# Transportation Improvement Program FFY 2024 – FFY 2027



## **Notices and Disclaimers**

## **Title VI Notice**

RRTPO and PlanRVA fully comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and regulations in all programs and activities. The RRTPO and PlanRVA will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. For more information on meeting accessibility, or to obtain a Title VI Complaint Form, see <u>PlanRVA.org</u> or call the Title VI Coordinator at (804) 323-2033

## Aviso del Título VI

RRTPO y PlanRVA cumplen completamente con el Título VI de la Ley de Derechos Civiles de 1964 y los estatutos y reglamentos relacionados en todos los programas y actividades. La RRTPO y el PlanRVA se esforzarán por brindar adaptaciones y servicios razonables para las personas que requieran asistencia especial para participar en esta oportunidad de participación pública. Para obtener más información sobre la accesibilidad a las reuniones o para obtener un Formulario de queja de Título VI, consulte <u>PlanRVA.org</u> o llame al Coordinador del Título VI al (804) 323-2033.

## Acknowledgement

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) was prepared by PlanRVA staff in cooperation with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT), Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), and the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), and the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) member jurisdictions and agencies.

## Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the analysis of the RRTPO as part of PlanRVA which is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the FHWA, the FTA, the DRPT, the VDOT, or the Board of the RRPDC. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The FHWA, FTA, DRPT, or VDOT acceptance of this report as evidence of the fulfillment of the objectives of this program does not constitute endorsement or approval of the need of any recommended improvements nor does it constitute approval of their location and design or a commitment to fund any improvements. Additional project level environmental impact assessments and/or studies of alternatives may be necessary.

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	Page 1
Financial Plan	Page 6
Project List	Page 14
Interstate Projects	Page 17
Primary Projects	Page 25
Secondary Projects	Page 30
Urban Projects	Page 37
Enhancement Projects	Page 40
Miscellaneous Projects	Page 41
Public Transportation Projects	Page 45
Rail Projects	Page 63
Project Groups	Page 65
Illustrative Projects	Page 72
Modifications	Page 77
Performance Targets	Page 79
Conformity Assessment	Page 86
Self-Certification	Page 88
Resolution of Approval	Page 89
Public Engagement and Comments	Page 90

## Introduction

### **The Richmond Region**

The Richmond region is the area covered by PlanRVA or Virginia Planning District Commission 15 which is made up of the City of Richmond, the Town of Ashland,




Exhibit 1: Richmond Region with Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary

and the counties of Charles City, Chesterfield, Goochland, Hanover, Henrico, New Kent, and Powhatan. The Richmond region covers 2,165 square miles, and is located approximately 100 miles south of Washington, D.C. and midway between Atlanta and Boston.

> According to the 2045 Long Range Growth Forecast Analysis prepared for ConnectRVA2045, the region had a population of 1.1 million people as of base year 2017. The Richmond region is forecast to arow by nearly 30%, reaching a total of 1.4 million residents by 2045. The largest gains in actual population are projected in the three largest localities, Chesterfield, Henrico, and the City of Richmond. In percentage terms, New Kent, Goochland, Powhatan, and Hanover counties are expected to see the highest population growth. This regional population will live in 552,000 households, an increase of nearly 125,000 households by 2045.

The City of Richmond is the capital of the Commonwealth of Virginia. Richmond region employers provide more than 550,000 jobs for area residents. Henrico County remains the region's largest employment center. The region serves as home to Virginia Commonwealth University, the University of Richmond, Virginia Union University, Virginia State University, Randolph Macon College and Reynolds and Brightpoint Community Colleges with a collective enrollment of approximately 76,000 students.

The region's strategic location south of Washington DC and west of the Hampton Roads coastal area positions it to capitalize on the opportunities offered by its geography. The region is well served by the interstate transportation network, with I-95 and I-64 intersecting near its center. Routes 295 and 288 form an outer circular beltway system. Businesses and residents both prosper from the relatively short 24-minute, on average,

Activity Center Urban Area anRVA Suburban Area Vaterbod Expresswa Hanover Goochlan Powhatan Richmond Charles Cit Chesterfield

Exhibit 2: Map of Regional Activity Centers

sparsely populated rural counties. The 2017 population

work commute. Rail access provides connections to east coast and mid-west markets, and the region is well positioned to capitalize on anticipated increases in freight movement to the Port of Virginia in Hampton Roads.

The region includes both a well-developed urban core surrounded by vibrant older suburban neighborhoods and traditional subdivisions expanding into the more density map shows the City of Richmond with the emerging development pattern of a higher density ring following major thoroughfares extending from the center to meet the outer expressways. The southeastern quadrant of the region is a noticeable departure from this concentric pattern. The James River creates the natural boundary while Route 895 provides a connection between the 1-295 bypass and I- 95 with potential to facilitate more development by 2045. Employment densities following much the same pattern start to merge with population concentrations to define activity centers throughout the region. A mixed-use area where the density of commercial, industrial, and residential land uses is highest is more conducive to a variety of transportation options, including transit. Twenty (20) activity centers, ranging from urban to suburban to small town represent the areas of highest population and employment density within the Richmond region.

## **Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO)**

The RRTPO is a policy-making organization made up of local elected officials from each of the region's nine member jurisdictions and state and federal transportation agencies, and area transportation service/system operators. The RRPDC serves as lead staff providing administrative and technical services for the RRTPO. In addition, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) provide additional technical support.

The RRTPO serves as the forum for cooperative regional transportation decision-making. The RRTPO must carry out metropolitan transportation planning in cooperation with the state and transit providers. The RRTPO develops the region's transportation plans and programs, and approves the long-range transportation plan, ConnectRVA 2045, which is a prerequisite for the allocation of federal-aid highway and transit funds. The development of an efficient and effective multimodal transportation network is essential for the region if it is to sustain a strong economy, clean environment, and high quality of life.

Metropolitan Planning Organizations (or Transportation Planning Organizations) are designated under Section 134 of Title 23, U.S. Code, for maintaining and conducting a "continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive" (3-C) regional transportation process that results in plans and programs consistent with adopted plans for development of the metropolitan area. Census defined urbanized areas of 50,000 or greater in population are designated as "MPOs". The Governor, with the concurrence of area local governments, is charged with designating the MPO's member organizations. The RRTPO is designated as a "Transportation Management Area (TMA)," defined as a metropolitan area with a population of over 200,000, creating additional requirements for transportation planning such as the Congestion Management Process (CMP).

Like many metropolitan areas, the RRTPO encompasses several jurisdictions, each with their own comprehensive plans and transportation programs. In Virginia, planning district commissions, which are established under state code to conduct regional planning, serve as TPO staff for most of Virginia's urbanized areas.

### Member Jurisdictions and Partner Agencies

The following jurisdictions are voting members of the RRTPO with the number of votes apportioned according to population indicated in parenthesis:

- Charles City County (1)
- Chesterfield County (4)
- Goochland County (2)
- Hanover County (3)
- Henrico County (4)
- New Kent County (2)
- Powhatan County (2)
- City of Richmond (4)
- Town of Ashland (1)

Partner agencies which also hold one vote include the Capital Region Airport Commission, GRTC Transit System, Richmond Metropolitan Transportation Authority (RMTA), and VDOT (as the Secretary of Transportation's designee). Non-voting members represent other RRTPO committees and partner agencies.

#### **TPO Study Area**

Under federal requirements, the study area for the RRTPO must encompass both the existing urbanized area and contiguous area expected to become urbanized during the period covered by the long-range transportation plan. It must also cover areas designated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Clean Air Act as part of the nonattainment / maintenance area for air quality standards. To ensure that the plan covers all urbanized areas, air quality attainment areas, and areas expected to become urbanized by 2045, the study area has been defined to include:

- Charles City County
- Goochland County
- Hanover County
- Henrico County
- New Kent County
- Powhatan County
- City of Richmond
- Town of Ashland
- A majority of Chesterfield County

The part of Chesterfield County not included in the RRTPO is contained in the Tri-Cities MPO study area. This includes those areas of Chesterfield County near Hopewell, Colonial Heights, and Petersburg.

## The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The TIP is the region's collaborative list of transportation investments for a four-year period. This TIP covers federal fiscal years 2024 to 2027 and is in effect starting October 1, 2023. The TIP includes:

- Projects awarded RRTPO's federal funds,
- Projects using federal and state dollars managed by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) or Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) and,

• Regionally significant projects, regardless of funding source, which must be included in the air quality analysis and conformity determination.

Development of the TIP is the region's opportunity to review regionally significant projects and projects awarded federal funding through various competitive processes to ensure they align with the goals and vision for the future in *ConnectRVA 2045*, the longrange transportation plan. Through the TIP process, the region works to develop consensus on a list of projects to move forward. Once a project is in the TIP, the project or a phase of the project can be authorized in a federal agreement under which the federal government commits to reimburse the state for a share of eligible costs. This commitment is called an obligation. Projects or project phases are only included in the TIP if full funding for the project is reasonably anticipated to complete the project. To meet this requirement, the TIP includes a financial analysis showing how the planned projects can be undertaken with expected revenues.

After the TIP is approved, the TIP is included in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The STIP includes all TIPs in the state as well as projects to be undertaken outside of MPO boundaries. The approved TIP documents are sent to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for review and acceptance.

## **Financial Plan**

## Introduction

Federal law requires the TIP include a financial plan showing how the proposed projects can be reasonably implemented with public and private funds. The revenue projections and project costs in the TIP have been cooperatively developed with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), and Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC). The following tables show expected revenues and obligations for each of the four years covered by the TIP. In addition to construction projects, financial projections have also been made to show revenues for maintaining and operating the region's highway and transit systems during the same 4-year period.

Some projects listed in the TIP may have no planned obligations. These projects are included informational purposes. There are several reasons this may occur and include:

- The project is completed and awaiting final closeout.
- The project is already underway but the next phase will not happen during the four-year window covered by the TIP.

In addition, the TIP includes a section for illustrative projects. These are regional priority projects which cannot be achieved within the expected revenues but would be undertaken if additional funding sources are found. In general, these projects are expected to seek discretionary grant funding to allow for implementation.

The TIP funding summary tables summarize by year and by funding source the revenue amounts estimated and committed for fiscal year 2024 - 2027. The tables include expenditures and estimated revenues expected for each funding source and show that the program is financially constrained by year. The financial summary tables are based on total funds available, which include annual allocations of funds including any state and local matching dollars. These revenue sources are all reasonably expected to be made available and committed to the project phase during the programmed year of the TIP.

## **Highway Revenues**

This section of the plan covers revenues and projects with oversight from the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). This includes funds such as CMAQ and RSTP which are subsequently flexed to transit projects. This section of the financial plan contains four tables summarizing various aspects of financial planning for highway projects. Table 1 shows planned federal obligations for the fiscal years covered by the TIP. This table also includes state match funds and other non-federal contributions to projects. Table 2 covers conversion of advance construction projects to federal obligations. Advance Construction is an innovative funding mechanism that allows states to proceed with projects without federal obligation authority while maintaining the ability to convert a project to federal obligations in the future. Funds in this table are shown based on the year of conversion to federal obligations.

Table 3 shows planned federal obligations for projects that impact the Richmond area but also serve other metropolitan planning areas (MPOs) or rural areas of the state. In general, these projects are either located Table 1: Federal Obligations, State and Local Matching Funds along the interstate highway system or are programmatic in nature.

Table 4 shows funding programmed for maintenance of the existing highway system. This table demonstrates the region is adequately maintaining the condition of existing roadways and bridges while planning for capital improvements.

The revenue projections for this section of the plan were developed by VDOT and provided to the MPO.

	FFY :	2024	FFY 2025		FFY 2026		FFY 2027	
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
BR	\$12,101,723	\$12,101,723	\$5,250	\$5,250	\$6,512,969	\$6,512,969	\$18,086,017	\$18,086,017
CMAQ	\$6,258,358	\$6,258,358	\$4,460,430	\$4,460,430	\$7,406,386	\$7,406,386	\$3,408,947	\$3,408,947
CRP/F	\$O	\$O	\$3,119,527	\$3,119,527	\$3,543,449	\$3,543,449	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0
DEMO	\$215,038	\$215,038	\$O	\$O	\$O	\$O	\$331,314	\$331,314
EB/MG	(\$1,032,889)	(\$1,032,889)	<b>\$</b> 0	\$O	\$O	\$O	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0
HIP/F	\$1,329,636	\$1,329,636	\$1,691,917	\$1,691,917	\$O	\$O	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000
HSIP	\$13,018,711	\$13,018,711	\$4,726,541	\$4,726,541	\$2,928,420	\$2,928,420	\$3,558,600	\$3,558,600
NHFP	\$301,599	\$301,599	\$O	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$O	\$O
NHPP/E	\$835,240	\$835,240	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$3,042,471	\$3,042,471	\$5,235,970	\$5,235,970

NHS/NHP P	\$6,011,068	\$6,011,068	\$9,379,740	\$9,379,740	\$9,481,099	\$9,481,099	\$26,821,412	\$26,821,412
RSTP	\$31,314,550	\$31,314,550	\$17,034,682	\$17,034,682	\$8,868,360	\$8,868,360	\$36,777,455	\$36,777,455
STP/STBG	\$15,316,500	\$15,316,500	\$4,455,675	\$4,455,675	\$4,368,144	\$4,368,144	\$3,876,524	\$3,876,524
TAP	\$3,204,223	\$3,204,223	\$2,096,131	\$2,096,131	\$1,044,432	\$1,044,432	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0
Subtotal	\$96,003,36 0	\$96,003,36 0	\$53,952,969	\$53,952,969	\$47,195,73 0	\$47,195,73 0	\$89,784,23 7	\$89,784,23 7
Non- Federal	\$55,973,607	\$55,973,607	\$31,594,329	\$31,594,329	\$O	\$O	\$7,571,369	\$7,571,369
State Match	\$20,935,80 0	\$20,935,80 0	\$11,017,588	\$11,017,588	\$10,880,49 6	\$10,880,49 6	\$21,633,036	\$21,633,036
Subtotal	\$73,102,855	\$73,102,855	\$248,488,38 9	\$248,488,38 9	\$42,515,85 8	\$42,515,85 8	\$55,346,60 9	\$55,346,60 9
Total	\$169,106,21 5	\$169,106,21 5	\$302,441,35 8	\$302,441,35 8	\$89,711,58 8	\$89,711,58 8	\$145,130,84 6	\$145,130,84 6

#### Table 2: Advance Construction Conversion

	FFY 2024		FFY 2025		FFY 2	2026	FFY	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
CMAQ	\$O	\$O	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$84,801	\$84,801	\$2,594,881	\$2,594,881
HSIP	\$1,200,586	\$1,200,586	\$2,114,596	\$2,114,596	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0	\$1,357,060	\$1,357,060
NHFP	\$O	\$O	\$120,066	\$120,066	\$0	\$0	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0
NHS/NHPP	\$O	\$O	\$11,404,096	\$11,404,096	\$11,421,946	\$11,421,946	\$6,604,430	\$6,604,430
RSTP	\$652,088	\$652,088	\$321,338	\$321,338	\$475,245	\$475,245	\$1,219,840	\$1,219,840
STP/STBG	\$211,757	\$211,757	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$6,034,402	\$6,034,402	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0
Subtotal	\$2,064,431	\$2,064,431	\$14,040,096	\$14,040,096	\$18,016,394	\$18,016,394	\$11,776,211	\$11,776,211

#### Table 3: Statewide or Multiple MPO

	FFY 2024		FFY 2025		FFY 2026		FFY 2027	
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
CMAQ	\$5,229,927	\$5,229,927	\$O	\$O	\$O	\$O	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0
NHS/NHPP	\$9,444,326	\$9,444,326	\$O	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0
Subtotal	\$14,674,253	\$14,674,253	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### Table 4: Maintenance

	FFY 2024		FFY	2025	FFY	2026	FFY :	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
BR	\$354,545	\$354,545	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$1,237,719	\$1,237,719	\$O	\$0
CMAQ	\$O	\$O	\$8,390,000	\$8,390,000	<b>\$</b> 0	\$O	\$O	\$0
HSIP	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$O	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0	\$O	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0
NHFP	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902
NHPP/E	\$176,284	\$176,284	\$O	\$O	\$0	\$O	\$O	<b>\$</b> 0
NHS/NHPP	\$4,802,198	\$4,802,198	\$8,986,794	\$8,986,794	\$6,296,585	\$6,296,585	\$4,360,943	\$4,360,943
STP/STBG	\$44,448,489	\$44,448,489	\$44,629,974	\$44,629,974	\$44,814,544	\$44,814,544	\$45,002,252	\$45,002,252
Subtotal	\$56,648,418	\$56,648,418	\$62,923,670	\$62,923,670	\$53,215,750	\$53,215,750	\$50,230,097	\$50,230,097

### **Highway Program Descriptions**

**BR**: Provides funding for bridge improvements both on and off the National Highway System (NHS).

**CMAQ**: Provides flexible funding for congestion reduction and air quality improvement projects and programs; funding only available for areas not meeting federal air quality standards or maintenance areas. Some of these projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with <u>the regional funding</u> <u>framework</u>.

