Transportation Improvement Program

FFY 2024 - FFY 2027



Notices and Disclaimers

Title VI Notice

RRTPO and PlanRVA fully comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and regulations in all programs and activities. The RRTPO and PlanRVA will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. For more information on meeting accessibility, or to obtain a Title VI Complaint Form, see PlanRVA.org or call the Title VI Coordinator at (804) 323-2033

Aviso del Título VI

RRTPO y PlanRVA cumplen completamente con el Título VI de la Ley de Derechos Civiles de 1964 y los estatutos y reglamentos relacionados en todos los programas y actividades. La RRTPO y el PlanRVA se esforzarán por brindar adaptaciones y servicios razonables para las personas que requieran asistencia especial para participar en esta oportunidad de participación pública. Para obtener más información sobre la accesibilidad a las reuniones o para obtener un Formulario de queja de Título VI, consulte PlanRVA.org o llame al Coordinador del Título VI al (804) 323-2033.

Acknowledgement

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) was prepared by PlanRVA staff in cooperation with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT), Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), and the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), and the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) member jurisdictions and agencies.

Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the analysis of the RRTPO as part of PlanRVA which is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the FHWA, the FTA, the DRPT, the VDOT, or the Board of the RRPDC. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The FHWA, FTA, DRPT, or VDOT acceptance of this report as evidence of the fulfillment of the objectives of this program does not constitute endorsement or approval of the need of any recommended improvements nor does it constitute approval of their location and design or a commitment to fund any improvements. Additional project level environmental impact assessments and/or studies of alternatives may be necessary.

Table of Contents

Introduction	Page 1
Financial Plan	Page 6
Project List	Page 13
Interstate Projects	Page 16
Primary Projects	Page 22
Secondary Projects	Page 27
Urban Projects	Page 34
Enhancement Projects	Page 37
Miscellaneous Projects	Page 38
Public Transportation Projects	Page 41
Rail Projects	Page 51
Project Groups	Page 55
Illustrative Projects	Page 61
Modifications	Page 66
Performance Targets	Page 67
Conformity Assessment	Page 75
Self-Certification	Page 77
Resolution of Approval	Page 78
Public Engagement and Comments	Page 79

Introduction

The Richmond Region

The Richmond region is the area covered by PlanRVA or Virginia Planning District Commission 15 which is made up of the City of Richmond, the Town of Ashland,

VGIN, Esri, HERE, GARA FAO, NOAA, USGS, E RRTPO Study Area Tri-Cities MPO Study

Exhibit 1: Richmond Region with Metropolitan Planning Area Boundary

and the counties of Charles City, Chesterfield, Goochland, Hanover, Henrico, New Kent, and Powhatan. The Richmond region covers 2,165 square miles, and is located approximately 100 miles south of Washington, D.C. and midway between Atlanta and

Boston.

According to the 2045 Long Range Growth Forecast Analysis prepared for ConnectRVA2045, the region had a population of 1.1 million people as of base year 2017. The Richmond region is forecast to grow by nearly 30%, reaching a total of 1.4 million residents by 2045. The largest gains in actual population are projected in the three largest localities, Chesterfield, Henrico, and the City of Richmond. In percentage terms, New Kent, Goochland, Powhatan, and Hanover counties are expected to see the highest population growth. This regional population will live in 552,000 households, an increase of nearly 125,000 households by 2045.

The City of Richmond is the capital of the Commonwealth of Virginia. Richmond region employers

provide more than 550,000 jobs for area residents. Henrico County remains the region's largest employment center. The region serves as home to Virginia Commonwealth University, the University of Richmond, Virginia Union University, Virginia State University, Randolph Macon College and Reynolds and Brightpoint Community Colleges with a collective enrollment of approximately 76,000 students.

The region's strategic location south of Washington DC and west of the Hampton Roads coastal area positions it to capitalize on the opportunities offered by its geography. The region is well served by the interstate transportation network, with I-95 and I-64 intersecting near its center. Routes 295 and 288 form an outer circular beltway system. Businesses and residents both prosper from the relatively short 24-minute, on average,

work commute. Rail access provides connections to east coast and mid-west markets, and the region is well positioned to capitalize on anticipated increases in freight movement to the Port of Virginia in Hampton Roads.

The region includes both a well-developed urban core surrounded by vibrant older suburban neighborhoods and traditional subdivisions expanding into the more




Exhibit 2: Map of Regional Activity Centers

sparsely populated rural counties. The 2017 population

density map shows the City of Richmond with the emerging development pattern of a higher density ring following major thoroughfares extending from the center to meet the outer expressways. The southeastern quadrant of the region is a noticeable departure from this concentric pattern. The James River creates the natural boundary while Route 895 provides a connection between the 1-295 bypass and I-

95 with potential to facilitate more development by 2045. Employment densities following much the same pattern start to merge with population concentrations to define activity centers throughout the region. A mixed-use area where the density of commercial, industrial, and residential land uses is highest is more conducive to a variety of transportation options, including transit. Twenty (20) activity centers, ranging from urban to suburban to small town represent the areas of highest population and employment density within the Richmond region.

