities for the public to learn about and participate in the development of regional transportation plans. Regarding the development of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), federal regulations stipulate that the TIP development process must include opportunities for public involvement (23 CFR 450.324(b)), as outlined in the RRTPO's Public Engagement Plan (23 CFR 450.316(a)).

TIP Development Process

The RRTPO's Public Engagement Plan was adopted in March 2020 guide outreach and engagement efforts as part of the development of the FY24 – FY27 TIP. Major milestones in the development of the TIP include:

- 1. Develop draft project schedule and public outreach strategy. (Summer 2022)
- 2. Present project schedule to Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). (August 2022)
- Develop TIP website for public review and increased transparency. (Fall 2022)
- 4. Develop draft TIP document in coordination with VDOT, DRPT, and member agencies. (Winter 2022 2023)
- 5. Approve project list for conformity. (March 2023)
- 6. Open public review of draft TIP. (March 2023)

- 7. Review public comments and respond. (April 2023)
- 8. Adopt FY24 FY27 TIP. (May 2023)

Outreach Efforts and Opportunities

In developing the TIP, the RRTPO aims for both broad and targeted outreach. The Public Engagement Plan requires the TPO to develop a project specific engagement strategy which employs best practices as identified in the public engagement toolkit. For this TIP, the TPO settled on four major forms of engagement:

- Public Meetings
- Mailing/Email Lists
- Open Houses, and
- A new TIP website

Major decisions and announcements are always handled by the TPO in public meetings, making this tool a natural fit for updates and seeking public comment on the TIP. All meetings of the RRTPO and its various committees are livestreamed online, available for later viewing in a video archive, and allow for public comment both in person and virtually. Major presentations or actions by the RRTPO policy board and technical advisory committee include schedule updates (2 meetings), project review and approval (2 meetings), and adoption of the TIP (2 meetings).

The RRTPO also maintains a mailing list of interested parties which is used to provide opportunities for input on major planning efforts. This list was used as part of the TIP development to notify the public of upcoming board meetings and comment opportunities. In total 209 people were contacted through this list and invited to participate in the planning process. Both the RRTPO website and the TIP website offered public opportunities to subscribe to RRTPO updates.

The third approach to engagement used in developing the TIP was open houses during the public comment period. RRTPO staff hosted one (1) open house which was advertised in the Richmond Times Dispatch and the Richmond Free Press in the two weeks leading up to the event. Additionally, the public comment period and open house was promoted on social media platforms including LinkedIn, Facebook, and Twitter.

Finally, the RRTPO took a web-first approach to developing the TIP with project information and mapping available at a new website:

https://www.rrtpotip.org. This interactive page allowed anyone interested in the TIP to view project information, search by map or project information, and provide comments in general and directly on projects. A total of 809 unique visitors used the site during the 30-day review period. Three (3) comments were submitted requesting to be added to the interested parties list, but no substantive comments were received which required a response or changes to the plan.

Opportunities to comment on the TIP are not limited to the TIP update process. The TIP is regularly revised to accommodate projects development schedules. The RRTPO welcomes input on specific projects contained in the TIP and the format of the TIP on an on-going basis. The TIP website will be used to provide notice of any amendments during the life of the TIP and will serve as a key component of continuous public engagement.

Public Comments and Responses

No comments requiring a response were submitted during the public review period.