**CRP/F**: Funding for projects that reduce on-road emissions of climate change causing pollutants.

**DEMO**: Provides specialized funding to demonstration, priority, pilot, or special interest projects.

**EB/MG**: Historic program providing minimum guaranteed funding for localities.

**HIP/F**: Provides funding for restoration, repair, and construction of federal aid eligible roads, bridges, and tunnels.

**HSIP**: Funds projects to reduce traffic fatalities and serious injuries on public roads; set aside for Railway Highway Crossings Program.

**NHFP**: Provides funding to improve the movement of freight on the National Highway Freight Network (NHFN).

**NHPP/E**: Funds dedicated to improving infrastructure condition, safety, congestion reduction, system reliability, or freight movement on the NHS.

**NHS/NHPP**: Funds projects to construct new facilities on or improve the condition and performance of the National Highway System (NHS).

**RSTP**: Provides funding for a broad range of capacity, operational, and congestion mitigation related improvements. These projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with the regional funding framework.

**STP/STBG**: Provides flexible funding for a wide range of eligible projects and programs to address state and local transportation needs.

**TAP**: Funding dedicated to bicycle and pedestrian facilities through the Surface Transportation Block Grant. Some of these projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with the regional funding framework.

#### **Transit Revenues**

This section of the plan covers revenues and projects with oversight from the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (VDRPT) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA). This section includes projects from GRTC and smaller providers offering mobility options for seniors and people with disabilities. Table 5 summarizes projected revenues and obligations by year and funding source.

The revenue projections in this section were developed cooperatively with the Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) and DRPT. For GRTC-directed formula funds, the revenue projections assume 80% federal, 20% local split for preventive maintenance. All other projects assume 28% federal, 68% state, 4% local split consistent with the minor enhancement category for DRPT's <u>Making Efficient and Responsible</u>

## Investments in Transit (MERIT) Capital Assistance program.

For the FTA 5310 program, FFY2024 was carried over directly from the FY21 – FY24 TIP. For subsequent years, federal revenue is assumed to be equal to the 2022 apportionment without any escalation. Available state and local match for FY25 – FY27 assume the state's <u>match policies</u> and mix of projects from the FY21 – FY24 TIP will continue (24% operating assistance, 63% capital – non-vehicle, 13% capital – vehicle).

Table 5:	Transit	Funding
----------	---------	---------

	FFY 2024		FFY 2025		FFY :	2026	FFY 2	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
FTA 5307	\$12,223,289	\$11,235,918	\$12,345,522	\$11,294,774	\$12,468,977	\$11,758,952	\$12,593,667	\$8,885,410
FTA 5310	\$1,417,000	\$1,417,000	\$1,333,618	\$O	\$1,333,618	\$0	\$1,333,618	<b>\$</b> 0
FTA 5339	\$1,409,910	\$O	\$1,424,009	\$O	\$1,438,249	\$O	\$1,452,632	\$O
Local	\$2,773,700	\$2,431,632	\$2,829,156	\$2,326,039	\$2,699,247	\$2,392,350	\$2,721,140	\$1,981,844
State	\$17,278,948	\$11,471,230	\$17,700,186	\$11,280,165	\$17,624,692	\$12,407,455	\$17,962,440	\$5,428,853
Total	\$35,102,847	\$26,555,780	\$35,632,491	\$24,900,978	\$35,564,783	\$26,558,757	\$36,063,497	\$16,296,107

### **Transit Program Descriptions**

**FTA 5307:** Provides funding to public transit systems in large urban areas for capital, planning, job access

projects, and some operating expenses such as ADA paratransit and preventive maintenance.

**FTA 5310:** Program to assist local transit agencies, governments, and nonprofit groups in meeting needs of seniors and people with disabilities.

**FTA 5339:** Provides funding to transit agencies and states to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities.

## **Project List**

## Introduction

As a short-term plan for the next four years, the core of the TIP is the list of projects to be implemented in the near term. The TIP includes capital and non-capital surface transportation projects, bicycle and pedestrian facilities and other transportation enhancements, Federal Lands Highway projects, and safety projects. Projects that impact the capacity of arterials are considered "regionally significant" and are required to be individually listed in the TIP. By practice, all transit projects are also listed individually in the TIP. The TIP includes projects that receive FHWA or FTA funds, projects that are regionally significant but funded with other funds including state, local, and private sources.

## **Project Screening**

Every project included in the FY24 – FY27 TIP was screened against the goals and objectives of <u>ConnectRVA 2045, the region's long-range</u> <u>transportation plan</u> (LRTP). These goals were developed through a cooperative, iterative process with input from members of the LRTP advisory committee and feedback from the public and were ultimately approved by the RRTPO's policy board. The goals and objectives are summarized below. More information about the development and selection of these goals and objectives <u>can be found here</u>.

- A. Safety improve the safety of the transportation system for all people
  - 1. Enhance safety and comfort of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
  - 2. Work to eliminate all serious injuries and fatalities resulting from vehicular crashes.
- B. Environment/Land Use Reduce the negative impact the transportation system has on the natural and built environment.
  - Address roadways prone to flooding and consider climate impacts in transportation planning.
  - 2. Reduce transportation related pollutants, including decarbonizing transportation.
  - 3. Reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita.
  - 4. Increase number and share of trips taken by shared and active transportation modes.
  - 5. Tie land use planning to transportation investments through encouragement of walkable and transit-oriented communities.
  - 6. Minimize impacts of transportation system on natural resources and communities with particular emphasis on Environmental Justice (EJ) populations.
- C. Equity/Accessibility Improve equitable access through greater availability of mode choices that are affordable and efficient

- 1. Reduce trip lengths for all people with a focus on Environmental Justice (EJ) populations.
- 2. Increase access to jobs and community services via transit, walking, and biking for all people with a focus on EJ populations.
- D. Economic Development Improve connectivity and mobility for strong economic vitality
  - 1. Reduce peak period travel times.
  - 2. Increase transportation investment which focuses on economic vitality.
  - 3. Improve reliability and accessibility of travel to and within the regional activity centers.
  - 4. Reduce freight bottlenecks.
  - 5. Increase multimodal access to tourist destinations.
- E. **Mobility** Increase travel efficiency and mode choices by maintaining the transportation system in a state of good repair
  - 1. Increase the percentage of complete streets across the highway network to maximize use of available capacity.
  - 2. Increase system efficiency through operational, transportation demand management (TDM), and technologybased solutions.
  - 3. Improve system reliability across all modes.

Each project was screened to assess the project's ability to help meet the objectives. This

assessment ensures projects that are implemented advance the region's planning goals.

## How to Read a Project

The RRTPO has taken a web-first approach to the TIP and public accessibility. All projects can be found in an interactive format at <u>https://www.rrtpotip.org</u>. These pages include project details, maps (where available), consistency with ConnectRVA goals, planned obligations, and the ability to comment directly on the project. An example of the page format is below.



In this document, projects are also listed in a format that matches that Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

UPC I	NO	101020	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	nstruction w/ Added Capacity						
SYST	EM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	1	OVERSIGHT	NFO				
PROJ	ECT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 10	(BERMUDA TRIAN	GLE RD TO MEADO	ADMIN BY	Locally					
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: 1.73 mi. W HUNDRED ROAD)		Rte. 618 (OLD BERMUDA HUNDRED RD) TO: 0.27 mi. E of Rte. 618 (OLD BERMUDA 2.0000 MI)							
PROC	GRAM NOTE	FFY25, \$1,321,439	1 GARVEE DS Prin I GARVEE DS Prin F esponding DS UPC 1	FY26, \$1,384,964 G							
ROUT	E/STREET	WEST HNDRD/ME	ADOWVILLE/OLD B	ERMUDA HNDRD/F	TOTAL COST	\$66,061,655					
	FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27				
RW	Federal - A	COTHER	\$0	\$7,648,824	\$0	\$0	\$0				
CN	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964				
	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$1,157,140	\$0	\$0	\$0				
CN TO	OTAL		\$0	\$1,157,140	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964				
CN AC	Federal - AC		\$0	\$16,709,865	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	Federal - A	C OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0				
CN A	C		\$0	\$16,709,865	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0				

Project basic information included location, scope and cost

Planned Obligations by Phase, Year, and Fund Source

Advance Construction by Phase and Year (marked with AC)

## Interstate Projects

UPC NO	121682	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering							
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Goochland County OVERSIGHT NFO							
PROJECT	I-64/ASHLAND RD I	NTERCHANGE IMR			ADMIN BY	VDOT				
DESCRIPTION	FROM: I-64 TO: I-64	FROM: I-64 TO: I-64								
Program Note	FFY23 Rollover project - Project added to the FFY24 STIP based on FHWA approval of STIP Amd #FFY23-06 2/14/23. Project is consistent with the metropolitan TIP.									
ROUTE/STREET	1-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$360,000				
FUND SOL	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27				
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

UPC NO	C	97565	SCOPE	Bridge Replacement w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	СТ	RTE 64 - REPLACE E	BRIDGES OVER RTE 1	56 (FED ID 9760 & 97	62)	ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 0.473 MILE WEST OF ROUTE 156 TO: 0.475 MILE EAST OF ROUTE 156 (_)						
PROGR	AM NOTE	TE Linked with UPC 97566						
ROUTE,	/STREET	INTERSTATE 64 (006	54)		TOTAL COST	\$35,006,633		
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN AC	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$16,925	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC N	0	107458	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity		
SYSTEM	M	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	FO
PROJE	СТ	#HB2.FY17 RTE 64 -	MAJOR WIDENING			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: RTE 295 TO:	EXIT 205 (BOTTOM'S	BR) (3.9300 MI)			
PROGE	RAM NOTE	\$2,849,102 GARVEE	GARVEE Debt Service Debt Service Principa Dal FFY27. Total GARV	al FFY25, \$2,993,833 C	GARVEE Debt Service	Principal FFY26, \$3,1	44,756 GARVEE
ROUTE	STREET	0064				TOTAL COST	\$50,490,434
	FUND SOU	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - Nł	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$1,280	\$0	\$O	\$0
CN	Federal - AC	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$2,849,102	\$2,993,833	\$3,144,756
	Federal - Nł	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$2,710,358	\$0	\$O	\$O
CN TO	TAL		\$0	\$2,710,358	\$2,849,102	\$2,993,833	\$3,144,756
CN AC	Federal - AC		\$0	\$25,651,017	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - AC	COTHER	\$0	\$7,939,005	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN AC			\$0	\$33,590,022	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC	10	110394	SCOPE					
SYSTE	ЕM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJ	ECT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 64 -	MAJOR WIDENING	CARVEE DEBT SERVIO	CE	ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESC	RIPTION							
PROC NOTE		\$1,247,381 GARVEE	Debt Service Interest	FFY25, \$1,103,132 GAF	i,651 GARVEE Debt Se ≀VEE Debt Service Int bt Service Interest \$18	erest FFY26, \$951,577	GARVEE Debt	
		107458						
ROUT	E/STREET	107458 0064				TOTAL COST	\$43,909,094	
ROUT	E/STREET FUND SOU	0064	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	TOTAL COST FY26	\$43,909,094 FY27	
ROUT	FUND SOU	0064	MATCH \$0	FY24 \$0	FY25 \$1,247,381		· , , ,	
	FUND SOU	0064 RCE C CONVERSION				FY26	FY27	
	FUND SOU Federal - A Federal - N	0064 RCE C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$1,247,381	FY26 \$1,103,132	FY27 \$951,577	

UPCN	10	113844	SCOPE	Traffic Managemen	t/Engineering		
SYSTE	SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County			OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJ	PROJECT I-64 at Parham IMR					ADMIN BY	Locally
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: .25 miles nor	rth of Mayland Drvie 1	TO: Fordson Road (0.2	2700 MI)		
ROUT	E/STREET	1-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$600,000
	FUND SOURCE MATCH			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					\$0		

UPC NO		120374	SCOPE	New Construction Roadway			
SYSTEM Interstate		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County	Henrico County		NFO
PROJECT	PROJECT #I64CIP - I-64WB E		XIT 181 IMPROVE INT	ERCHANGE CONFIGU	JRATION	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPT	ION	FROM: MM 181 TO: N	MM 181				
ROUTE/ST	REET	0064				TOTAL COST	\$12,000,000
	FUND	SOURCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federa	al - AC	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$O	\$O	\$0
RW AC Federal - AC		\$0	\$O	\$1,000,000	\$O	\$O	
CN AC	Federa	al - AC OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,000,000	\$O

UPC NC	)	120384	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM	SYSTEM Interstate		JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	PROJECT #I64CIP - I-64 INCF		EASE BUS FREQUEN	CY- RTE7 / NINE MILE	E ROAD	ADMIN BY	DRPT	
DESCRI	PTION							
ROUTE/	STREET	NINE MILE ROAD (0	064)			TOTAL COST	\$7,	816,397
	FUND SOUF	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$7,816,397	\$0	\$0		\$0

UPC NO		120381	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Multi-jurisdictional: Richmond MPO OVERSIGHT NFO			NFO	
PROJECT		#I64CIP - E/WB EXF	PRESS BUS ROUTE-22	2x SHORT PUMP TO [	DOWNTOWN	ADMIN BY	DRPT	
DESCRIPT	ΓΙΟΝ							
ROUTE/ST	FREET	1-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$3,017,484	
	FUND	SOURCE	DURCE MATCH FY24 FY25			FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federa	I - AC OTHER	\$0	\$3,017,484	\$3,017,484 \$0 \$0			

UPC NO		111984	SCOPE				
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	Г	#SMART18 - I-95 Au	xLanes b/w Rte.288/R	te.10-GARVEE Debt S	erv	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTEIncludes \$547,287 GARVEE Debt Service Interest Prev, \$330,386 GARVEE Debt Debt Service Interest FFY25, \$523,506 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY26, \$ \$2,800,788 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY25-39. Total GARVEE Debt Service \$5,219,715. Corresponding CN UPC 111466					terest FFY26, \$488,88	87 GARVEE Debt Serv	
ROUTE/S	TREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$7,597,000
	FUND	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federa CONV	al - AC ERSION	\$0	\$0	\$528,861	\$523,506	\$488,887
	Federal - NHS/NHPP		\$0	\$330,386	\$0	\$0	\$0
PE TOTAI	,		\$0	\$330,386	\$528,861	\$523,506	\$488,887
PE AC	Federa	al - AC	\$0	\$4,342,042	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	119673	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	ering			
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Richmond OVERSIGHT NFO				
PROJECT	I-95 at Commerce F	Road Access Study			ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: V	√arious (_)					
Program Note	FFY23 Rollover projection consistent with the	ect to adjust the FFY2 metropolitan TIP.	24 STIP based on STIF	P Adj. #FFY23-09 pro	cessed 6/27/23. Proje	ct is	
ROUTE/STREET	1-95 (0095)				TOTAL COST	\$550,000	
FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27			FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NC	)	116656	SCOPE	Safety				
SYSTEM	/STEM Interstate JURISDICTION Statewide			OVERSIGHT	NFO			
PROJEC	CT	#I95CIP VARIABLE S	SPEED LIMITS - PROC	GRAM UPC		ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious					
ROUTE/	/STREET	0095				TOTAL COST		\$4,912,629
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - NH	IS/NHPP	\$0	\$4,912,629	\$0	\$0		\$0

UPC NC	)	116657	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM	1	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide OVERSIGHT NFO			NFO
PROJEC	CT	#I95CIP RAMP METE	ERING PROGRAM UF	ROGRAM UPC ADMIN BY			VDOT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious				
ROUTE/	STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$5,700,000
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - NH	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
PE AC	Federal - AC	COTHER	\$0	\$2,200,000	\$O	\$0	\$O

UPC NC	)	116658	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	Т	#195CIP GEOFENCE	D EMERGENCY NOT	IFICATIONS - PROGE	RAM UPC	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious				
ROUTE/	STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$200,000
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$200,000	\$0	\$O	\$0

UPC NC	)	116659	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM	1	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide OVERSIGHT NFC			NFO
PROJEC	CT	#I95CIP ADVANCED	WORK ZONE TECH	NOLOGY - PROGRAM	1 UPC	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious				
ROUTE/	/STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$950,000
	FUND SOUF	CE MATCH FY24 FY25				FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$950,000	\$0	\$O	\$0

UPC NC	)	116661	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM	SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Statewide				OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	#I95CIP CORRIDOR	TECHNOLOGY IMPR	OVEMENTS - PROGR	RAM UPC	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious				
ROUTE/	/STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$3,202,500
	FUND SOUF	RCE	CE MATCH FY24 FY25				FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	D \$3,202,500 \$0 \$0			