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO)

The RRTPO is a policy-making organization made up of local elected officials from each of the region's nine member jurisdictions and state and federal transportation agencies, and area transportation service/system operators. The RRPDC serves as lead staff providing administrative and technical services for the RRTPO. In addition, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) provide additional technical support.

The RRTPO serves as the forum for cooperative regional transportation decision-making. The RRTPO must carry out metropolitan transportation planning in cooperation with the state and transit providers. The RRTPO develops the region's transportation plans and programs, and approves the long-range transportation plan, ConnectRVA 2045, which is a prerequisite for the

allocation of federal-aid highway and transit funds. The development of an efficient and effective multimodal transportation network is essential for the region if it is to sustain a strong economy, clean environment, and high quality of life.

Metropolitan Planning Organizations (or Transportation Planning Organizations) are designated under Section 134 of Title 23, U.S. Code, for maintaining and conducting a "continuous, cooperative, and comprehensive" (3-C) regional transportation process that results in plans and programs consistent with adopted plans for development of the metropolitan area. Census defined urbanized areas of 50,000 or greater in population are designated as "MPOs". The Governor, with the concurrence of area local governments, is charged with designating the MPO's member organizations. The RRTPO is designated as a "Transportation Management Area (TMA)," defined as a metropolitan area with a population of over 200,000, creating additional requirements for transportation planning such as the Congestion Management Process (CMP).

Like many metropolitan areas, the RRTPO encompasses several jurisdictions, each with their own comprehensive plans and transportation programs. In Virginia, planning district commissions, which are established under state code to conduct regional planning, serve as TPO staff for most of Virginia's urbanized areas.

Member Jurisdictions and Partner Agencies

The following jurisdictions are voting members of the RRTPO with the number of votes apportioned according to population indicated in parenthesis:

- Charles City County (1)
- Chesterfield County (4)
- Goochland County (2)
- Hanover County (3)
- Henrico County (4)
- New Kent County (2)
- Powhatan County (2)
- City of Richmond (4)
- Town of Ashland (1)

Partner agencies which also hold one vote include the Capital Region Airport Commission, GRTC Transit System, Richmond Metropolitan Transportation Authority (RMTA), and VDOT (as the Secretary of Transportation's designee). Non-voting members represent other RRTPO committees and partner agencies.

TPO Study Area

Under federal requirements, the study area for the RRTPO must encompass both the existing urbanized area and contiguous area expected to become urbanized during the period covered by the long-range transportation plan. It must also cover areas designated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Clean Air Act as part of the non-attainment / maintenance area for air quality standards.

To ensure that the plan covers all urbanized areas, air quality attainment areas, and areas expected to become urbanized by 2045, the study area has been defined to include:

- Charles City County
- Goochland County
- Hanover County
- Henrico County
- New Kent County
- Powhatan County
- · City of Richmond
- Town of Ashland
- A majority of Chesterfield County

The part of Chesterfield County not included in the RRTPO is contained in the Tri-Cities MPO study area. This includes those areas of Chesterfield County near Hopewell, Colonial Heights, and Petersburg.

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The TIP is the region's collaborative list of transportation investments for a four-year period. This TIP covers federal fiscal years 2024 to 2027 and is in effect starting October 1, 2023. The TIP includes:

- Projects awarded RRTPO's federal funds,
- Projects using federal and state dollars managed by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) or Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT) and,

4

 Regionally significant projects, regardless of funding source, which must be included in the air quality analysis and conformity determination.

Development of the TIP is the region's opportunity to review regionally significant projects and projects awarded federal funding through various competitive processes to ensure they align with the goals and vision for the future in ConnectRVA 2045, the long-range transportation plan. Through the TIP process, the region works to develop consensus on a list of projects to move forward. Once a project is in the TIP, the project or a phase of the project can be authorized in a federal agreement under which the federal government commits to reimburse the state for a share of eligible costs. This commitment is called an obligation.

Projects or project phases are only included in the TIP if full funding for the project is reasonably anticipated to complete the project. To meet this requirement, the TIP includes a financial analysis showing how the planned projects can be undertaken with expected revenues.

After the TIP is approved, the TIP is included in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The STIP includes all TIPs in the state as well as projects to be undertaken outside of MPO boundaries. The approved TIP documents are sent to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for review and acceptance.

Financial Plan

Introduction

Federal law requires the TIP include a financial plan showing how the proposed projects can be reasonably implemented with public and private funds. The revenue projections and project costs in the TIP have been cooperatively developed with the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), and Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC). The following tables show expected revenues and obligations for each of the four years covered by the TIP. In addition to construction projects, financial projections have also been made to show revenues for maintaining and operating the region's highway and transit systems during the same 4-year period.

Some projects listed in the TIP may have no planned obligations. These projects are included informational purposes. There are several reasons this may occur and include:

- The project is completed and awaiting final closeout.
- The project is already underway but the next phase will not happen during the four-year window covered by the TIP.

In addition, the TIP includes a section for illustrative projects. These are regional priority projects which cannot be achieved within the expected revenues but would be undertaken if additional funding sources are found. In general, these projects are expected to seek discretionary grant funding to allow for implementation.