UPC NO	93087	SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	#SGR17VB - RT 195 -	BR REPAIR OVER RT	76, CSX (Fed 21552)		ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 0.145 MI N OI	: 0.145 MI N OF RTE 76 TO: 0.071 MI S OF RTE 76 (0.2160 MI)						
PROGRAM NOTE	All funds obligated b	based on current allo	cations/estimate					
ROUTE/STREET	0195				TOTAL COST	\$14,697,630		
FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	TCH FY24 FY25			FY27		
\$0 \$0				\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC	10	115869	SCOPE	Safety					
SYSTE	ЕM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJ	ECT	#ITTF20 STATEWID	ITTF20 STATEWIDE TECHNOLOGY FOR OPERATIONS ADMIN BY VDOT						
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: Various TO: V	ROM: Various TO: Various						
ROUT	E/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$2,000,000		
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$913,491	\$0	\$0	\$0		
PE	Federal - A	C OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$0				
AC									

UPC N	10	123919	SCOPE	Safety					
SYSTE	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Goochland County	1	OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE	ECT	#SMART24 - I-64 AT ASH	LAND RD (RTE 623)	INTERCHANGE		ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCE	RIPTION	FROM: I-64 TO: ASHLANE	И: I-64 TO: ASHLAND RD (RTE 623) INTERCHANGE (0.2800 MI)						
PROG	RAM NOTE	TIP AMD - add \$5,374,713	(AC-Other) FFY24 P	E phase; add \$1,274,	992 (NHPP) FFY27 R'	W phase. Approved	12/7/23.		
ROUTE	E/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$75,917,941		
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - A	AC OTHER	\$0	\$5,374,713	\$0	\$0	\$0		
AC									
RW	Federal - N	NHS/NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,274,992		

UPC N	0	123831	SCOPE	Reconstruction w	/Added Capacity			
SYSTE	Μ	Interstate	JURISDICTION	New Kent County	1	OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	CT	#SMART24 - I-64 GAP WIDE	NING - SEGMENT B			ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCF	RIPTION	FROM: MILEMARKER 215.6 T	O: MILEMARKER 22	4.3 (8.7000 MI)				
PROGRAM NOTETIP ADJ – Add rollover project to TIP based on prior MPO and FHWA approval of STIP Amd #FFY23-03 11/18/23. Approved 11/6/23.; TIP AMD - move \$23,323,495 (Other: State) from Prev to FFY24 PE phase; add \$1,000,000 (Other: State) FFY25 RW phase; add \$165,935,226 (Other: State), \$46,789,224 (Other: Funds CVTA) & \$7,251,447 (NHPP) FFY25 CN phase. Approved 12/7/23.								
ROUTE	E/STREET	1-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$244,299,392	
	FUND SOL	JRCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Other		\$0	\$23,323,495	\$0	\$0	\$0	
RW	Other		\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - N	NHS/NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$7,251,447	\$0	\$0	
	Other		\$0	\$0	\$212,724,450	\$0	\$0	
CN TO	TAL		\$0	\$0	\$219,975,897	\$0	\$0	

МРО	Richmond							
UPC NO	122147	SCOPE	Safety					
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Multi-jurisdictional: Richmond MPO OVERSIGHT FO					
PROJECT	#ITTF23 - 288 NB H	IARD SHOULDER	RUNNING (HSR)		ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 22.62 MM TO: Rte 6							
	FFY23 Rollover pro Project is consister			TIP based on FHWA	approval of STIP	Amd #FFY23-10 7/03/23.		
ROUTE/STREET	RTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$39,561,735		
FUND SO	URCE	МАТСН	FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27					
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC N	10	125979	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTE	М	Interstate	JURISDICTION	New Kent County	New Kent County OVERSIGHT N			
PROJE	ECT	I-64 EXIT 211 INTERC	HANGE IMPROVEME	1ENTS ADMIN BY VDOT				
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: MM211 TO: MM211.2						
PROG	RAM NOTE				d \$ 2,759,207 (Other: F servation Network; N			
ROUTI	E/STREET	I-64 (0064)			TOTAL COST	\$104,409,043		
	FUND SO	URCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Other	\$O		\$O	\$7,509,206	\$O	\$O	
RW	Other		\$O	\$O	\$0	\$O	\$2,759,207	

UPC NO	C	126496	SCOPE	Reconstructio	n w/Added Capaci	ty	
SYSTEM	1	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico Count	ЗУ	OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	СТ	I-64 Gayton Rd Int	terchange & Short Pun	np Area Improv	ements	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRI	SCRIPTION FROM: I-64 @ RTE 288 Interchange TO: I-64 @ RTE 250 Interchange						
PROGR	AM NOTE	TIP AMD – add \$16,964,000 (Other: Funds) FFY25 PE phase; add \$15,039,412 (Other: Funds) FFY27 RW Phase. Add PE & RW phases to demonstrate fiscal constraint for IAR & Arterial Preservation Network; NE purposes. Approved 10/31/24.					
ROUTE,	/STREET	1-64 (0064)			TOTAL COST	\$331,418,357	
	FUND SOURCE		МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Other		\$0	\$0	\$16,964,000	\$0	\$0
RW	RW Other \$		\$0	\$0	\$O	\$0	\$15,039,412

MPO	Richmond	lichmond							
UPC NO	122805	SCOPE	Reconstruction w	/Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	New Kent County OVERSIGHT NFO						
PROJECT	#SMART24 - I-64 (	SAP WIDENING -	WIDENING - SEGMENT A ADMIN BY VDOT						
DESCRIPTION	FROM: MILEMARK	FROM: MILEMARKER 204.9 TO: MILEMARKER 215.6 (10.7000 MI)							
	FFY23 Rollover pro consistent with th	5		TIP based on FHW	A approval of STIF	P Amd #FFY23-07 3/03/23. Project is			
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$277,828,078			
FUND SO	URCE MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26					FY27			
-	\$0         \$0<								

## **Primary Projects**

UPC N	0	101020	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity		
SYSTEM	M	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	СТ	#HB2.FY17 RTE 10 (E	BERMUDA TRIANGLE	RD TO MEADOWVIL	LE RD)	ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION FROM: 1.73 mi. W of Rte. 618 (OLD BERMUDA HUNDRED RD) TO: 0.27 mi. (2.0000 MI)						618 (OLD BERMUDA	HUNDRED ROAD)
PROGE	RAM NOTE	GARVEE DS Prin FF	GARVEE DS Prin Prev, Y26, \$1,384,964 GARV bonding DS UPC 11039	EE DS Prin FFY27 To		368 GARVEE DS Prin	FFY25, \$1,321,439
ROUTE	/STREET	WEST HNDRD/MEA	DOWVILLE/OLD BER	ILLE/OLD BERMUDA HNDRD/RVRSBND (0010)			\$66,061,655
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$7,648,824	\$O	\$O	\$0
CN	Federal - AC	CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964
	Federal - NH	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$1,157,140	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN TO	TAL		\$0	\$1,157,140	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964
CN Federal - AC \$0 AC			\$16,709,865	\$C	\$0	\$0	
Federal - AC OTHER \$			\$0	\$0	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0
CN AC			\$0	\$16,709,865	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$O

UPC NO	C	102952	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	RTE 10 (I-95 - Rt 1) - V	VIDENING			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.087 MI W F	RTE 1(JEFF DAVIS HW	/Y) TO: 0.507 MI E RTE	E1 (JEFF DAVIS HWY)	) (0.5100 MI)		
ROUTE/	/STREET	WEST HUNDRED RO	AD (0010)			TOTAL COST		\$8,996,859
	FUND SOUF	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	Federal - RS	TP	\$34,222	\$136,888	\$0	\$0		\$0
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$3,711,108	\$0	\$0		\$O

UPC NO	104889	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County OVERSIGHT NFO					
PROJECT	RT 10 (Whitepine to	Frith) WIDENING	th) WIDENING ADMIN BY Locally					
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 0.787 MI N. o	7 MI N. of Rte. 288 TO: 1.110 MI S. of Rte. 288 (1.8970 MI)						
PROGRAM NOTE	All funds obligated l	based on current allo	cations/estimate					
ROUTE/STREET	IRONBRIDGE ROAD	(0010)			TOTAL COST	\$15,171,000		
FUND SOUI	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0					\$0			

UPC N	10	110393	SCOPE				
SYSTE	M	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	ý	OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	ECT	#HB2.FY17 RT 10 (BE	ERM TRI TO MEADOV	VV) GARVEE DEBT SE	ERVICE	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE Includes \$2,973,055 GARVEE DS Int Prev, \$774,993 GARVEE DS Int FFY24, \$758,944 GARVEE DS Int FFY25, \$698,074 GARVEE DS Int FFY26, \$634,246 GARVEE DS Int FFY27, \$2,736,587 GARVEE DS Int FFY28-38. Total GARVEE DS Int \$8,575,899. Corresponding CN UPC 101020							
ROUT	E/STREET	0010				TOTAL COST	\$16,324,630
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$758,944	\$698,074	\$634,246
	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$774,993	\$0	\$0	\$O
PE TO	PETOTAL		\$0	\$774,993	\$758,944	\$698,074	\$634,246
PE AC	PE Federal - AC		\$0	\$4,827,851	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC N	0	56181	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/	Added Capacity			
SYSTEM	М	Primary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	CT	RTE 33 - ADD LEFT	TURN LANES AT THE	ADMIN BY	VDOT			
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: 0.310 MI S R	FROM: 0.310 MI S RTE 623 (ASHLAND RD) TO: 0.285 MI N RTE 623 (ASHLAND RD) (0.5850 MI)					
ROUTE	E/STREET	0033				TOTAL COST	\$9,026,104	
	FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	PE Other		\$0	\$8,853	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Other		\$0	\$3,348,947	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO	118145	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	Route 60 Corridor I	mprovement Study		ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Winterfield	M: Winterfield Rd/LeGordon Drive TO: Old Buckingham Road/Woolrdige Rd					
ROUTE/STREET	ROUTE 60 (0060)				TOTAL COST	\$125,000	
FUND SOU	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NC	)	120380	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Primary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County OVERSIGHT NFO			NFO	
PROJEC	Т	#I64CIP - I-64 E/WB	- BROAD STREET - S	SHORT PUMP BUS SERVICE ADMIN BY DRPT				
DESCRI	PTION							
ROUTE/	STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$3,744,635	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$O	\$3,744,635	\$0	\$0	\$C	

UPC NO	115534	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	Hopkins/Chippenha	ım Interchange Modi	fication Report (IMR)	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: V	arious TO: Various					
ROUTE/STREET	CHIPPENHAM PAR	(WAY (0150)			TOTAL COST	\$500,000	
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$O	

UPC NO	C	118470	SCOPE	Safety and Education of Pedestrians /Bicyclisits				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	СТ	W Broad St Pedestr	ian and Transit Impro	ADMIN BY	VDOT			
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: Glenside Driv	/e TO: Parham Road	(1.7900 MI)				
ROUTE,	/STREET	WEST BROAD STRE	ET (0250)			TOTAL COST	\$11,951,231	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - RS	TP	\$315,587	\$1,262,348	\$0	\$0	\$O	
RW	Federal - RSTP		\$494,307	\$0	\$0	\$1,977,226	\$0	
CN	Federal - RS	TP	\$1,580,353	\$0	\$0	\$O	\$6,321,411	

UPC NO		111467	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity			
SYSTEM		Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT		#SMART18 - SB Rt 28	88 to WB US 360 WB	Off-Ramp	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION		FROM: 0.046 MI. N c	046 MI. N of Route 360 (Hull Street Rd.) TO: 1.135 MI. N. of Route 360 (Hull Street Rd (1.0890 MI)					
Program Note		FFY23 Rollover proje consistent with the	ect to adjust the FFY2 metropolitan TIP.	24 STIP based on STIF	P Adj. #FFY23-09 pro	cessed 6/27/23. Proje	ct is	
ROUTE/STREET	Т	ROUTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$21,860,471	
F	FUND SOURCE		МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NC	)	118147	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	IAR - Route 288 (We	st Creek Area)		ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Broad Street	Road TO: Tuckahoe	Creek Parkway				
ROUTE/	/STREET	ROUTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$499,900	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - RS	TP	\$180	\$180 \$720 \$0		\$0	\$0	

UPC NO	C	104890	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County OVERSIGHT			NFO	
PROJEC	СТ	RTE 360 E (Lonas Pk	wy to Castle Rock Ro	ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 0.190 Miles W	Vest of Bridgewood F	st of Castle Rock Rd.	1. (0.5610 MI)			
ROUTE,	/STREET	HULL STREET ROAD	0 (0360)			TOTAL COST	\$7,034,227	
	FUND SOUP	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$597,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO	118144	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	DJECT US 360 Superstreets Study				ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Winterpock	Rd TO: Harbour Point	t Parkway/Mockingbi	ird Lane			
ROUTE/STREET	US 360 (0360)				TOTAL COST	\$300,000	
FUND SOU	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO	)	13551	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	СТ	#SMART18 - RTE 360	) WIDENING			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 0.61 MI W RTE 643 (LEE DAVIS RD) TO: 0.19 MI E RTE 643 (LEE DAVIS RD) (0.8000 MI)					
ROUTE/	/STREET	T MECHANICSVILLE TURNPIKE (0360)				TOTAL COST	\$34,744,037
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$73,989	\$0	\$0	\$0
RW AC	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$407,325	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$4,712,137	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	115202	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	Countywide Sidewa	lk Plan			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious					
ROUTE/STREET	VARIOUS (9999)				TOTAL COST	\$124,935	
FUND SOUF	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

## Secondary Projects

UPC NO	)	111713	SCOPE	New Construct	ion Roadway		
SYSTEM		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield Co	ounty	OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	T	#SMART18 – BBC PI	1 – Bailey Br. Conn., Br	ad McNeer Con	n.	ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION FROM: Brad McNeer Pkwy TO: Bailey Bridge Road				ge Road			
STP/STBG) FF				/STBG) FFY26 R	N phase; add an ac	ditional \$9,237,76	FFY24, add \$248,000 (AC- 5 (ACC – Other) & \$1,351,970
ROUTE/S	STREET	Bailey Bridge Conn	ector (0000)		TOTAL COST	\$36,464,535	
	FUND SOU	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW	Federal – A	C Conversion	\$0	\$0	\$O	\$248,000	\$O
RW AC	Federal - AG	2	\$0	\$O	\$248,000	\$O	\$O
CN	Federal – A	C Conversion	\$0	\$O	\$O	\$1,351,970	\$O
CN AC	Federal – A	C	\$0	\$0	\$1,351,970	\$0	\$O
	Federal – A	C Other	\$0	\$0	\$31,612,565	\$0	\$0
CN AC	-		\$0	\$0	\$32,964,535	\$O	\$O

UPC NO	115193	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	Road Diet Study (Ho	opkins, Turner, White	ns, Turner, Whitepine) ADMIN BY Locally				
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious					
ROUTE/STREET	HOPKINS, TURNER,	WHITEPINE (0637)			TOTAL COST		\$83,793
FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH FY24 FY25			FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0

UPC NO	C	77121	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity		
SYSTEM	I Secondary JURISDICTION Hanover County			OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJEC	СТ	RTE 638 - CONSTRU	CT LTL & ADD SB RE	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 0.2 MILE SOL					
ROUTE/	/STREET	ATLEE ROAD (0638)				TOTAL COST	\$2,588,517
	FUND SOUF	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - CMAQ		\$4,906	\$19,622	\$O	\$O	\$0
CN AC			\$0	\$160,430	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NC	)	115195	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity		
SYSTEM		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	Т	Atlee Station Rd Wig	dening (Phase 2)			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: RTE 1860 (Castle Tower Rd) TO: RTE 1255 ( Warren Ave) (2.0700 MI)					
ROUTE/	E/STREET ATLEE STATION ROAD (0637)					TOTAL COST	\$31,529,239
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW	Federal - RS	STP	\$411,144	\$O	\$1,644,576	\$0	\$O
RW	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$4,421,280	\$0	\$0
AC							
CN AC	Federal - AC	COTHER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,542,708

UPC NO	109988	SCOPE	Bridge Replacement w/ Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County OVERSIGHT NFO			NFO		
PROJECT	#SGR21VB -RT 715 -	BR ONLY OVER NEW	VFOUND RIVER (Fed 9578) ADMIN BY VDOT					
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 0.80 Miles North of Rte 781 TO: 0.90 Miles North of Rte 781 (0.0730 MI)							
Program Note	FFY23 Rollover project to adjust the FFY24 STIP based on STIP Adj. #FFY23-08 processed 6/01/23. Project is consistent with the metropolitan TIP.							
ROUTE/STREET	BEAVER DAM ROA	D (0715)			TOTAL COST	\$1,722,235		
FUND SOU	FUND SOURCE MATCH		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO	81667	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	RTE 615 (CREIGHTO	N RD) - ROUNDABO	ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESCRIPTION		-ROM: COLD HARBOR RD - FR 0.16 MI N CREIGHTON RD TO 0.08 MI S TO: CREIGHTON RD - FR 0.12 MI W COLD HARBOR RD TO 0.07 MI E (0.2000 MI)					
ROUTE/STREET	CREIGHTON ROAD	& COLD HARBOR RC	)AD (0615)		TOTAL COST	\$7,599,275	
FUND SOURCE MATCH		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
\$0 \$0 \$0			\$0	\$0			

UPC NO	0	109260	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	ering		
SYSTEM	Л	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	СТ	POLE GREEN RD W	IDENING			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: Bell Creek Ro	ll Creek Road TO: Rural Point Road (1.6300 MI)				
ROUTE	DUTE/STREET POLE GREEN ROAD (0627)				TOTAL COST	\$20,506,116	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW	Federal - RS	STP	\$932,365	\$3,729,460	\$O	\$O	\$O
CN	Federal - RSTP		\$1,365,726	\$O	\$O	\$O	\$5,462,905
CN	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$O	\$O	\$7,571,369
AC							

UPC NC	C	104957	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	СТ	#HB2.FY17 RTE 656 -	- SLIDING HILL ROAD	ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 0.35 MI W RTE 1265 (TOTOPOTOMOY TRAIL) TO: 0.676 MI E RTE 1265 (TOTOPOTOMOY TRAIL) (1.0300 MI)						
ROUTE/	/STREET	SLIDING HILL ROAD	) (0656)			TOTAL COST	\$10,248,817	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federal - AC	ederal - AC OTHER		\$569,190	\$0	\$O	\$O	
CN AC			\$0	\$2,747,306	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NC	)	50528	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity			
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	СТ	THREE CHOPT ROA	D - WIDENING - PE C	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: BARRINGTO	N HILL DRIVE TO: GA	SKINS ROAD (1.5000	MI)		
ROUTE/	STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$2,933,000
	FUND SOUF	RCE	CE MATCH FY24 FY25				FY27
PE	Federal - RS	TP \$14,000		\$56,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	C	60933	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity		
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	СТ	RTE 9999 - DABBS H	IOUSE RD; RECONST	RUCTION		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: INT. DABBS HOUSE ROAD AND NINE MILE ROAD TO: 0.075 MI. N OF INT. DABBS HOUSE RD & E RICHMOND RD (0.8920 MI)					
ROUTE,	ROUTE/STREET DABBS HOUSE ROAD (9999)				TOTAL COST	\$13,444,064	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - RS	TP	(\$107,936)	(\$431,742)	\$0	\$0	\$O
RW	Federal - RS	TP	\$8,816	\$35,262	\$0	\$0	\$O
CN	Federal - RSTP		\$99,120	\$396,480	\$0	\$O	\$O
CN AC	CN Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$154,321	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	C	118150	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestr	ians and Bicycles		
SYSTEN	Λ	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	СТ	Nuckols Road Pede	strian Improvements		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: Springfield Road TO: Capital One way					
ROUTE	/STREET	NUCKOLS RD (0157)				TOTAL COST	\$2,160,000
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - CN	/AQ	\$66,400	\$265,600	\$0	\$O	\$O
RW	Federal - CMAQ		\$164,800	\$0	\$0	\$659,200	\$O
CN	Federal - CMAQ \$200,80		\$200,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$803,200

UPC NO	115001	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	Parham Road/Hung	gary Road Bicycle and	cle and Pedestrian Study ADMIN BY Locally				
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Parham Roa	am Road - Various TO: Hungary Road - Various					
ROUTE/STREET	PARHAM ROAD (99	99)			TOTAL COST	\$250,000	
FUND SOURCE MATCH			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO	)	111716	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity		
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	PROJECT #SMART18 - RICHMOND-HENRICO TURNPIKE SOUTH SGMT				Т	ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: LABURNUM	AVENUE TO: HUMMI				
PROGR	AM NOTE	Linked to UPC 110911					
ROUTE/	/STREET	RICHMOND-HENRIC	O TURNPIKE (7609)			TOTAL COST	\$29,678,850
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - ST	P/STBG	\$O	\$92,100	\$0	\$O	\$O
RW	Federal - ST	P/STBG	\$0	\$1,732,358	\$O	\$O	\$O
CN	CN Federal - STP/STBG		\$O	\$2,200,719	\$0	\$O	\$O
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$21,252,031	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC N	0	104880	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedest			
SYSTEM	М	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	RIDGEFIELD PKWY - CONSTRUCT SIDEWALK				ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: PUMP RD TO	D: FALCONBRIDGE D	PR (1.1800 MI)			
ROUTE	E/STREET	RIDGEFIELD PARK	WAY (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$1,172,000
	FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN AC			\$O	\$1,999	\$0	\$O	\$0

UPCI	10	60934	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTE	EM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJ	ECT	RTE 9999 - SADLER	RD; WIDEN & RECOM	ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: 0.005 MI WEST OF DOMINION BOULEVARD TO: 0.030 MI EAST OF CEDAR FOREST ROAD (1.8830 MI)					D MI)	
ROUT	E/STREET	SADLER ROAD (999	9)			TOTAL COST	\$3,500,000	
	FUND SOU	FUND SOURCE MATCH			FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - STP/STBG		\$29,656	\$118,623	\$0	\$0	\$O	

UPC NO	C	50529	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity		
SYSTEM	YSTEM Secondary JURISDICTION Henrico County				OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT RTE 9999 (THREE CHOPT ROAD) WIDEN TO 4 LANES			TO 4 LANES		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 1.076 MI W INT. COX ROAD TO: 0.241 MI E INT. GASKINS ROAD (2.1740 MI)					
ROUTE	/STREET	THREE CHOPT ROA	D (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$30,818,528
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW	Federal - RS	БТР	\$728,699	\$2,914,796	\$0	\$0	\$O
CN	Federal - RSTP		\$2,700,499	\$0	\$10,801,994	\$0	\$O
CN AC	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$9,903,733	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	)	101246	SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o A	dded Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Powhatan County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJEC	СТ	ROUTE 681 - BRIDGE REPAIR (SCOUR) OVER APPOMATTOX RIVER					VDOT		
DESCRI	PTION	Rte 681 Over Apporr	nattox River (VA Str 6						
ROUTE/	/STREET	CLEMENTON ROAD	(0681)			TOTAL COST	\$2,036,495		
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - BR		\$O	\$90,135	\$O	\$O	\$O		
CN	N Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$557,778	\$0	\$0	\$O		
AC									

UPC NO	112974	SCOPE	New Construction Roadway					
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	DJECT RTE 668 (WOOLRIDGE ROAD, RT 288-OLD HUNDRED ROAD) EXTENSION					LOCALLY		
DESCRIPTION	IPTION FROM: 0.299 MI South of Rte 652 TO: 0.435 MI North of Rte 288							
ROUTE/STREET	Woolridge Road (Rt	e 668)			TOTAL COST			
MPO NOTE	Project is non-federa	ıl; Funded via CVTA, st	tate Revenue Sharing	; Included for conform	mity; CN completion (	expected in 2025		
FUND SOUF	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO	HEN0001	SCOPE	New Construction Roadway					
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County OVERSIGHT			NFO		
PROJECT	Magellan Parkway I	Extension	ADMIN BY LOCALLY					
DESCRIPTION	From: Green City, To	om: Green City, To: Magellan Parkway Bridge over I-95						
ROUTE/STREET	Magellan Parkway				TOTAL COST	\$14,400,000		
MPO NOTE	Project is non-federa	al; Locally funded; Incl	uded for conformity a	only; CN completion e	expected in 2024			
FUND SOU	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO	HEN0002	SCOPE	New Construction Bridge					
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County   OVERSIGHT   NFO					
PROJECT	Magellan Parkway E	Bridge over I-95	ADMIN BY LOCALLY					
DESCRIPTION	From: Magellan Pkv	rom: Magellan Pkwy Extension, To: Magellan Pkwy (Retreat @ One)						
ROUTE/STREET	Magellan Parkway				TOTAL COST	\$18,616,000		
MPO NOTE	Project is non-federa	al; CVTA funded; Inclu	ded for conformity; C	N completion expect	ed in 2025			
FUND SOUF	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO	)	T29562	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	СТ	Multimodal Improv	ements on S. Laburn	ADMIN BY	LOCALLY			
DESCRI	IPTION	From: Thornhurst Street To: Gay Avenue						
ROUTE/	/STREET	S. Laburnum Avenu	ie (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$18,616,000	
MPO NO	OTE	TIP AMD – add \$1,70	3,335 (AC-Other) FFY	24				
	FUND SO	FUND SOURCE MATCH		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE AC	Federal – AC Other \$0		\$1,703,335	\$0	\$0	\$0		

## **Urban Projects**

UPC NO		121681	SCOPE	Bridge Replacemen	t w/o Added Capacit	У	
SYSTEM		Urban	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT		#BF- RTE 0 MEADO	W RD (FED ID 9652) (	CR		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTIC	ON	FROM: 2.15 miles TO	GRAPEVINE RD TO:				
Program Note FFY23 Rollover project - Project added to the FFY24 STIP based on FHWA approval of STIP Amd #FFY23-06 2/14/2 Project is consistent with the metropolitan TIP.						3-06 2/14/23.	
ROUTE/STR	ROUTE/STREET MEADOW ROAD (0000)					TOTAL COST	\$2,181,266
	FUND S	OURCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW	Federal	- BR	\$0	\$0	\$5,250	\$0	\$0
CN	Federal	- BR	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,746,556	\$0
CN AC	Federal	- AC OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$186,500	\$0
L				L	L	L	
UPC NO 15958 SCOPE		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM Urban JURISE		JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT		

SYSIEN	1	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	
PROJE	СТ	COMMERCE ROAD	- WIDENING			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: BELLS ROAD	) (SOUTH JUNCTION)	TO: 0.13 Mile N. of BE	ELLEMEADE ROAD (1.	.8780 MI)	
ROUTE,	/STREET	COMMERCE ROAD				TOTAL COST	\$36,272,153
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - RS	ΤP	\$100,159	\$400,635	\$O	\$0	\$0
CN	Federal - NH	IS/NHPP	\$0	\$3,290,346	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - RS	ΤP	\$438,514	\$1,754,054	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG		\$234,321	\$937,283	\$O	\$O	\$0
CN TOTAL			\$672,834	\$5,981,683	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$20,934,577	\$O	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	19036	SCOPE	OPE   Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Urban	URISDICTION Richmond C			OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT FOREST HILL AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS				ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: HATHAWAY	RD TO: POWHITE PA	RKWAY (0.7400 MI)				
ROUTE/STREET	FOREST HILL AVENU	JE (U000)			TOTAL COST	\$14,189,886	
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC N	0	19035	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o	Added Capacity				
SYSTE	М	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE	ECT	JAHNKE ROAD - 2 L	ANE IMPROVEMENT	-S		ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCF	RIPTION	FROM: BLAKEMORI	E RD TO: FOREST HIL	L AVE (1.2200 MI)					
PROGRAM NOTE		\$120,000 (RSTP) from \$5,117,417 (RSTP) from	i28,000 (RSTP) from FFY24 to FFY25 & add an addit'l \$389,840 PE phase; move om FFY24 to FFY25 & add an addit'l \$832,918 RW phase; move \$865,522 (CM) from FFY24 to FFY25, mo om FFY24 to FFY25 & add an addit'l \$2,516,076, release IG) FFY24, move \$9,126,994 (AC-Other) from FFY24 to FFY25 & add an addit'l a Approved 10/31/24						
ROUTE	E/STREET	JAHNKE ROAD				TOTAL COST	\$27,700,433		
	FUND SOUF	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - RS	TP	\$179,460	\$0	\$717,840	\$0	\$O		
RW	Federal - RS	TP	\$238,230	\$0	\$952,918	\$0	\$0		
CN	Federal - CM	1AQ	\$216,381	\$0	\$865,522	\$0	\$0		
	Federal - RSTP		\$1,908,373	\$0	\$7,633,493	\$0	\$O		
CN TO	TAL		\$2,124,754	\$0	\$8,499,015	\$0	\$O		
CN AC	Federal - AC OTHER \$0		\$0	\$0	\$10,988,217	\$O	\$0		

UPC NO 104887 SCOPE Bridge Rehab w/o Added				dded Capacity			
SYSTEM	/STEM Urban JURISDICTION Richmond			OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJEC	PROJECT RTE 60 - BRIDGE REHABILITATION					ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	DESCRIPTION AT RTE 161 (BELT BLVD) (0.1900 MI)						
ROUTE/	/STREET	MIDLOTHIAN TURN	PIKE (0060)			TOTAL COST	\$2,010,348
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - RS	TP	\$0	\$411,882	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN			\$0	\$85,589	\$0	\$0	\$0
AC							

UPC NO	C	115222	SCOPE	Other			
SYSTEM	Λ	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	СТ	Park-N-Ride Bus Pu	rchase			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious				
ROUTE/	/STREET	VARIOUS (9999)				TOTAL COST	\$90,335
	FUND SOURCE MATCH			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - CMAQ \$18,067		\$18,067	\$72,268	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO		T29775	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM		Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	PROJECT RICHMOND SAFE STREETS 4 ALL TRAFFIC SAFETY AND OPERATIONS				RATIONS	ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPT	ION	FROM: CITYWIDE (N	<b>ULTIPLE LOCATION</b>	S) TO: CITYWIDE (MI	JLTIPLE LOCATIONS	)	
PROGRAN		TIP AMD - add \$1,' 9/5/2024.	762,516 (Other Funds	s) FFY24 PE phase;	add \$9,006,394 (Ot	her Funds) FFY25 C	N phase. Approved
ROUTE/ST	REET	CITYWIDE (MULTIPI	_E LOCATIONS) (9999	9)		TOTAL COST	\$13,461,137
	FUND SOU	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Other		\$440,629	\$1,762,516	\$O	\$O	\$0
CN	CN Other		\$2,251,599	\$O	\$9,006,394	\$0	\$0

UPC NO		T29776	SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o	Added Capacity				
SYSTEM		Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJEC	CT ARTHUR ASHE BLVD. BRIDGE REPLACEMENT OVER CSX RAILROAD					ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION									
PROGRA	AM NOTE	TIP AMD - add \$1,3 9/5/24	TIP AMD - add \$1,360,000 (Other Funds) FFY25 PE phase; add \$17,040,000 (Other Funds) FFY27 CN phase. Approved 9/5/24						
ROUTE/S	STREET	ARTHUR ASHE BLV	'D OVER CSX (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$23,000,000		
	FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	E Other		\$340,000	\$0	\$1,360,000	\$0	\$0		
CN	CN Other		\$4,260,000	\$0	\$O	\$0	\$17,040,000		

### **Enhancement Projects**

UPC NO	C NO 107535 SCOPE Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles						
SYSTEM	SYSTEM Enhancement JURISDICTION Henrico County					OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	DOREY PARK - SHA	RED-USE PATH - TAP	)		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	DESCRIPTION FROM: 1.0 mi S of the int of Darbytown Rd and Dorey Pk TO: 0.1 mi S of the int of I					arbytown Rd and Do	rey Pk
ROUTE/	/STREET	DOREY PARK DRIVE	(EN15)			TOTAL COST	\$364,863
	FUND SOUF	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$O	\$17,315	\$O	\$0	\$O
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$13,798	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	107515	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles				
SYSTEM	Enhancement	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	CARNATION STREE	T - ADD SIDEWALK -	ТАР	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: RTE 60 (MID	FROM: RTE 60 (MIDLOTHIAN TPKE) TO: HIOAKS RD					
PROGRAM NOTE	All funds obligated l	based on current allo	cations/estimate				
ROUTE/STREET	CARNATION STREE	T (EN15)			TOTAL COST	\$591,991	
FUND SOU	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$O	\$O	

UPC NO	C	110968	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles					
SYSTEM	1	Enhancement	JURISDICTION	Henrico County	Henrico County OVERSIGHT				
PROJEC	СТ	FOUR MILE CREEK	VCT IMPROVEMENTS		ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 0.1 mi E of Fa	armer's Circle Drive To	D: 0.1 mi W of Farmei	r's Circle Drive (0.200	0 MI)			
ROUTE	/STREET	EN17				TOTAL COST	\$1,234,975		
	FUND SOUP	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN Federal - AC OTHER AC		\$0	\$387,242	\$0	\$0	\$0			

## Miscellaneous Projects

UPC NO	103393	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles				
SYSTEM Miscellaneous JURISDICTION Ashland				OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	ASHLAND TROLLEY	LINE TRAIL	LINE TRAIL			Locally	
DESCRIPTION	FROM: MAPLE ST EX	<b>XTENSION TO: TROLL</b>	EY LINE TRAIL PARK	(0.2700 MI)			
ROUTE/STREET	0000				TOTAL COST	\$1,710,156	
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NC	$\mathbf{D}$	T23339	SCOPE				
SYSTEM	1	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	PE for Parking Lots - Henrico County					DRPT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: V	/arious				
ROUTE/	/STREET	0000				TOTAL COST	\$507,318
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	PE AC Federal - AC OTHER \$0		\$99,999	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO	T206	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT	Alternative Fuel Trol	lley Vehicle Replacen	nent		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRIPTION							
ROUTE/STREET	GREATER RICHMON	ND TRANSIT COMPAN	NY (0000)		TOTAL COST	\$180,000	
FUND SOUF	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
·		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$O	\$O	