The TIP funding summary tables summarize by year and by funding source the revenue amounts estimated and committed for fiscal year 2024 - 2027. The tables include expenditures and estimated revenues expected for each funding source and show that the program is financially constrained by year. The financial summary tables are based on total funds available, which include annual allocations of funds including any state and local matching dollars. These revenue sources are all reasonably expected to be made available and committed to the project phase during the programmed year of the TIP.

Highway Revenues

This section of the plan covers revenues and projects with oversight from the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). This includes funds such as CMAQ and RSTP which are subsequently flexed to transit projects. This section of the financial plan contains four tables summarizing various aspects of financial planning for highway projects. Table 1 shows planned federal obligations for the fiscal years covered by the TIP. This table also includes state match funds and other non-federal contributions to projects.

Table 2 covers conversion of advance construction projects to federal obligations. Advance Construction is an innovative funding mechanism that allows states to proceed with projects without federal obligation authority while maintaining the ability to convert a project to federal obligations in the future. Funds in this table are shown based on the year of conversion to federal obligations.

Table 3 shows planned federal obligations for projects that impact the Richmond area but also serve other metropolitan planning areas (MPOs) or rural areas of the state. In general, these projects are either located Table 1: Federal Obligations, State and Local Matching Funds

along the interstate highway system or are programmatic in nature.

Table 4 shows funding programmed for maintenance of the existing highway system. This table demonstrates the region is adequately maintaining the condition of existing roadways and bridges while planning for capital improvements.

The revenue projections for this section of the plan were developed by VDOT and provided to the MPO.

	FFY:	2024	FFY	2025	FFY	2026	FFY	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
BR	\$12,101,723	\$12,101,723	\$5,250	\$5,250	\$6,512,969	\$6,512,969	\$18,086,017	\$18,086,017
CMAQ	\$6,258,358	\$6,258,358	\$4,460,430	\$4,460,430	\$7,406,386	\$7,406,386	\$3,408,947	\$3,408,947
CRP/F	\$0	\$0	\$3,119,527	\$3,119,527	\$3,543,449	\$3,543,449	\$0	\$0
DEMO	\$215,038	\$215,038	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$331,314	\$331,314
EB/MG	(\$1,032,889)	(\$1,032,889)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HIP/F	\$1,329,636	\$1,329,636	\$1,691,917	\$1,691,917	\$0	\$0	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000
HSIP	\$13,018,711	\$13,018,711	\$4,726,541	\$4,726,541	\$2,928,420	\$2,928,420	\$3,558,600	\$3,558,600
NHFP	\$301,599	\$301,599	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHPP/E	\$835,240	\$835,240	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$3,042,471	\$3,042,471	\$5,235,970	\$5,235,970
NHS/NHP P	\$6,011,068	\$6,011,068	\$9,379,740	\$9,379,740	\$9,481,099	\$9,481,099	\$26,821,412	\$26,821,412

RSTP	\$31,314,550	\$31,314,550	\$17,034,682	\$17,034,682	\$8,868,360	\$8,868,360	\$36,777,455	\$36,777,455
STP/STBG	\$15,316,500	\$15,316,500	\$4,455,675	\$4,455,675	\$4,368,144	\$4,368,144	\$3,876,524	\$3,876,524
TAP	\$3,204,223	\$3,204,223	\$2,096,131	\$2,096,131	\$1,044,432	\$1,044,432	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$96,003,36 0	\$96,003,36 0	\$53,952,969	\$53,952,969	\$47,195,73 0	\$47,195,73 0	\$89,784,23 7	\$89,784,23 7
Non- Federal	\$55,973,607	\$55,973,607	\$31,594,329	\$31,594,329	\$0	\$0	\$7,571,369	\$7,571,369
State Match	\$20,935,80 0	\$20,935,80 0	\$11,017,588	\$11,017,588	\$10,880,49 6	\$10,880,49 6	\$21,633,036	\$21,633,036
Subtotal	\$73,102,855	\$73,102,855	\$248,488,38 9	\$248,488,38 9	\$42,515,85 8	\$42,515,85 8	\$55,346,60 9	\$55,346,60 9
Total	\$169,106,21 5	\$169,106,21 5	\$302,441,35 8	\$302,441,35 8	\$89,711,58 8	\$89,711,58 8	\$145,130,84 6	\$145,130,84 6

Table 2: Advance Construction Conversion

	FFY 2024		FFY 2025		FFY :	2026	FFY :	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
CMAQ	\$0	\$0	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$84,801	\$84,801	\$2,594,881	\$2,594,881
HSIP	\$1,200,586	\$1,200,586	\$2,114,596	\$2,114,596	\$0	\$0	\$1,357,060	\$1,357,060
NHFP	\$0	\$0	\$120,066	\$120,066	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHS/NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$11,404,096	\$11,404,096	\$11,421,946	\$11,421,946	\$6,604,430	\$6,604,430
RSTP	\$652,088	\$652,088	\$321,338	\$321,338	\$475,245	\$475,245	\$1,219,840	\$1,219,840
STP/STBG	\$211,757	\$211,757	\$0	\$0	\$6,034,402	\$6,034,402	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$2,064,431	\$2,064,431	\$14,040,096	\$14,040,096	\$18,016,394	\$18,016,394	\$11,776,211	\$11,776,211