UPC NC	)	109193	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM	1	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	CRAC CNG BUS PUP	RCHASE		ADMIN BY	Other		
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: various TO: va	arious					
ROUTE/	STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$140,150	
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	E Federal - CMAQ		\$28,000	\$112,000	\$0	\$0	\$O	

UPC NO	86357	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Multi-jurisdictional: Richmond MPO OVERSIGHT NFO				
PROJECT	RAMPO Travel Dem	and Modeling On-Ca	all Consultant Support ADMIN BY Other				
DESCRIPTION							
ROUTE/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST		\$1,443,550
FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0

UPCN	10	115815	SCOPE	Other	Other				
SYSTE	M	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJ	ECT	I-64 Express Barge	Service Expansion	ADMIN BY Other					
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: RMT TO: RM	Т (_)						
ROUT	E/STREET	RMT (9999)				TOTAL COST	\$3,000,000		
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27						
CN	Federal - C	MAQ	\$600,000	\$2,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC	10	118143	SCOPE	Preliminary Engine	Preliminary Engineering				
SYSTE	EM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond District-	wide	OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJ	ECT	RRTPO Scenario Pla	anning Pilot	ADMIN BY Locally					
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: Various TO: \	/arious						
ROUT	E/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$243,550		
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27						
PE	Federal - R	TP \$48,710 \$194,840			\$C	\$0	\$0		

UPCN	NO	T20741	SCOPE					
SYSTE	EM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJ	ECT	VEHICLE FUEL CON	IVERSION PROGRAM	ADMIN BY	Other			
DESC	RIPTION							
ROUT	E/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST		\$360,000
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - C	MAQ	\$72,000	\$288,000	\$0	\$0		\$0

UPC NO	C	T11802	SCOPE	Other			
SYSTEM	1	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	FO
PROJEC	СТ	Vehicle Fuel Conver	sion Program		Other		
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 1 TO: 1					
ROUTE/	/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$8,640,000
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH FY24 FY25				FY27
CN	Federal - CN	ИAQ	\$1,235,482	\$4,941,927	\$0	\$O	\$(

UPC NO	T41	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	Renovation of Trans	portation Facility		ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCRIPTION						
ROUTE/STREET	GREATER RICHMON	ND TRANSIT COMPAN	NY (Mtch)		TOTAL COST	\$3,786,000
FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO	C	T203	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM	1	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	СТ	Regionwide Air Pollu	ution Reduction Prog	gram - Ridefinders ADMIN BY DRPT				
DESCR	IPTION	Regionwide Air Pollu	ution Reduction Prog	gram				
ROUTE,	/STREET	COMPOOL INC.(RID	EFINDERS) (RAPR)			TOTAL COST		\$17,688,219
	FUND SOUF	JRCE MATCH FY24 FY25			FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - CN	1AQ	\$201,800	\$407,200 \$400,000		\$0		\$0

UPCN	10	113832	SCOPE	Other	Other					
SYSTE	M	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond OVERSIGHT NFO						
PROJ	ECT	Richmond Marine T	erminal (RMT) - Drop	Lot ADMIN BY Other						
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: various TO: v	arious							
PROG	RAM NOTE	All funds obligated	based on current allo	ocations/estimate. Lir	nked to UPCs 122142, 1	22142 & 122143.				
ROUT	E/STREET	RMT TERMINAL (UC	000)			TOTAL COST	\$2,764,062			
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27						
			\$O	\$O	\$0	\$0	\$O			

UPC	NO	124309	SCOPE	Other				
SYST	EM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PRO	PROJECT Transforming Rail in Virginia / VPRA					ADMIN BY DRPT		
DESC	CRIPTION	FROM: DC Rte 46	, Rte 47, Rte 50 adn	Rte 151 TO: Roanok	e, Newport News, N	lorfolk and Richmo	ond	
PRO NOTE	GRAM E		1,901,009 (CM), \$43,2 2,363 (ACC-CM) FF		· · ·	State) FFY24, add \$	519,197,761 (ACC-	
ROU	TE/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$274,074,889	
	FUND SOU	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
ΡE	Federal - A	AC CONVERSION	\$10,824,035	\$0	\$19,197,761	\$22,302,363	\$1,796,014	
	Federal - C	CMAQ	\$20,475,252	\$81,901,009	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Other		\$0	\$117,578,455	\$0	\$0	\$0	
PE TOTAL \$31,2		\$31,299,287	\$199,479,464	\$19,197,761	\$22,302,363	\$1,796,014		
PE AC	Federal - A	AC	\$10,824,035	\$43,296,138	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO	)	T29563	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	T	Henrico County C	ommunity Chargin	g Network ADMIN BY DRPT				
DESCRI	PTION	From: Various To:	Various					
PROGRA NOTE	۹M	TIP AMD - add \$3	63,200 (AC- Other)	FFY24 PE Phase; ac	dd \$1,452,800 (AC-C	ther) FFY25 CN Pha	ase	
ROUTE/S	STREET	County Wide (Mu	ltiple Locations) (99	999)		TOTAL COST	\$1,816,000	
	FUND	SOURCE	JRCE MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27				FY27	
PE AC	Federa	l - AC Other	ner \$0 \$363,200 \$0		\$0	\$O	\$O	
CN AC	Federa	l – AC Other	\$O	\$0 \$1,452,800 \$0			\$O	

## **Public Transportation Projects**

UPC NO		T2131	SCOPE	Transit	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT		Expansion of Bus Se	ervice	ADMIN BY VDOT					
DESCRIPTIC	NC	Expansion of Bus Se	ervice						
ROUTE/STR	REET	0000				TOTAL COST	\$2,595,508		
FUN	ND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27					
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO	C	T20113	SCOPE	Transit	Fransit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJEC	СТ	GRTC REPLACEMEN	NT OF ROLLING STOC	DCK CNG BUSES (FY22) ADMIN BY DRPT					
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: N/A TO: N/A							
ROUTE/	/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$2,550,420		
	FUND SOUF	RCE	МАТСН	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - CM	1AQ	\$139,630	\$558,520	\$0	\$0	\$0		

STIP ID	GRTC003	SCOPE	Transit						
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company						
PROJECT	Preventive Maintena	nce				ADMIN E	ЗY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES	TIP AMD: Reduce FTA total to \$29,937,500. A TIP ADJ: Increase FTA Increase total to \$31,8 TIP ADJ: Adjusted tot 9/20/24.	Approved 11/6/23. 5307 to 5,500,000, Lo 37,500. Approved 6/2	ocal funding to 1,40 1/24	TOTAL			\$31,836,000		
	FUND SOURCE		FY24		FY26		FY27		
	Federal - FTA 5307		\$ 5,500,000 \$ 6,650,000 \$ 6,650,000 \$ 6,650,000						6,650,000
	Local		\$ 1,400,000	\$	1,662,000	\$	1,662,000	\$	1,662,000

STIP ID	GRTC004	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmoi	nd Transit Comp	bany	
PROJECT	Replace Rolling Stock				ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES	TIP AMD: The changes in FY25 3,024,000 to 3,136,000, State for local funding increased 432,00 5307 increased from 4,032,000 14,963,000 local increased from follows; 5307 672,000 to 2,772, 6,732,000, and local increased increased from 32,400,000 to	TOTAL	\$47,904,000			
FU	JND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Fe	deral - FTA 5307	\$3,136,000	\$6,161,000	\$2,772,000		
St	tate \$3,264,000 \$7,616,000				\$14,963,000	\$6,732,000
Lc	cal		\$192,000	\$448,000	\$880,000	\$396,000

STIP ID	GRTC005	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richm	ond Transit Compan	У	
PROJECT	Transit Amenities				ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES	TIP ADJ: Reduce previous \$1,800,130 in state, and lo TIP ADJ: Change Federal amount of \$1,275,000 in F TIP ADJ: Move FY23 (Prev \$337,000, CPF to \$1,275,00 \$497,000 in FY24. Approv TIP AMD: The changes in \$28,000 to \$1,329,000, Ioo \$28,000 to \$3,229,000, Ioo \$200,000. The changes ir from \$28,000 to \$1,140,00 to local \$4,000 to \$162,00 state funding increased f \$4,000 to \$206,000. The t 10/31/24.	cal by \$82,877. Funding source from Previous. Approved 5/2 rious) to FY24. Increase 00, state to \$2,123,000, ved 6/21/24 FY25 are as follows; th ate funding increased for FY26 are as follows; th 00, the state funding in 0. The changes in FY27 rom \$68,000 to \$3,502	FTA 5339 to Oth 4/24. FTA 5307 to and local to e 5307 funding from from \$4,000 to ne funding from creased from \$6 7 are from \$28,0 ,000, the local fu 4,532,000 to \$18,	er Federal (CPF) for has increased from 5307 is increased 58,000 to \$2,770,000, 00 to \$1,442,000, the unding increased from 212,000. Approved	m	\$18,212,000
	FUND SOURCE Federal - FTA 5307		FY24 \$337,000	FY25 \$1,329,000	FY26 \$1,140,000	FY27 \$1,442,000
	CPF Funding		\$0	\$0		
			\$2,770,000	\$3,502,000		
			\$497,000			\$206,000
	Local		<del>р4</del> 97,000	\$200,000	\$162,000	₽ZU0,000

STIP ID		GRTC008	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richm	ond Transit Company		
PROJECT		Paratransit Vehicles				ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES	<ul> <li>TIP AMD: Remove \$740,600 in FTA 5307, Add \$1,766,984 in FTA 5339, \$2,492,645 State, \$146,625 local in FY24. Update total from \$11.5M to \$15,165,654. Approved 11/2/23</li> <li>TIP AMD: In FY25 the changes are as follows; in 5307 the funding increases from 998,200 to 2,128,000, the state funding increases from \$2,42,200 to \$5,168,000, Local \$142,600 to \$304,000. In FY26 the changes are as follows; 5307 increased from \$483,200 to \$840,000, State increased from \$1,173,200 to \$2,040,000 and local increased from \$69,000 to \$120,000. In FY27 the changes are as follows; 52,040,000 and local decreased to \$142,600 to \$102,000. In FY27 the changes are as follows; 52,040,000 and local decreased to \$142,600 to \$102,000. The total increased from \$15,165,654 to \$19,470,000. Approved 10/31/24.</li> <li>TIP ADJ: Change federal funding source in FY 25 to \$786,000 in FTA 5307 and \$1,342,000 in FTA 5339. Approved 11/15/24.</li> </ul>				from nding increases from 2,200 to \$5,168,000, to ows; 5307 increased D to \$2,040,000 and ges are as follows; 530 00 to \$1,741,000 and d from \$15,165,654 to		\$19,470,654
	FUND S	OURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal	- FTA 5307		\$O	\$786,000	\$840,000	\$717,200
	Federal	– FTA 5339		\$1,766,984	\$1,342,000	\$O	\$O
	State			\$4,291,245	\$5,168,000	\$2,040,000	\$1,741,000
	Local			\$252,425	\$252,425 \$304,600		\$102,000

STIP ID	GRTC031	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond	l Transit Company		
PROJECT	Surveillance/Security Equipment				ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES	TIP ADJ – Reduce FTA 5307 by \$88,000, star \$100,000. Approved 11/6/23. TIP ADJ: Increase FTA 5307 to \$120,000, loca 6/21/24 TIP AMD: In FY25 there has been an increas been an increase from \$0 to \$1,065,000, and	TOTAL	\$2,106,000			
	the total from \$150,000 to \$2,106,000. Appro FUND SOURCE	oved 10/31/24.	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307		\$120,000	\$751,000		
	State		\$0	\$1,065,000		
	Local	\$140,000				

STIP ID	GRTC032	SCOPE	Transit	Transit							
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company								
PROJECT	Shop Equipment				ADMIN BY	GRTC					
MPO NOTES	FY24. Reduce total to TIP ADJ – Increase FT FY24. Increase total to TIP ADJ – Revised fur \$168,000, state to \$40	\$1,965,000. Approved A 5307 to \$100,000, s b \$1,975,000. Approve nding to FY 25, FY 26, 08,000, and local to \$2 \$210,000, state to \$51	tate by \$139,400, and local by \$8,200 in ed 11/6/23. state to \$240,000, and local to \$20,000 in								
	FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27					
	Federal - FTA 5307		\$100,000	\$168,000	\$210,000	\$210,000					
	State		\$240,000 \$408,000 \$510,000 \$510,000								
	Local		\$20,000	\$24,000	\$30,000	\$30,000					

STIP ID		GRTC033	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Computer Hardware		• •		ADMIN BY	GRTC	
ΜΡΟ ΝΟΤΙ	ES	in FY24. Reduce total to \$2 TIP ADJ – Increase FTA 530 total to \$2,198,000. Approv TIP ADJ – Revised funding \$1,180,000. In FY 25, Increa decrease FTA 5307 to \$35,	Reduce FTA 5307 by \$350,840, state by \$852,040, and local by \$50,120 educe total to \$2,154,000. Approved 11/6/23. Increase FTA 5307 to \$520,000 and local to \$78,000 in FY24. Increase ,198,000. Approved 6/21/24 Revised funding to FY 24, FY 25, FY 26, FY 27. In FY 24 increase state to . In FY 25, Increase FTA 5307 to \$355,000 and local to \$85,000. In FY 26 FTA 5307 to \$35,000, state to \$85,000, and local to \$5,000. In FY 27 FTA 5307 to \$ 35,000, state to \$85,000, and local to \$5,000. Increase				\$2,553,000	
	FUND SOURC	Œ		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$520,000	\$355,000	\$35,000	\$35,000	
	State			\$1,180,000	\$85,000	\$85,000	\$85,000	
	Local			\$78,000	\$85,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	

ST	IP ID	GRTC034	SCOPE	Transit				
SYS	STEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PRO	DJECT	Computer Software				ADMIN BY	GRTC	
МРО	NOTES	TIP ADJ – Increase FTA 536 FY24. Increase total to \$5,4 TIP ADJ – Revised funding 5307 to \$846,000, state to 5307 to \$295,000, state to decrease FTA 5307 to \$317 total to \$5,321,000. Approv	459,621. Approved 11/6 1 to FY24, FY25, FY26, \$985,000, and local to \$717,000, and local to 7,000, state to \$772,000	5/23. and FY27. In FY24 to \$168,000. In FY2 5 \$42,000. In FY 26	Increase FTA 25 decrease FTA and FY 27,	TOTAL	\$5,321,000	
		FUND SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FT	A 5307	\$317,000	\$317,000				
	State			\$985,000	\$717,000	\$772,000	\$772,000	
	Local			\$168,000	\$42,000	\$45,000	\$45,000	

STIP ID		GRTC035	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT	PROJECT Support Vehicles					ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES		funding to FY27. In FY and local to \$25,000. I	moved funding in FY 25, revised funding to FY26, and added Y27. In FY 26, increase FTA 5307 to \$175,000, state to \$425,000, \$25,000. In FY 27, add \$83,000 to FTA 5307, add \$200,000 to d \$12,000 to local. Increase total to \$1,655,000. Approved			TOTAL	\$1,655,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$206,000	\$O	\$175,000	\$83,000
State				\$500,000	\$0	\$425,000	\$200,000
	Local			\$29,000	\$O	\$25,000	\$12,000

STIP ID	GRTC056	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT	Renovation of Admin Ma	aintenance Facility			ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES	TIP AMD: Add \$351,000 FTA 5307, \$561,000 State, and \$63,00 Update total from \$575,000 to \$1,550,000. Approved 11/2/23 TIP AMD: FY25 changes are as follows; 5307 funds increased \$28,000, to \$428,000 and State increased from \$680,000 to \$748,000. FY26 changes are as follows; 5307 increased from \$28,000 to \$555,000, state increased from 68,000 to 500,000 from \$4,000 to \$120,000. FY27 changes are as follows; 5307 \$28,000 to 406,000, State increased from \$68,000 to \$986,0 \$4,000 to \$58,000. These changes increased the total from \$4,125,000. Approved 10/31/24.				TOTAL	\$4,125,000	
FUND	SOURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
Federa	Federal - FTA 5307		\$428,000	\$555,000	\$70,000	\$406,000	
State			\$748,000	\$500,000	\$170,000	\$986,000	
Local			\$74,000	\$120,000	\$10,000	\$58,000	

STIP ID		GRTC059	SCOPE	Transit					
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond	d Transit Comp	ipany			
PROJECT						ADMIN BY	GRTC		
TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$1,300,00 \$325,000 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23 TIP AMD: Additional funding of FTA 5307 and \$325,000 in local funding for the sam \$1,625,000 to \$6,500,000. Approved 10/31/2			Approved 11/2/23 ling of FTA 5307 fund ding for the same yea	ing of \$1,300,000 i		TOTAL	\$6,500,000		
	FUND SOU	IRCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
	Federal - F	TA 5307		\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000		
	State								
	Local				\$325,000	\$325,000	\$325,000		

STIP ID		GRTC060	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmor	nd Transit Compar	у	
PROJECT		Mobility Manage	ment	ADMIN BY GRTC			GRTC
MPO NOTES TIP ADJ: Add new project. Ad and \$3,000 in local in FY24. A TIP ADJ: Adjust FY24 5310 to \$ \$11,000 as requested by DRPT TIP ADJ: Revised funding for H decrease FTA 5310 to \$65,000 \$3,000. In FY 25, FY 26, & FY 25		cal in FY24. Approv FY24 5310 to \$99,00 sted by DRPT. 8/15/ funding for FY 24, 10 to \$65,000, decr FY 26, & FY 27, incr	) \$99,000, State to \$42,000, and Local to		TOTAL	\$324,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$65,000			
	Federal – FTA 5307				\$65,000	\$65,000	\$65,000
	State				\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000
	Local			\$3,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000

STIP ID		GRTC067	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond	Transit Compa	ny	
PROJECT		Planning Consultant Ser	vices	•		ADMIN BY	GRTC
TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$1,435,866 in FTA 5307, \$1,590\$126,348 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23TIP ADJ: Increase FTA 5307 by \$17,000 to \$1,453,000, decreasto \$1,579,000 in FY24. Approved 6/21/24.TIP AMD: Additional Funding of \$1,598,000 of 5307, \$3,502,000and \$206,000 in Local funding in FY25. Additional funding in \$340,000 in State funding, and 20,000 in Local funding in FY24.to \$1,579,000 in State funding, and 20,000 in Local funding in FY25.			3,000, decrease stat 5307, \$3,502,000 in S onal funding in \$140 I funding in FY27. B	e by \$17,000 State funding, ,000 in 5307,	TOTAL	\$8,964,000	
	FUND SOU	RCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$1,453,000	\$1,598,000		\$140,000
	State			\$1,579,000	\$3,502,000		\$340,000
	Local			\$126,000	\$206,000		\$20,000

STIP ID		GRTC065	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM Public Transportation RECIPIENT Greater Richmor			Greater Richmond	Transit Company			
PROJECT Articulated Vehicles						ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Addition of \$1,960 in local funds all in FY25 th FY26 there was an increase \$114,000 in local funding th Approved 10/31/24.	e same funding o e of \$798,000 of 5	hanges also happe 307 funds, \$1,938,00	ned in FY27, and in 00 in state, and	TOTAL	\$19,250,000
F	UND SOL	JRCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
F	- ederal - F	-TA 5307		\$672,000	\$1,960,000	\$798,000	\$ 1,960,000
S	State			\$1,632,000	\$4,760,000	\$1,938,000	\$ 4,760,000
Local				\$96,000	\$280,000	\$ 114,000	\$ 280,000

STIP ID		CAA0001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Capital Area Agei	ncy on Aging, Senior Connections		
PROJECT		Operating Assistance		ADMIN BY DRPT			DRPT
MPO NOTES		local to \$13,000. Increas TIP AMD: Increase tota	e total to \$123,000. Ap I FY24 funding by \$15	nding by \$3K. Increase FTA 5310 to \$62,000, o \$123,000. Approved 11/6/23. Unding by \$150k. Increase FTA 5310 to \$137,000, increase local to \$27,000. Approved 10/31/24.			\$273,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 53	510		\$137,000			
	State			\$109,000			
	Local			\$27,000			

STIP ID		CAA0002	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Capital Area Agency on Aging, Senior Connections			tions
PROJECT		Mobility Management				ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Increase total F increase state to \$80,000	TIP AMD: Increase total FY24 funding by \$284k. Increase FTA 5310 to \$399,000, Toncrease state to \$80,000, increase local to \$20,000. Approved 10/31/24.			TOTAL	\$499,000
FUI	ND SOURCE		FY24		FY25	FY26	FY27
Fed	deral - FTA 5310	C		\$399,000			
State				\$80,000			
Local			\$20,000				

STIP ID		CCH001	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield County				
PROJECT		Mobility Manage	ment			ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$240,000 in FTA State, and \$12,000 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2 TIP AMD: Increase FY24 funding by 375k. Increa \$540,000, increase state to \$108,000, and local to 10/31/24.		Approved 11/2/23 / 375k. Increase FT	A 5310 to	TOTAL	\$300,000			
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 531			\$540,000				
	State		\$108,000					
	Local			\$27,000				

STIP ID	CCH002	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield County			
PROJECT	Operating Assistar	ice	ADMIN BY DRPT			
MPO NOTES	\$7,500 in local in F TIP AMD: Increase	Y24. Approved 11/2/2 FY24 funding by 75				\$152,000
FUND S	OURCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Federal -	FTA 5310		\$76,000			
State			\$60,000			
Local	Local					

STIP ID		CHS0001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield Community Services Board			
PROJECT		Paratransit Vehicles				ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES	MPO NOTES		cipient name from C eld Community Servic Isted FY24 5310 to \$18 Approved 8/15/24.	es Board to mate	h DRPT	TOTAL	\$236,000
	FUND SOURCE	•		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$189,000			
	State		\$0				
	Local			\$47,000			

STIP ID	STIP ID CHS0003 SCOPE Transit							
SYSTEM     Public Transportation     RECIPIENT     Chesterfield County Citizens Information			rmation & Service	es				
PROJECT		Operating Assistance	2	• •		ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed p	ID: Removed planned FY24 obligations. Approved 11/2/23			TOTAL		\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 53	10		\$0				
State				\$0				
	Local			\$0				

STIP ID		CHS0004	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield Mental Health Support Services				
PROJECT Capital Cost of Contracting				ADMIN BY	DRPT			
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed p	lanned FY24 obligati	ons. Approved 11/2	2/23	TOTAL		\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 53	10		\$0				
	State			\$0				
	Local			\$0				

STIP ID		HCS0001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM     Public Transportation     RECIPIENT     Hanover County DASH							
PROJECT Operating Assistance ADMIN BY			ADMIN BY	DRPT			
MPO NOTES		TIP ADJ: Adjust FY24 as requested by DRP		e to \$35,000, and	Local to \$9,000	TOTAL	\$88,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 53	510		\$44,000			
State				\$35,000			
	Local			\$9,000			

STIP ID		HCS0002	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM Public Transportation		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Hanover County DASH				
PROJECT		Capital Cost of Contra	acting			ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed p	lanned FY24 obligati	ons. Approved 11/2	2/23	TOTAL		\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 53	010		\$0				
State				\$0				
	Local			\$0				

STIP ID		HCS0003	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Hanover County				
PROJECT	PROJECT Mobility Ma					ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		and \$25,440 in lo TIP ADJ: Adjust F	cal in FY24. Appro	,000, State to \$202		TOTAL	\$1,261,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 531		C \$1,009,000					
State			\$202,000					
	Local			\$50,000				

STIP ID		PCSS001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Powhatan County Department of Social Services			
PROJECT		Operating Assista	ance			ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES	MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$12,500 in FTA 5310, \$10,000 in S and \$2,500 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23			TOTAL	\$25,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 531	0		\$12,500			
	State			\$10,000			
	Local			\$2,500			

STIP ID		GRTC050	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM Public Transportation RECIPIENT				Greater Richmor	d Transit Compan	У		
PROJECT Demo and Remediation			diation			ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP ADM MOD: C	hange project title orrect typo in dolla	ed 7/11/24. ding source to FTA e to "Demo and Rei ar amount. Change	mediation".	TOTAL	\$1,190,240	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
Federal - FTA 5339			\$952,192	\$0	\$0		\$0	
	State			\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
	Local			\$238,048	\$0	\$0		\$0

STIP ID	STIP ID HHI0001			Transit			
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Heart Havens, Inc				
PROJECT		FTA 5310 Replace	ment Vehicle (2	2) ADMIN BY DRPT			
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add nev	v project. Appro	project. Approved 9/5/2024. TOTAL			\$646,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Federal - FTA 5310				\$131,000	\$128,000	\$128,000	\$128,000
	Local			\$35,000	\$32,000	\$32,000	\$32,000

STIP ID		SOAR0001	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	SOAR365				
PROJECT		FTA 5310 Replace	nent Vehicle (4) ADMIN BY DF				DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add nev	v project. Appro	oved 9/5/2024.		TOTAL	\$309,215	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
Federal - FTA 5310		310		\$247,372				
Local				\$61,843				

STIP ID		GRTC100	SCOPE	Transit			
SVSIEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT	PROJECT FTA 5310			ng			GRTC
MPO NOTES	MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Approved 9/5/20			TOTAL	\$75,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 53	310		\$37,500			
State			\$30,000				
	Local			\$7,500			

STIP ID		CCSB001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield Community Services Board			
PROJECT		FTA 5310 Expansio	on Vehicle (1)	Vehicle (1) ADMIN BY			DRPT
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add nev	oved 9/5/2024.		TOTAL	\$121,175	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310		310				
	Local			\$24,235			

STIP ID		CCSB002	SCOPE	Transit			
		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield Community Services Board			
PROJECT		Mobility Manager	nent			ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES	MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Approved 9/5/2024.			TOTAL	\$29,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 53	310		\$23,000			
State			\$5,000				
Local			\$1,000				

STIP ID		GRTC067	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond	Transit Compa	any		
PROJECT		Planning Consultant Serv	/ices			ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES	TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$1,435,866 in FTA 5307, \$1,596,486 in State, and \$126,348 in local in FY24. Approved 11/2/23 TIP ADJ: Increase FTA 5307 by \$17,000 to \$1,453,000, decrease state by \$17,000 to \$1,579,000 in FY24. Approved 6/21/24.MPO NOTESTIP AMD: Additional Funding of \$1,598,000 of 5307, \$3,502,000 in State funding, and \$206,000 in Local funding in FY25. Additional funding in \$140,000 in 5307, \$340,000 in State funding, and 20,000 in Local funding in FY27. Bringing the total from \$3,158,000 to \$8,964,000. Approved 10/31/24.						\$8,964,000	
	FUND SOU	RCE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - F	TA 5307		\$1,453,000	\$1,598,000		\$140,000	
	State			\$1,579,000	\$3,502,000		\$340,000	
	Local			\$126,000	\$206,000		\$20,000	

STIP ID	PID GRTC037 SCOPE Transit						
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT		Capital Cost of Cor	ntracting	ADMIN BY (			GRTC
MPO NOTES	MPO NOTES TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$440,000 in FTA 5307, \$88,000 and \$22,000 in local in FY26 and FY27. Approved 10/31/24.				TOTAL	\$1,100,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 530	7		\$0	\$0	\$440,000	\$440,000
State				\$0	\$0	\$88,000	\$88,000
	Local			\$0	\$0	\$22,000	\$22,000

STIP ID	STIP ID GRTC054 SCOPE Transit						
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT		Yards & Shops		·		ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES			TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$2,828,000 in FTA 5307, \$6,868,000 in state, and \$404,000 in local in FY26. Approved 10/31/24.			TOTAL	\$10,100,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 530	)7		\$O	\$0	\$2,828,000	\$O
State			\$O	\$0	\$6,868,000	\$O	
Local				\$O	\$O	\$404,000	\$0

STIP ID			GRTC062	SCOP	ΡE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater R	ichmond T	ond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Park & Ride			GRTC					
MPO NOTI		TIP AMD: Add ne \$180,000 in local				07, \$3,060,000 in state, and	TOTAL	\$4,500,000		
	FUND S	OURCE		FY24	FY25		FY26	FY27		
	Federal	- FTA 5307		\$0	\$0		\$1,260,000	\$0		
	State			\$0	\$O	\$3,060,000 \$0				
	Local			\$0	\$0		\$180,000	\$0		

## **Rail Projects**

UPC NO	-20299	SCOPE	Operational expens	ses related to six trair	าร.						
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO					
PROJECT	Virginia State-Supp	orted Amtrak Opera	itions		ADMIN BY	VPRA					
DESCRIPTION	train on the Richm	perating expenses for 2 trains on the Newport News route (Route 47), 3 trains on the Norfolk route (Route 50), and 1 ain on the Richmond route (Route 51). The cost included is only for a portion of the routes and a portion of the train osts estimated for the jurisdiction.									
PROGRAM NOTE	TIP AMD – Add nev	v project.									
ROUTE/ STREET	Newport News Ope Norfolk Operations Richmond Operations	(Route 50)			TOTAL COST	\$144,119,692					
FUND SOURCE		Previous Funding	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27					
PE Federal CMA	AQ	\$0	\$2,695,051	\$2,806,852	\$2,951,060	\$3,073,864					
PE State CMAQ		\$0	\$737,765	\$768,466							
PE VPRA		\$20,706,216	\$22,117,599	\$23,044,638	\$30,897,597	\$32,945,107					

UPC NO VPRA001		VPRA001	SCOPE	Hanover Third Tr	ack (Siding C)				
SYSTEM Primary		JURISDICTION	Hanover		OVERSIGHT				
PROJECT Hanover Third Tra			ack (Siding C)			ADMIN BY	VPRA		
DESCRIPTION Hanover Third Tr located to allow corridor between Phase 1 of TRV. T and freight train			d Track (Siding C) is a Phase 1 Transforming Rail in Virginia (TRV) project that is strategically ow freight and passenger trains to utilize the sidings and fluidly move traffic through the veen Washington, D.C. and Richmond. Siding C is one of three sidings that is included in the V. These improvements will increase network fluidity and reduce delays due to passenger rain interference and lay the groundwork for a dedicated third track from Alexandria to Siding C is 2.9 miles long and stretches from Milepost 15.5 to Milepost 18.7.						
PROGRAM NOT	PROGRAM NOTE TIP AMD – Ad purposes only			ew project. Project is Amtrak Funded. Included for information and coordination					
ROUTE/STREET	Γ	Siding C is locate	ed in Hanover Cou	nty north of Ashla	TOTAL COST	\$84,363,600			
	FUND SOURCE		Previous Funding	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
RW	Amtrak Capital (federal funds)		\$0	\$40,000	\$60,000	\$0	\$0		
PE Amtrak Capital (federa		federal funds)	\$1,898,385	\$3,596,771	\$1,099,193	\$0	\$0		
CS	Amtrak Capital (federal funds)		\$388,890	\$745,004	\$25,678,761	\$38,161,944	\$0		
PM	Amtrak Capital (†	federal funds)	\$722,877	\$1,102,852	\$4,678,424	\$6,190,498	\$0		

## **Project Groups**

#### Introduction

Many projects which use federal funds do not add capacity to the regional transportation network or create new connections. Some examples of these more minor projects include cleaning highway signs, bridge safety inspections, and constructing sidewalks where none exist currently.

Federal law allows funds to be set aside to cover groups of smaller projects. The projects and project details within each group can change without triggering the TIP modification process, providing additional flexibility. As the projects within each grouping can change, <u>VDOT provides regular updates</u> <u>online</u>. By practice, public transportation projects are all individually listed in the TIP and STIP.

As with other projects, any changes to the planned obligations or total cost of these groupings require TIP amendments or adjustments as detailed in the Modifications section of this document.

# Bridge Rehabilitation, Replacement, and Reconstruction

These funds cover bridge construction projects such as bridge or drainage structure rehabilitation, and reconstruction or replacement when said work is on or adjacent to the same alignment.

#### Rail

These funds cover projects for rail/highway grade crossing improvements, regardless of funding source. Examples include improvements to warning devices, crossing surfaces, and construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossings at the same location.

#### **Recreational Trails**

These funds cover projects funded and advanced as part of the recreational trails program through the Department of Conservation and Recreation.

#### Transportation Enhancement, Byway, and Other Non-Traditional Projects

These funds cover projects with scopes of work eligible for funding under the former Transportation Enhancement and Transportation Alternatives Programs, and the Transportation Alternatives (TA) Set-Aside of the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, regardless of funding source. Examples include construction of interpretive pull-offs and overlooks, welcome centers, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, parking facilities, and wildflower plantings

#### **Preventive Maintenance and**

#### **System Preservation**

These funds cover projects such as area wide programs for cleaning drainage facilities, corrosion protection activities, highway sign face cleaning, and retrofitting of dowel bars. In addition, pavement preservation projects that are of a preventative nature that extend pavement life between 2 and 10 years and typically involve the surface layer of the pavement structure. Finally, this group includes pavement resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation (3R) activities that are limited to the bound layers of the pavement and typically extend pavement life between 12 and 20 years.

#### **Preventive Maintenance for Bridges**

These funds cover eligible bridge activities such as sealing, replacing, or reconstructing joints; deck overlays; painting; cathodic protection; retrofit of fracture critical members and fatigue prone details; and some concrete repairs. This group also includes bridge safety inspections.

#### **Traffic and Safety Operations**

These funds cover projects which include signs, traffic signals, pavement markings and markers, guardrail, replacement or preventive maintenance of roadway lighting, maintenance, replacement, or upgrade of traffic calming devices.

#### **Transit Access**

These funds cover projects which provide bicycle or pedestrian facilities which increase access to transit services.

#### **Transit Ridesharing**

These funds cover projects which include continuation of ridesharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels.

#### **Transit Vehicles**

These funds cover projects which include purchase or lease of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet, rehabilitation of transit vehicles, and the purchase of support vehicles. This also includes the purchase of operating equipment for vehicles such as radios, fareboxes, and lifts.