Table 3: Statewide or Multiple MPO

	FFY 2024		FFY 2025		FFY :	2026	FFY :	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
CMAQ	\$5,229,927	\$5,229,927	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHS/NHPP	\$9,444,326	\$9,444,326	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal	\$14,674,253	\$14,674,253	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Table 4: Maintenance

	FFY 2024		FFY 2025		FFY	2026	FFY	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
BR	\$354,545	\$354,545	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$1,237,719	\$1,237,719	\$0	\$0
CMAQ	\$0	\$0	\$8,390,000	\$8,390,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
HSIP	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$ O	\$0	\$0	\$ O	\$0
NHFP	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902	\$866,902
NHPP/E	\$176,284	\$176,284	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NHS/NHPP	\$4,802,198	\$4,802,198	\$8,986,794	\$8,986,794	\$6,296,585	\$6,296,585	\$4,360,943	\$4,360,943
STP/STBG	\$44,448,489	\$44,448,489	\$44,629,974	\$44,629,974	\$44,814,544	\$44,814,544	\$45,002,252	\$45,002,252
Subtotal	\$56,648,418	\$56,648,418	\$62,923,670	\$62,923,670	\$53,215,750	\$53,215,750	\$50,230,097	\$50,230,097

Highway Program Descriptions

BR: Provides funding for bridge improvements both on and off the National Highway System (NHS).

CMAQ: Provides flexible funding for congestion reduction and air quality improvement projects and programs; funding only available for areas not meeting federal air quality standards or maintenance areas. Some of these projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with theregional funding framework.

CRP/F: Funding for projects that reduce on-road emissions of climate change causing pollutants.

DEMO: Provides specialized funding to demonstration, priority, pilot, or special interest projects.

EB/MG: Historic program providing minimum guaranteed funding for localities.

HIP/F: Provides funding for restoration, repair, and construction of federal aid eligible roads, bridges, and tunnels.

HSIP: Funds projects to reduce traffic fatalities and serious injuries on public roads; set aside for Railway Highway Crossings Program.

NHFP: Provides funding to improve the movement of freight on the National Highway Freight Network (NHFN).

NHPP/E: Funds dedicated to improving infrastructure condition, safety, congestion reduction, system reliability, or freight movement on the NHS.

NHS/NHPP: Funds projects to construct new facilities on or improve the condition and performance of the National Highway System (NHS).

RSTP: Provides funding for a broad range of capacity, operational, and congestion mitigation related improvements. These projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with the regional funding framework.

STP/STBG: Provides flexible funding for a wide range of eligible projects and programs to address state and local transportation needs.

TAP: Funding dedicated to bicycle and pedestrian facilities through the Surface Transportation Block Grant. Some of these projects are selected directly by the RRTPO consistent with the regional funding framework.

Transit Revenues

This section of the plan covers revenues and projects with oversight from the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (VDRPT) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA). This section includes projects from GRTC and smaller providers offering mobility options for seniors and people with disabilities. Table 5

11

summarizes projected revenues and obligations by year and funding source.

The revenue projections in this section were developed cooperatively with the Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) and DRPT. For GRTC-directed formula funds, the revenue projections assume 80% federal, 20% local split for preventive maintenance. All other projects assume 28% federal, 68% state, 4% local split consistent with the minor enhancement category for DRPT's Making Efficient and Responsible

<u>Investments in Transit (MERIT)</u> Capital Assistance program.

For the FTA 5310 program, FFY2024 was carried over directly from the FY21 – FY24 TIP. For subsequent years, federal revenue is assumed to be equal to the 2022 apportionment without any escalation. Available state and local match for FY25 – FY27 assume the state's match policies and mix of projects from the FY21 – FY24 TIP will continue (24% operating assistance, 63% capital – non-vehicle, 13% capital – vehicle).

Table 5: Transit Funding

	FFY 2024		FFY	FFY 2025		2026	FFY 2	2027
Fund Source	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation	Projected Obligation Authority	Planned Obligation
FTA 5307	\$12,223,289	\$11,235,918	\$12,345,522	\$11,294,774	\$12,468,977	\$11,758,952	\$12,593,667	\$8,885,410
FTA 5310	\$1,417,000	\$1,417,000	\$1,333,618	\$0	\$1,333,618	\$0	\$1,333,618	\$0
FTA 5339	\$1,409,910	\$0	\$1,424,009	\$0	\$1,438,249	\$0	\$1,452,632	\$0
Local	\$2,773,700	\$2,431,632	\$2,829,156	\$2,326,039	\$2,699,247	\$2,392,350	\$2,721,140	\$1,981,844
State	\$17,278,948	\$11,471,230	\$17,700,186	\$11,280,165	\$17,624,692	\$12,407,455	\$17,962,440	\$5,428,853
Total	\$35,102,847	\$26,555,780	\$35,632,491	\$24,900,978	\$35,564,783	\$26,558,757	\$36,063,497	\$16,296,107

Transit Program Descriptions

FTA 5307: Provides funding to public transit systems in large urban areas for capital, planning, job access

projects, and some operating expenses such as ADA paratransit and preventive maintenance.

FTA 5310: Program to assist local transit agencies, governments, and nonprofit groups in meeting needs of seniors and people with disabilities.

FTA 5339: Provides funding to transit agencies and states to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities.

Project List

Introduction

As a short-term plan for the next four years, the core of the TIP is the list of projects to be implemented in the near term. The TIP includes capital and non-capital surface transportation projects, bicycle and pedestrian facilities and other transportation enhancements, Federal Lands Highway projects, and safety projects. Projects that impact the capacity of arterials are considered "regionally significant" and are required to be individually listed in the TIP. By practice, all transit projects are also listed individually in the TIP. The TIP includes projects that receive FHWA or FTA funds, projects that are regionally significant but funded with other funds including state, local, and private sources.

Project Screening

Every project included in the FY24 – FY27 TIP was screened against the goals and objectives of ConnectRVA 2045, the region's long-range transportation plan (LRTP). These goals were developed through a cooperative, iterative process with input from members of the LRTP advisory committee and feedback from the public and were ultimately approved by the RRTPO's policy board. The goals and objectives are summarized below. More information about the development and selection of these goals and objectives can be found here.

- A. **Safety** improve the safety of the transportation system for all people
 - 1. Enhance safety and comfort of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
 - Work to eliminate all serious injuries and fatalities resulting from vehicular crashes.
- B. **Environment/Land Use** Reduce the negative impact the transportation system has on the natural and built environment.
 - Address roadways prone to flooding and consider climate impacts in transportation planning.
 - 2. Reduce transportation related pollutants, including decarbonizing transportation.
 - Reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita.
 - Increase number and share of trips taken by shared and active transportation modes.
 - Tie land use planning to transportation investments through encouragement of walkable and transit-oriented communities.
 - Minimize impacts of transportation system on natural resources and communities with particular emphasis on Environmental Justice (EJ) populations.
- C. **Equity/Accessibility** Improve equitable access through greater availability of mode choices that are affordable and efficient


- Reduce trip lengths for all people with a focus on Environmental Justice (EJ) populations.
- Increase access to jobs and community services via transit, walking, and biking for all people with a focus on EJ populations.
- D. **Economic Development** Improve connectivity and mobility for strong economic vitality
 - 1. Reduce peak period travel times.
 - 2. Increase transportation investment which focuses on economic vitality.
 - Improve reliability and accessibility of travel to and within the regional activity centers.
 - 4. Reduce freight bottlenecks.
 - Increase multimodal access to tourist destinations.
- E. **Mobility** Increase travel efficiency and mode choices by maintaining the transportation system in a state of good repair
 - Increase the percentage of complete streets across the highway network to maximize use of available capacity.
 - Increase system efficiency through operational, transportation demand management (TDM), and technologybased solutions.
 - Improve system reliability across all modes.

Each project was screened to assess the project's ability to help meet the objectives. This

assessment ensures projects that are implemented advance the region's planning goals.

How to Read a Project

The RRTPO has taken a web-first approach to the TIP and public accessibility. All projects can be found in an interactive format at https://www.rrtpotip.org. These pages include project details, maps (where available), consistency with ConnectRVA goals, planned obligations, and the ability to comment directly on the project. An example of the page format is below.



In this document, projects are also listed in a format that matches that Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

UPC I	NO	101020	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	Added Capacity	88 - THE BEST S	201	
SYST	EM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	1	OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJ	ECT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 10	(BERMUDA TRIAN	GLE RD TO MEADO	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: 1.73 mi. W HUNDRED ROAD)	of Rte. 618 (OLD BERMUDA HUNDRED RD) TO: 0.27 mi. E of Rte. 618 (OLD BERMUDA (2.0000 MI)					
PROG	RAM NOTE	FFY25, \$1,321,439	1 GARVEE DS Prin I GARVEE DS Prin F esponding DS UPC 1	FY26, \$1,384,964 G				
ROUT	E/STREET	WEST HNDRD/ME	ADOWVILLE/OLD B	ERMUDA HNDRD/F	TOTAL COST	\$66,061,655		
	FUND SOL	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
RW AC	Federal - A	C OTHER	\$0	\$7,648,824	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964	
	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$1,157,140	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN TO	TAL		\$0	\$1,157,140	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964	
CN AC			\$0	\$16,709,865	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	Federal - A	C OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0	
CN AC		\$0	\$16,709,865	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0		

Project basic information included location, scope and cost

Planned Obligations by Phase, Year, and Fund Source

Advance Construction by Phase and Year (marked with AC)