GROUPING Construction :		: Bridge Rehabilitation/Repl	acement/Reconstruc	tion			
ROUTE/S	STREET					TOTAL COST	\$360,510,344
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - NHS	/NHPP	(\$2,868,000)	(\$11,472,000)	\$C	\$C	\$C
	Federal - STP/	'STBG	\$678,712	\$C	\$0	\$2,714,848	\$C
PE TOTA	L		(\$2,189,288)	(\$11,472,000)	\$C	\$2,714,848	\$C
RW	Federal - BR		\$452,815	\$C	\$C	\$1,811,259	\$C
	Federal - NHS/NHPP		\$233,560	\$C	\$C	\$934,241	\$C
<b>RW TOTA</b>	λL		\$686,375	\$C	\$C	\$2,745,500	\$C
RW AC	Federal - AC (	OTHER	\$C	\$385,735	\$C	\$801,491	\$C
CN	Federal - BR		\$8,285,484	\$12,100,763	\$C	\$2,955,154	\$18,086,017
	Federal - DEMO		\$82,829	\$C	\$C	\$C	\$331,314
	Federal - HIP/F		\$1,000,000	\$C	\$C	\$C	\$4,000,000
	Federal - NHP	P/E	\$461,045	\$C	\$C	\$C	\$1,844,181
	Federal - NHS	/NHPP	\$5,443,430	\$1,300,924	\$C	\$C	\$20,472,795
	Federal - RSTF	)	\$323,520	\$C	\$C	\$C	\$1,294,080
	Federal - STP/	'STBG	\$608,621	\$C	\$C	\$C	\$2,434,482
CNTOTAL		\$16,204,928	\$13,401,687	\$C	\$2,955,154	\$48,462,869	
CNAC	Federal - AC (	OTHER	\$C	\$1,463,845	\$C	\$12,991,883	\$87,002,028

GROUPING Construction : Rail							
ROUTE/STREET						TOTAL COST	\$4,132,882
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - STP/STBG		\$139,296	\$1,253,662	\$C	\$C	\$C
CNAC	NAC Federal - AC		\$C	\$374,908	\$C	\$C	\$C

GROUPING		Construction : Recreational Trails						
ROUTE/STREET						TOTAL COST	\$16,171,265	
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
		\$C	\$0	\$C	\$0	\$C		

GROUPIN	NG Construction : So	afety/ITS/Operational Imp	provements			
ROUTE/S	STREET				TOTAL COST	\$663,445,403
	FUND SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$452,297	\$1,479,467	\$504,396	\$249,559	\$137,119
	Federal - CMAQ	\$603,551	\$1,116,160	\$861,563	\$C	\$436,480
	Federal - NHFP	\$0	(\$25,405)	\$0	\$C	\$0
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$154,882	\$619,529	\$0	\$C	\$0
	Federal - RSTP	\$167,661	\$670,642	\$0	\$C	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$440,623	\$1,391,127	\$0	\$1,112,902	\$649,590
PE TOTA	L	\$1,819,013	\$5,251,520	\$1,365,959	\$1,362,461	\$1,223,189
PE AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$823,125	\$0	\$0	\$504,400
RW	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$887,039	<b>\$</b> C	\$200,066	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	\$3,037,602
	Federal - CMAQ	\$1,005,197	\$320,000	\$0	\$3,700,786	\$0
	Federal - CRP/F	\$1,665,744	4 \$C	\$3,119,527	\$3,543,449	\$0
	Federal - HSIP	\$62,000	\$C	\$0	\$405,000	\$153,000
	Federal - NHFP	\$81,751	\$327,004	\$0	\$C	\$0
	Federal - NHPP/E	\$208,810	\$835,240	\$0	\$C	\$0
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$2,076,831	\$4,034,102	\$3,910,503	\$362,719	
	Federal - RSTP	\$1,781,213	\$10,000	\$3,611,712	\$783,918	\$2,719,222
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$60,044	1 \$C	\$0	\$540,394	\$0
RW TOTA	AL	\$7,828,628	\$5,526,346	\$10,841,808	\$9,646,753	\$5,909,824
RW AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$1,628,884	\$388,109	\$7,163,522	\$0
CN	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$2,812,358	\$373,207	\$6,131,538	\$4,781,962	\$1,997,060
	Federal - CMAQ	\$541,840	\$C	\$(	\$1,622,400	\$544,959
	Federal - HIP/F	\$326,409	\$1,305,636	\$(	\$C	\$0
	Federal - HSIP	\$2,630,475	\$13,018,711	\$4,726,541	\$2,523,420	\$3,405,600
	Federal - NHPP/E	\$1,533,065	5 \$C	\$400,000	\$2,340,471	\$3,391,789
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$4,003,703	\$1,356,231	\$2,270,926	\$7,667,979	\$4,719,674
	Federal - RSTP	\$6,113,690	\$10,812,862	\$976,400	\$5,272,656	\$7,392,843
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$1,359,509	\$4,645,585	\$0	\$C	\$792,452
CNTOTAL		\$19,321,048	\$31,512,232	\$14,505,405	\$24,208,888	\$22,244,377
CNAC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$21,453,159	\$29,924,42	\$11,644,188	\$38,615,200

GROUPIN	NG Construction : Tro	nsportation Alternative	s/Byway/Non-Traditio	nal		
ROUTE/S	STREET				TOTAL COST	\$154,510,696
	FUND SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$76,199	\$211,757	\$93,040	\$C	
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$93,400	\$373,600	\$0	\$C	\$C
	Federal - RTAP	\$56,945	\$\$227,779	\$0	\$C	\$C
PE TOTA	L	\$226,544	\$813,136	\$93,040	\$0	-
PE AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$116,300	\$0	\$C	\$0
RW	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$487,165	5 \$C	\$466,400	\$1,482,260	
	Federal - CMAQ	\$195,811	\$275,600	\$507,642		-
	Federal - HIP/F	\$6,000	\$24,000	\$0	\$0	\$C
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$23,882	\$95,528			
	Federal - RSTP	\$24,000	\$96,000	\$0	\$C	\$C
	Federal - RTAP	\$29,321	\$76,872	\$40,410		
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$496,560	\$417,600	\$1,568,640		
	Federal - TAP/F	\$2,000	•		\$0	
<b>RW TOTA</b>	L	\$1,264,738	\$985,600	\$2,591,092	\$1,482,260	\$C
RW AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$C	\$3,930,106	\$7,330,960	\$C	\$C
CN	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$638,036	\$C	\$0	\$2,552,142	\$C
	Federal - CMAQ	\$1,434,883	\$C	\$2,691,225	\$1,424,000	\$1,624,308
	Federal - DEMO	\$53,760	\$215,038	\$0	\$C	\$0
	Federal - HIP/F	\$422,979	<b>\$</b> C	\$1,691,917	\$C	\$C
	Federal - NHPP/E	\$175,500	\$0	-	\$702,000	\$C
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$928,618	\$C	\$3,198,311	\$516,160	\$C
	Federal - RSTP	\$208,640	\$0	\$0	\$834,560	\$C
	Federal - RTAP	\$744,519	\$1,284,713	\$759,214	\$934,148	
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$554,666	\$ \$C	\$2,218,664	\$C	\$C
	Federal - TAP/F	\$753,413	\$1,614,859	\$1,288,507	\$110,284	\$C
CNTOTAL		\$5,915,013	\$\$3,114,610	\$11,847,838	\$7,073,294	\$1,624,308
CNAC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$7,090,893	\$15,837,228	\$11,036,098	\$C

GROUPIN	ROUPING Maintenance : Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation						
PROGRA	M NOTE	Funding identified to	o be obligated distric	twide as projects are	identified.		
ROUTE/STREET						TOTAL COST	\$134,737,913
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - NH	IFP	\$C	\$115,430	\$115,430	\$115,430	\$115,430
	Federal - NH	IS/NHPP	\$C	\$2,258,586	\$2,258,586	\$2,258,586	\$2,258,586
	Federal - STF	p/stbg	\$C	\$31,116,665	\$31,244,409	\$31,374,325	\$31,506,450
CNTOTAL	_		\$C	\$33,490,681	\$33,618,425	\$33,748,341	\$33,880,466

GROUPIN	G	Maintenance : P	reventive Maintenance f	or Bridges			
PROGRAM	NOTE	Funding identifie	d to be obligated distric	twide as projects are	identified.		
ROUTE/ST	IREET					TOTAL COST	\$93,219,475
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN Federal -	Federal - BR		\$C	\$354,545	\$50,000	\$1,237,719	\$C
	Federal - CN	MAQ	\$0	\$0	\$4,195,000	\$0	\$C
	Federal - HS	IP	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$C	\$0	\$C
	Federal - NH	HFP	\$0	\$751,472	\$751,472	\$751,472	\$751,472
	Federal - NH	HPP/E	\$0	\$176,284	\$C	\$0	\$C
	Federal - NH	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$2,543,612	\$6,728,208	\$4,037,999	\$2,102,357
	Federal - ST	P/STBG	\$0	\$6,926,117	\$6,953,560	\$6,981,469	\$7,009,853
CNTOTAL	CNTOTAL		\$0	\$13,752,030	\$18,678,240	\$13,008,659	\$9,863,682
CNAC	Federal - AC	COTHER	\$0	\$7,896,875	\$16,803,314	\$13,216,675	\$C

GROUPING	NG Maintenance : Traffic and Safety Operations						
PROGRAM	NOTE	NOTE Funding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.					
ROUTE/STREET						TOTAL COST	\$32,977,411
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - CN	ИAQ	\$0	\$C	\$4,195,000	\$C	\$C
	Federal - HS	IP	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$C	\$C	\$C
	Federal - STI	P/STBG	\$0	\$6,405,707	\$6,432,005	\$6,458,750	\$6,485,949
CNTOTAL			\$0	\$9,405,707	\$10,627,005	\$6,458,750	\$6,485,949

GROUPING	;	Transit : Access						
ROUTE/STR	REET					total cost		\$26,803,183
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - NH	IS/NHPP	\$407,236	\$C	\$C	\$C		\$1,628,943

GROUPING	,	Transit : Ridesharing	ransit : Ridesharing				
ROUTE/STR	REET					total cost	
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$C	\$C	\$0	\$C	\$C

G	ROUPING		Transit : Vehicles						
R	ROUTE/STREET						TOTAL COST	\$3,355,697	
		FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
				\$C	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$C	

# **Illustrative Projects**

#### Introduction

Under federal law, the TIP must be fiscally constrained, meaning the projects that are listed must have identified funding sources. Localities and transit agencies continue to plan for and pursue funding for other high priority projects. The <u>Illustrative Projects</u> <u>List</u> in this section provides information on priority projects from <u>ConnectRVA 2045</u>, the long-range transportation plan, that the RRTPO has endorsed for federal discretionary funding. Projects on this list are supported by the RRTPO for any federal discretionary grants and earmark funding, and the RRTPO will amend the TIP to add these projects to the constrained TIP if funding is awarded.

МРО	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Bridge, New Construction		
SYSTEM	Urban	JURISDICTION	Ashland	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT	Vaughan Road Ove	aughan Road Overpass			TBD
DESCRIPTION	From: Henry Street	To: Park Street		·	
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Ilustrative Project Only			FRA-6
ROUTE/STREET	Vaughan Road			ESTIMATE	\$ 41,152,852

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	I-95/Route 10 Pha	I-95/Route 10 Phase II Interchange Improvements			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-67		
ROUTE/STREET	I-95 at VA-10			ESTIMATE	\$ 47,329,424		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond						
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield	OVERSIGHT				
PROJECT	I-95/Willis Road In	I-95/Willis Road Interchange Improvement			TBD			
DESCRIPTION					·			
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-72			
ROUTE/STREET	I-95 at Willis Road	-95 at Willis Road			\$123,427,775			

МРО	Richmond	Richmond						
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield	OVERSIGHT				
PROJECT	Route 150/Route	Route 150/Route 360 Interchange and Multimodal Improvements			TBD			
DESCRIPTION								
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-153			
ROUTE/STREET	Chippenham Parl	Chippenham Parkway (VA-150) at US-360			\$ 45,000,000			

МРО	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Roadway, New Construction		
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT	Powhite Parkway Extension, Phase II			ADMIN BY	TBD
DESCRIPTION	From: Woolridge	Road To: US-360			
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-904 FHW-905
ROUTE/STREET	Powhite Parkway	Powhite Parkway (VA-76)			\$ 500,000,000

MPO	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Route 150/Route a	Route 150/Route 60 Interchange and Multi-modal Improvements			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only		LRTP ID	FHW-154		
ROUTE/STREET	Chippenham Park	way (VA-150) at US	-60	ESTIMATE	\$ 24,444,160		

МРО	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE	Roadway, New Construction			
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico	OVERSIGHT		
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Improvements - N.	Gayton Rd Interchange	ADMIN BY	TBD	
DESCRIPTION						
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Ilustrative Project Only			FHW-32	
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 at N. Gayton I	Rd.		ESTIMATE	\$ 96,000,000	

MPO	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity			
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Henrico	OVERSIGHT		
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Short Pump Area Improvements - W. Broad St. Interchange		ADMIN BY	TBD	
DESCRIPTION						
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project Only			LRTP ID	FHW-55	
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 at W. Broad S	St. (US-250)		ESTIMATE	\$ 118,000,000	

MPO	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity		
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT	Short Pump Area Improvements - I-295 Improvements		ADMIN BY	TBD	
DESCRIPTION	From: I-64 To: Nuckols Rd				
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project Only		LRTP ID	FHW-34	
ROUTE/STREET	I-295			ESTIMATE	\$ 19,000,000

МРО	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity		
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Improvements - 28	38 SB Auxiliary Lane	ADMIN BY	тво
DESCRIPTION	From: I-64 To: Tuckahoe Creek Parkway				
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only		LRTP ID	FHW-159
ROUTE/STREET	VA-288			ESTIMATE	\$ 29,000,000

МРО	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity		
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT	Short Pump Area Improvements - VA-288/W. Broad St. Interchange Improvements & 288 NB Auxiliary Lane		ADMIN BY	TBD	
DESCRIPTION					
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project Only		LRTP ID	FHW-163	
ROUTE/STREET	VA-288 at W. Broo	ad St. (US-250)		ESTIMATE	\$ 22,000,000

# Modifications

#### Introduction

The TIP is an ever-evolving list of transportation priorities. It changes on a regular basis to include new projects or project phases. A TIP modification is any change that is made between full updates of the TIP. There are three types of TIP modifications: (1) amendments, (2) administrative modifications, and (3) technical corrections. RRTPO coordinates modifications with VDOT and DRPT to ensure consistency between the TIP and the STIP. All completed modifications are listed on the impacted project page and in a separate Amendments and Adjustments document on the RRTPO website for easy reference.

#### Amendments

TIP amendments are the most significant type of TIP modification. An amendment involves a major change to a project. The list below provides examples of TIP amendments:

- Adding or removing a project or phase
- Changing the start date significantly for the project or phase
- Revising the design concept or scope in a significant way such as changing the project limits, the number of lanes in a highway project, or the number of stations for a transit project

- Modifying the TIP in any way that triggers an updated air quality conformity analysis
- Increasing project or phase cost. Details about the sliding scale used to determine if a cost increase is significant can be found in <u>the STIP procedures</u> <u>manual here</u>.

All amendments are made available for public review and comment consistent with the <u>Public Engagement</u> <u>Plan</u>. Amendments require an updated demonstration of fiscal constraint and, if the project is not exempt, a determination of air quality conformity. Amendments are incorporated into the STIP and are subject to Federal approval.

Amendments are reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and approved by the Policy Board.

## **Administrative Modifications**

Administrative modifications, also known as adjustments, are minor changes to the TIP. Examples of minor changes include:

- Changing the start date for the project or phase by a small amount
- Revising the design concept or scope in a limited way
- Increasing project or phase cost below the sliding scale threshold for an amendment

- Revising the funding source such as updating the type of federal funding or replacing non-Federal with Federal funding
- Splitting or combining projects already included in the TIP without changes to cost, scope, or schedule
- Updating the project or phase name
- Switching the lead agency administering the project

Administrative modifications do not undergo public review, a demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a determination of air quality conformity. These modifications do not require Federal approval.

Administrative modifications are processed by RRTPO staff with the agreement of the affected locality or agency and submitted to VDOT or DRPT as needed.

## **Technical Corrections**

The last type of modification is the technical correction. These corrections include typographical, grammatical, or syntactical errors that address, for example, an error in spelling, grammar, deletion of a redundant word or formatting that was inadvertently published. It does not include changes to funding amounts. Technical corrections do not require Federal approval.

# **Performance Targets**

#### Introduction

Federal law establishes performance measures to ensure states and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) are investing in projects that contribute towards national goals. The USDOT has published rules for states and MPOs to collect data and establish performance targets that will support performancebased investment decisions. The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) must include a description of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the performance targets identified by the MPO. The TIP must also demonstrate the link between investment priorities in the TIP and achievement of performance targets in the plans. A summary of each set of performance targets and the relation to investment decisions is included below.