Interstate Projects

UPC NO)	121682	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	ering		_			
SYSTEN	Λ	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Goochland County		OVERSIGHT	NFO			
PROJEC	CT	1-64/ASHLAND RD IN	ITERCHANGE IMR			ADMIN BY	VDOT			
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: I-64 TO: I-64								
PROGR	AM NOTE	FFY23 Rollover project consistent with the r	•	the FFY24 STIP based	on FHWA approval o	f STIP Amd #FFY23-06	2/14/23. Project is			
ROUTE	/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$360,000			
	FUND SOUP	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
			\$C	\$(5	0 \$0	\$0			
			•		•		· · ·			
UPC NO)	97565	SCOPE	Bridge Replacement	w/o Added Capacity	1				
SYSTEN	Λ	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO			
PROJEC	CT	RTE 64 - REPLACE BE	RIDGES OVER RTE 156	156 (FED ID 9760 & 9762) ADMIN BY VDOT						
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 0.473 MILE W	'EST OF ROUTE 156 TO	: 0.475 MILE EAST OF	ROUTE 156 (_)	-				
PROGR.	am note	Linked with UPC 975	566							
ROUTE	/STREET	INTERSTATE 64 (006	4)			TOTAL COST	\$35,006,633			
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$16,925	\$	0 \$0	\$0			
UPC NO)	107458	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	dded Capacity					
SYSTEN		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	FO			
PROJEC		#HB2.FY17 RTE 64 - N				ADMIN BY	VDOT			
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: RTE 295 TO: EXIT 205 (BOTTOM'S BR) (3.9300 MI)								
	am note	Includes \$14,188,774 \$2,849,102 GARVEE	ROM: RTE 295 TO: EXIT 205 (BOTTOM'S BR) (3.9300 MI) Includes \$14,188,774 GARVEE Debt Service Principal Prev, \$2,711,638 GARVEE Debt Service Principal FFY24, 2,849,102 GARVEE Debt Service Principal FFY25, \$2,993,833 GARVEE Debt Service Principal FFY26, \$3,144,756 GARVEE Debt Service Principal FFY27. Total GARVEE Debt Service Principal \$42,551,429. Corresponding GARVEE Debt Service UPC 110394.							

ROUTE,	/STREET	0064				TOTAL COST	\$50,490,434
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - NH	S/NHPP	\$0	\$1,280	\$0	\$0	\$0
CN	Federal - AC	CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$2,849,102	\$2,993,833	\$3,144,756
	Federal - NH	S/NHPP	\$0	\$2,710,358	\$0	\$0	\$0
CNTOTA	AL .		\$0	\$2,710,358	\$2,849,102	\$2,993,833	\$3,144,756
CN AC	Federal - AC		\$0	\$25,651,017	\$0	\$0	\$0

CNAC \$0 \$33,390,022 \$0 .	50 \$0 50 \$0
	<u>,0 </u>
UPC NO 110394 SCOPE	
SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT #HB2.FY17 RTE 64 - MAJOR WIDENING GARVEE DEBT SERVICE ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTION	
PROGRAM NOTE Includes \$11,286,114 GARVEE Debt Service Interest Prev, \$1,384,651 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY24, \$1,247,381 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY25, \$1,103,132 GARVEE Debt Service Interest FFY26, \$951,577 G Service Interest FFY27, \$2,222,194 FFY28-32. Total GARVEE Debt Service Interest \$18,195,049. Correspond	
ROUTE/STREET 0064 TOTAL COST	\$43,909,094
FUND SOURCE MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26	FY27
PE Federal - AC CONVERSION \$0 \$1,247,381 \$1,103,132	\$951,577
Federal - NHS/NHPP \$0 \$1,384,651 \$0 \$1	\$0
PE TOTAL \$0 \$1,384,651 \$1,247,381 \$1,103,13:	\$951,577
PE Federal - AC \$0 \$5,524,284 \$0 \$1	\$0
UPC NO 113844 SCOPE Traffic Management/Engineering	
SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT I-64 at Parham IMR ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION FROM: .25 miles north of Mayland Drvie TO: Fordson Road (0.2700 MI)	,
ROUTE/STREET I-64 (0064)	\$600,000
FUND SOURCE MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26	FY27
\$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$0
UPC NO 120374 SCOPE New Construction Roadway	
SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County OVERSIGHT	NFO
, and the same of	NFO VDOT
SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County OVERSIGHT PROJECT #164CIP - I-64WB EXIT 181 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE CONFIGURATION ADMIN BY DESCRIPTION FROM: MM 181 TO: MM 181	VDOT
SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County OVERSIGHT PROJECT #164CIP - I-64WB EXIT 181 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE CONFIGURATION ADMIN BY DESCRIPTION FROM: MM 181 TO: MM 181 ROUTE/STREET 0064 TOTAL COST	\$12,000,000
SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County OVERSIGHT PROJECT #164CIP - I-64WB EXIT 181 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE CONFIGURATION ADMIN BY DESCRIPTION FROM: MM 181 TO: MM 181 ROUTE/STREET 0064 TOTAL COST FUND SOURCE MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26	\$12,000,000 FY27
SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County OVERSIGHT PROJECT #164CIP - I-64WB EXIT 181 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE CONFIGURATION ADMIN BY DESCRIPTION FROM: MM 181 TO: MM 181 ROUTE/STREET 0064 TOTAL COST	\$12,000,000 FY27
SYSTEM Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico County OVERSIGHT PROJECT #164CIP - I-64WB EXIT 181 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE CONFIGURATION ADMIN BY DESCRIPTION FROM: MM 181 TO: MM 181 ROUTE/STREET 0064 TOTAL COST FUND SOURCE MATCH FY24 FY25 FY26	\$12,000,000 FY27 \$0 \$0

UPC NO		120384	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT		#164CIP - 1-64 INCRE	ASE BUS FREQUENCY	- RTE7 / NINE MILE RO	DAD	ADMIN BY	DRPT
DESCRIPTION	NC						
ROUTE/STR	REET	NINE MILE ROAD (00	64)			TOTAL COST	\$7,816,397
FU	nd souf	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC Fed	deral - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$7,816,397	\$0	\$0	\$C
•							
UPC NO		120381	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM			JURISDICTION	Multi-jurisdictional: F	Richmond MPO	OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT		#164CIP - E/WB EXF	RESS BUS ROUTE-22x	SHORT PUMP TO DO	NWOTOWN	ADMIN BY	DRPT
DESCRIPT	ION						
ROUTE/ST	REET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$3,017,484
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	PE AC Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$3,017,484	\$0	\$0	\$0
		ī					
UPC NO		111984	SCOPE				Γ
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT		#SMART18 - I-95 Aux	Lanes b/w Rte.288/Rte	e.10-GARVEE Debt Ser	ν	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPT							
PROGRAM	NOTE	Service Interest FFY2 \$2,800,788 GARVEE	25, \$523,506 GARVEE I	Debt Service Interest	GARVEE Debt Service FFY26, \$488,887 GARV EE Debt Service Inter	EE Debt Service Inter	
ROUTE/ST	REET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$7,597,000
	FUND	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Feder	al - AC CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$528,861	\$523,506	\$488,887
	Federal - NHS/NHPP		\$0	\$330,386	\$0	\$0	\$0
PE TOTAL	-		\$0	\$330,386	\$528,861	\$523,506	\$488,887

\$4,342,042

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

PE AC

Federal - AC

UPC NO	119673	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineer	ing		
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	I-95 at Commerce Ro	ad Access Study			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: Va	arious (_)				
•	FFY23 Rollover project with the metropolitar	t to adjust the FFY24 n TIP.	STIP based on STIP Ac	dj. #FFY23-09 processe	ed 6/27/23. Project is a	consistent
ROUTE/STREET	I-95 (0095)				TOTAL COST	\$550,000
FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO			Safety			
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	#195CIP VARIABLE SE	PEED LIMITS - PROGRA	AM UPC		ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: Va	arious				
ROUTE/STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$4,912,629
FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE Federal - NHS	S/NHPP	\$0	\$4,912,629	\$0	\$C	\$0
UPC NO	116657	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	#195CIP RAMP METER	RING PROGRAM UPC			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: Va	arious				
ROUTE/STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$5,700,000
FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE Federal - NHS	S/NHPP	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$C	\$0
PE AC Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$2,200,000	\$0	\$C	\$0 \$0
UPC NO	116658	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	#195CIP GEOFENCED	EMERGENCY NOTIFIC	CATIONS - PROGRAM	UPC	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: Va	arious				
ROUTE/STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$200,000
FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$200,000	\$C	\$C	\$0

UPC NO		116659	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT .	#I95CIP ADVANCED	WORK ZONE TECHNO	LOGY - PROGRAM UP	C.	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: Va	arious				
ROUTE/	'STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$950,000
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$950,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO		116661	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	T	#I95CIP CORRIDOR 1	ECHNOLOGY IMPROV	/EMENTS - PROGRAM	UPC	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: Va	arious				
ROUTE/	'STREET	0095				TOTAL COST	\$3,202,500
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$3,202,500	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO			SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o Ad	lded Capacity		
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	T	#SGR17VB - RT 195 -	BR REPAIR OVER RT 76	, CSX (Fed 21552)		ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.145 MIN OF	RTE 76 TO: 0.071 MIS	OF RTE 76 (0.2160 MI)			
PROGRA		All funds obligated be	ased on current alloca	tions/estimate			
ROUTE/	'STREET	0195				TOTAL COST	\$14,697,630
	FUND SOUR	CE		FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO		115869	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEN		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE			TECHNOLOGY FOR (OPERATIONS		ADMIN BY	VDOT
	RIPTION	FROM: Various TO: V	arious /				
ROUTE	/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$2,000,000
	FUND SOU		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - Ni	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$913,491	\$0	\$0	\$0
PE	Federal - AC	COTHER	\$0	\$1,086,509	\$0	\$0	\$0
AC							

UPC NO		123919	SCOPE	Safety					
SYSTEM		Interstate	JURISDICTION	Goochland County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJEC	PROJECT #SMART24 - I-64 AT ASHLAND			INTERCHANGE ADMIN BY VDOT					
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: I-64 TO: ASHLAND	RD (RTE 623) INTERC) (RTE 623) INTERCHANGE (0.2800 MI)					
PROGRA	AM NOTE	TIP AMD - add \$5,374,713	(AC-Other) FFY24 PE	ohase; add \$1,274,99	2 (NHPP) FFY27 RW pl	hase. Approved 12/7	/23.		
ROUTE/	STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$75,917,941		
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE AC	Federal - A	C OTHER	THER \$0 \$5,374,713 \$0 \$0						
RW	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,274,992		