#### **Roadway Safety**

This measure focuses on reducing fatal and severe injuries for all users, including both motorized and nonmotorized modes of travel. Fatalities and injuries are measured in both total number and rate per 100 million vehicle miles travelled (VMT). A separate target is also set for non-motorized modes such as biking and walking to reflect the importance of protecting more vulnerable road users. The safety target is reviewed annually. The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for 2023. Table 1: Roadway Safety Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Fatalities	103
Fatalities per 100M VMT	0.986
Serious Injuries	886
Serious Injuries per 100M VMT	8.462
Non-Motorized Fatalities & Serious Injuries	113

To achieve the targets for fatalities and injuries across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce crashes resulting in fatalities or severe injuries. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on safety in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 2: Safety Weighting in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	25%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	25%
VHSIP (state)	100%
Smart Scale (state)	20%
CVTA regional (CVTA)	38.5%

In the FY24 – FY27 TIP, \$40,213,747 in Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding is dedicated to safety improvements throughout the region.

Beyond dedicated safety funds, the Safety/ITS/ Operational Improvements project group has \$274,712,462 dedicated to improvements which enhance safety and operations on existing roadways.

To improve the safety of more vulnerable nonmotorized road users, \$82,373,060 is programmed toward active transportation improvements in the Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional project group. These investments are expected to provide safer connections for non-motorized road users, reducing fatalities and serious injuries.

#### **Pavement Condition**

This measure focuses on the condition of pavements along interstates and non-interstates that form the National Highway System (NHS). In planning and programming federal funds, MPOs are required to emphasize preservation of the existing transportation system. The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for pavement conditions for the next four years.

Table 3: Pavement Condition Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Interstate Pavement in Good Condition	45%
Interstate Pavement in Poor Condition	3%
Non-Interstate Pavement in Good Condition	25%
Non-Interstate Pavement in Poor Condition	5%

Highways maintenance is primarily the responsibility of the Virginia Department of Transportation, particularly in the case of the interstates and state primary highways that form the NHS. Some localities including the Town of Ashland, Henrico County, and the City of Richmond own and maintain their own roadways to varying extents.

To ensure pavements throughout the state are maintained in good condition, funding for maintenance is set aside before distribution to the various competitive programs. Additionally, the State of Good Repair program makes funding for reconstruction and rehabilitation available for interstate and primary routes through a prioritization process.

In the FY24 – FY27 TIP, \$134,737,913 in federal funds are programmed for Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation. These funds are expected to help meet the pavement condition targets and represent approximately 22% of the total federal funding programmed in the TIP.

## **Bridge Condition**

This measure is like the pavement condition target but focused on the condition of the deck or surface of bridges on the National Highway System (NHS) that are included in the National Bridge Inventory (NBI). The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for bridge deck condition for the next four years:

Table 4: Bridge Condition Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Deck Area of Bridges in Good Condition	25.1%
Deck Area of Bridges in Poor Condition	3.6%

The primary funding source for bridge rehabilitation is the State of Good Repair program. This program provides funding for structurally deficient bridges (bridges in poor condition). Other funding programs such as RSTP or the Central Virginia Transportation Authority (CVTA) regional program have also provided funding for bridge projects.

Bridge funding generally falls under either preventive maintenance, including deck overlays and inspections, or bridge rehabilitation, replacement, or reconstruction project groups. These two funds program \$227,957,388 to support these bridge deck condition targets.

#### **Roadway Performance**

This measure focuses on the consistency or dependability in the travel time of a trip weighted by the number of people travelling along a corridor. This measure looks at the longest 20% of travel times along the corridor relative to the median travel time. If travel time is at least 50% longer than normal during the worst periods, the segment is deemed unreliable. Each road segment is weighted by length, traffic volume, and vehicle occupancy to calculate the percentage of person-miles that are reliable. RRTPO has adopted the following targets for the next four years.

Table 5: Reliability Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Person-Miles Travelled that are Reliable (Interstate)	85%

Person-Miles Travelled that are Reliable 88%

To achieve the targets for reliability across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce congestion and improve reliability. While congestion is not the same as reliability, the two measures are closely related. Congested roads are more likely to experience delays due to unexpected events (such as crashes or lane closures) and reducing non-recurrent congestion improves reliability. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on congestion or reliability in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 6: Reliability and Congestion Weighting in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	15%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	15%
Smart Scale (state)	15%
CVTA regional (CVTA)	23%

Improvements to congestion bottlenecks and unreliable segments of the NHS are expected to improve regional performance toward these measures. Identified projects based on the RRTPO's Congestion Management Process (CMP) include:

- UPC 102952 RTE 10 (I-95 Rt 1) WIDENING
- UPC 120374 #I64CIP I-64WB EXIT 181
   IMPROVE INTERCHANGE CONFIGURATION

- Grouped projects (Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements):
  - UPC 101034 #HB2.FY17 RTE 6 PATTERSON AVE AT PARHAM RD INTERSECTION
  - UPC 112051 I-195 SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS
  - UPC 115412 #SMART20 RCUT Route 60 and Woolridge\Old Buckingham

#### **Freight Movement**

This measure is like roadway performance but focuses on the reliability of travel for trucks on the interstates. This measure looks at the median travel time and compares it with the longest five percent (5%) of travel times for the same corridor. A lower Target (closer to one) indicates more reliable travel. The RRTPO has adopted the following target for the next four years.

Table 7: Truck Reliability Performance Target

<b>o</b> 1	Target
Truck Travel Time Reliability Index	<1.56

To achieve the targets for truck travel time reliability across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce congestion and improve reliability, with a focus on truck movement. As noted under roadway performance, congestion and reliability are closely connected and improvements to congestion often improve reliability. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on congestion or reliability for truck travel in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 8: Freight Reliability in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	3.75%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	3.75%
Smart Scale (state)	4%

Improvements to unreliable freight segments of the interstate are expected to improve regional performance toward these measures. Identified projects based on the RRTPO's Congestion Management Process (CMP) include:

- UPC 107458 #HB2.FY17 RTE 64 MAJOR WIDENING
- Grouped Projects (Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements)
  - UPC 109320 #HB2.FY17 SB 195 Belvidere St Interchange Safety Improvement
  - UPC 109321 #HB2.FY17 RTE 95 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE AT MAURY ST
  - UPC 109322 #HB2.FY17 RTE 95 -IMPROVE INTERCHANGE AT RTE 10

## **Transit Asset Management**

This measure focuses on the condition of vehicles and facilities owned and operated by public transportation service providers. All rail operators and fixed and non-fixed route providers with more than 100 vehicles (Tier 1 agencies) set their own performance targets and

develop a Transit Asset Management (TAM) plan. Smaller, non-rail providers (Tier 2 agencies) can choose to follow a group asset management plan developed by the state rather than developing their own targets. The Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) is a Tier 1 agency.

GRTC has adopted policies for asset management for revenue vehicles, non-revenue vehicles, and facility conditions in the <u>Transit Asset Management Plan</u>. The targets for vehicles are set as a percentage of vehicles at or beyond their <u>useful life benchmarks (ULB)</u>, an FTA developed measure of expected useful life of a vehicle. Facilities are assessed based on the percentage classified as marginal or poor (less than 3 on the 5point TERM scale). A summary of the targets by asset class for 2023 is included below.

Table 9: GRTC TAM Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Rolling Stock	
AO - Automobile	35%
BU – Bus	20%
BR – Over the Road Bus	20%
CU - Cutaway	35%
Equipment	
Automobiles	100%
Trucks & Other Rubber Tired Vehicles	72%
Facilities	
Administrative/Maintenance Facilities	33%
Passenger/Parking Facilities	10%

In addition to GRTC, the eastern portions of the region are served by Bay Transit, a nonprofit community transit service. Bay Transit is a Tier 2 provider which has opted into the state-sponsored group plan published October 1, 2022. The performance targets for 2023 are summarized below.

Table 10: DRPT Tier 2 TAM Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Rolling Stock	
AB – Articulated Bus	5%
BU – Bus	15%
BR – Over the Road Bus	15%
CU - Cutaway	10%
MV – Minivan	20%
VN – Van	20%
Equipment	
Automobiles	30%
Trucks & Other Rubber Tired Vehicles	30%
Facilities	
Administrative Facilities	10%
Maintenance Facilities	10%
Passenger Facilities	15%
Parking Facilities	10%

In allocating funds, DRPT prioritizes State capital assistance provided to transit agencies via the <u>MERIT</u> <u>Capital Assistance Program</u>. The MERIT program is designed to favor projects that:

- Achieve the statewide policy objective of maintaining a state of good repair of existing assets and,
- Have the greatest impact on the provision of public transportation services throughout the state.

To achieve these targets, the region is investing significantly in the replacement of rolling stock. In total, the TIP programs \$44,598,150 for maintaining GRTCs fleet in a state of good repair.

Additionally, just over \$1 million is planned to be invested in support vehicles and \$575,000 is programmed to renovations of administrative and maintenance facilities. These investments will help the region to meet the designated targets for asset management.

## **Transit Safety**

This measure focuses on the safety of public transportation, specifically fatalities, injuries, safety events, and the frequency of these incidents in terms of both of revenue miles and distance. All rail operators and fixed and non-fixed route providers with more than 100 vehicles (Tier 1 agencies) set their own performance targets and develop a Transit Asset Management (TAM) plan. Smaller, non-rail providers (Tier 2 agencies) can choose to follow a group asset management plan developed by the state rather than developing their own targets. The Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) is a Tier 1 agency. GRTC has developed policies and targets for reducing fatalities, injuries and safety events which were originally adopted on July 21, 2020. The targets for 2023 are summarized below.

#### Table 11: GRTC Safety Targets

Target Description	Target
Fixed Route	
Fatalities (total reportable)	0
Fatalities (per revenue mile)	0
Injuries (total reportable)	108
Injuries (per revenue mile)	0.13
Safety Events (total)	144
Safety Events (per revenue mile)	0.22
Distance between Major Failures	9,500 mi.
Distance between Minor Failures	3,200 mi.
Paratransit/Demand Response	
Fatalities (total reportable)	0
Fatalities (per revenue mile)	0
Injuries (total reportable)	60
Injuries (per revenue mile)	0.05
Safety Events (total)	96
Safety Events (per revenue mile)	0.15
Distance between Major Failures	15,500 mi.
Distance between Minor Failures	5,200 mi.

To achieve these safety targets, the region has prioritized investments in preventive maintenance for GRTC rolling stock. Total spending on preventive maintenance exceeds \$33 million for the four years covered by the TIP. The TIP also programs \$600,000 in additional security equipment for the GRTC system. This equipment will help to reduce injuries and safety events on the system.

#### **Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality**

As an attainment area under the 2015 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), RRTPO is not required to adopt the CMAQ standards.

# **Conformity Assessment**

#### Introduction

The Richmond-Petersburg Area was previously designated a nonattainment area under the 1997 ozone standard and later a maintenance area before being designated an attainment area under the 2008 ozone standard. The area is currently in attainment for all standards including the 2015 ozone standard.

In February 2018, the D.C. Circuit Court issued a decision in South Coast Air Quality Management District v. EPA which impacted areas like the Richmond-Petersburg Area that had been designated nonattainment and/or maintenance under the 1997 ozone standard but designated attainment under the 2008 ozone standard. One of those impacts is that new, updated, or amended Transportation Improvement Program and Long-Range Transportation Plan must demonstrate conformity in keeping with the antibacksliding requirements associated with the revocation of the 1997 ozone standard.

A copy of the Richmond Regional Conformity Assessment report which includes the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program and 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan (as well as the Tri-cities area plans) for the 1997 ozone standard can be <u>found on the</u> <u>RRTPO website</u>. The conformity analysis was prepared in accordance with EPA's November 24, 1993, Final Rule on Criteria and Procedures for Determining Conformity and subsequent rulemakings related to ozone. The RRTPO resolution approving the conformity analysis is attached as an informational item.



#### POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23; ITEM A-6-c.

#### **Regional Conformity Assessment**

**Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization** 

On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by John H. Hodges, of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution:

**Resolved,** that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) adopts the Richmond and Tri-Cities FY2024 - FY2027 Transportation Improvement Program and 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Regional Conformity Assessment as presented.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 78, 2023.

WITNESS:

BY:

anice Firestone

Janice Firestone Program Manager PlanRVA

Chet Parsons Secretary Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

## **Self-Certification**



#### POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23; ITEM B-2.

MPO Self-Certification

#### **Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization**

On motion by Kevin P. Carroll, seconded by Cynthia I. Newbille, the members of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution:

**Resolved**, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization hereby certifies that in accordance with 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 450 Section 336 Self-certification and Federal certifications, the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

- 1. 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and 23 CFR 450.336;
- Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d)) and 40 CFR part 93;
- 3. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21;
- 4. 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act (Pub. L. 114-357) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in DOT funded projects;
- 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
- 7. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38;
- The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- 9. Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
- 10. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 18, 2023.

Attest:

Chet Parsons RRTPO Secretary

5/22/23

Date:

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

John L. Lumpkins, RRTPO Chair

Date

5/26/23

Attest:

3 11-41 EDT

Mark Riblett Assistant Richmond District Engineer

5/26/23

Date:

Virginia Department of Transportation

Dale R. Totten Dale R. Totten (May 26, 2023 12:37 EDT)

Dale Totten Richmond District Engineer

5/26/23

Date

## **Resolution of Approval**



POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23

FY24 – FY27 Transportation Improvement Program

**Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization** 

On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by Cynthia I. Newbille, the members of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution.

**Resolved**, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) adopts the FY24 – FY27 Transportation Improvement Program as presented.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 18, 2023.

BY:

WITNESS:

anice Firestone

Janice Firestone Program Manager PlanRVA

Chet Parsons Secretary Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

# **Public Engagement and Comments**

#### Introduction

The Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) is committed to providing opportunities for the public to learn about and participate in the development of regional transportation plans. Regarding the development of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), federal regulations stipulate that the TIP development process must include opportunities for public involvement (23 CFR 450.324(b)), as outlined in the RRTPO's Public Engagement Plan (23 CFR 450.316(a)).

## **TIP Development Process**

The RRTPO's Public Engagement Plan was adopted in March 2020 guide outreach and engagement efforts as part of the development of the FY24 – FY27 TIP. Major milestones in the development of the TIP include:

- 1. Develop draft project schedule and public outreach strategy. (Summer 2022)
- 2. Present project schedule to Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). (August 2022)
- 3. Develop TIP website for public review and increased transparency. (Fall 2022)
- Develop draft TIP document in coordination with VDOT, DRPT, and member agencies. (Winter 2022 – 2023)
- 5. Approve project list for conformity. (March 2023)
- 6. Open public review of draft TIP. (March 2023)

- 7. Review public comments and respond. (April 2023)
- 8. Adopt FY24 FY27 TIP. (May 2023)

## **Outreach Efforts and Opportunities**

In developing the TIP, the RRTPO aims for both broad and targeted outreach. The Public Engagement Plan requires the TPO to develop a project specific engagement strategy which employs best practices as identified in <u>the public engagement toolkit</u>. For this TIP, the TPO settled on four major forms of engagement:

- Public Meetings
- Mailing/Email Lists
- Open Houses, and
- A new TIP website

Major decisions and announcements are always handled by the TPO in public meetings, making this tool a natural fit for updates and seeking public comment on the TIP. All meetings of the RRTPO and its various committees are livestreamed online, available for later viewing in a video archive, and allow for public comment both in person and virtually. Major presentations or actions by the RRTPO policy board and technical advisory committee include schedule updates (2 meetings), project review and approval (2 meetings), and adoption of the TIP (2 meetings). The RRTPO also maintains a mailing list of interested parties which is used to provide opportunities for input on major planning efforts. This list was used as part of the TIP development to notify the public of upcoming board meetings and comment opportunities. In total 209 people were contacted through this list and invited to participate in the planning process. Both the RRTPO website and the TIP website offered public opportunities to subscribe to RRTPO updates.

The third approach to engagement used in developing the TIP was open houses during the public comment period. RRTPO staff hosted one (1) open house which was advertised in the Richmond Times Dispatch and the Richmond Free Press in the two weeks leading up to the event. Additionally, the public comment period and open house was promoted on social media platforms including LinkedIn, Facebook, and Twitter.

Finally, the RRTPO took a web-first approach to developing the TIP with project information and mapping available at a new website: <u>https://www.rrtpotip.org</u>. This interactive page allowed anyone interested in the TIP to view project information, search by map or project information, and provide comments in general and directly on projects. A total of 809 unique visitors used the site during the 30-day review period. Three (3) comments were submitted requesting to be added to the interested parties list, but no substantive comments were received which required a response or changes to the plan. Opportunities to comment on the TIP are not limited to the TIP update process. The TIP is regularly revised to accommodate projects development schedules. The RRTPO welcomes input on specific projects contained in the TIP and the format of the TIP on an on-going basis. The TIP website will be used to provide notice of any amendments during the life of the TIP and will serve as a key component of continuous public engagement.

#### **Public Comments and Responses**

No comments requiring a response were submitted during the public review period.