UPC NO)	123831	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/	Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Λ	Interstate	JURISDICTION	New Kent County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJEC	CT	#SMART24 - I-64 GAP WIDEN	ING - SEGMENT B			ADMIN BY	VDOT		
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: MILEMARKER 215.6 TO	D: MILEMARKER 224.3 (8.7000 MI)						
PROGR/	PROGRAM NOTE TIP ADJ – Add rollover project to TIP based on prior MPO and FHWA approval of STIP Amd #FFY23-03 11/18/23. Approved 11/6/23.; TIP AMD - move \$23,323,495 (Other: State) from Prev to FFY24 PE phase; add \$1,000,000 (Other: State) FFY25 RW phase; add \$165,935,226 (Other: State), \$46,789,224 (Other: Funds CVTA) & \$7,251,447 (NHPP) FFY25 CN phase. Approved 12/7/2								
ROUTE,	/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$244,299,392		
	FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Other		\$0	\$23,323,495	\$0	\$0	\$0		
RW	Other		\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0		
CN	Federal - N	HS/NHPP \$0 \$0 \$7,251,447 \$0 \$0							
	Other		\$0	\$0 \$0 \$212,724,450 \$0 \$0					
CNTOTA	AL		\$0	\$0	\$219,975,897	\$0	\$0		

MPO	Richmond								
UPC NO	122147	SCOPE	Safety						
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Multi-jurisdictional: Richmond MPO OVERSIGHT FO						
PROJECT	#ITTF23 - 288 NB HA	RD SHOULDER RUI	nning (HSR)		ADMIN BY	VDOT			
DESCRIPTION	FROM: 22.62 MM TO	: Rte 6							
	FFY23 Rollover proje consistent with the		to the FFY24 STIP be	ased on FHWA approv	al of STIP Amd #F	FFY23-10 7/03/23. Project is			
ROUTE/STREET	RTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$39,561,735			
FUND SOL	JRCE	MATCH	FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27						
		\$C	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

MPO		Richmond								
UPC NO)	122805	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/	Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	1	Interstate	JURISDICTION	New Kent County	lew Kent County OVERSIGHT NFO					
PROJEC	CT	#SMART24 - I-64 G	AP WIDENING - SE	GMENT A ADMIN BY VDOT						
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: MILEMARKE	ROM: MILEMARKER 204.9 TO: MILEMARKER 215.6 (10.7000 MI)							
PROGRA NOTE		FFY23 Rollover projectionsistent with the	•	d to the FFY24 STIF	' based on FHWA a	pproval of STIP An	nd #FFY23-07 3/03/23. Project is			
ROUTE,	/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$277,828,078			
	fund so	URCE	MATCH	FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27						
			\$0	\$C	\$0	\$0	\$0			

UPC NO)	124222	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	ring			
SYSTEM	١	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico County OVERSIGHT NFO				
PROJEC	CT	SHORT PUMP AREA T	ransportation impi	PROVEMENTS NEPA STUDY ADMIN BY VDOT				
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various to Var	ious					
PROGR/	AM NOTE	TIP AMD - add \$1,800,	.000 (CVTA) FFY24					
ROUTE/	/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$1,800,000	
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24 FY25 FY26 FY27			FY27	
PE	Other		\$0	\$1,800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Primary Projects

UPC NO)	101020	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Ac	lded Capacity		
SYSTEN	Λ	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 10 (B	ERMUDA TRIANGLE RI	D TO MEADOWVILLE	RD)	ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 1.73 mi. W of MI)	Rte. 618 (OLD BERMUI	DA HUNDRED RD) TO:	0.27 mi. E of Rte. 618	(OLD BERMUDA HUND	PRED ROAD) (2.0000
PROGR.	am note		GARVEE DS Prin Prev, \$ 4,964 GARVEE DS Prin onding DS UPC 110393			GARVEE DS Prin FFY25	5, \$1,321,439 GARVEE
ROUTE	/STREET	WEST HNDRD/MEAD	OWVILLE/OLD BERM	iuda hndrd/rvrsbi	VD (0010)	TOTAL COST	\$66,061,655
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$7,648,824	\$C	\$0	\$0
CN	Federal - AC	CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964
	Federal - NH	S/NHPP	\$0	\$1,157,140	\$C	\$0	\$0
CNTOTA	AL		\$0	\$1,157,140	\$1,260,368	\$1,321,439	\$1,384,964
CN AC	Federal - AC		\$0	\$16,709,865	\$C	\$0	\$0
Federal - AC OTHER \$0 \$0 \$5,401,477 \$0							\$0
CNAC			\$0	\$16,709,865	\$5,401,477	\$0	\$0

UPC NC)	102952	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County	NFO			
PROJEC	CT	RTE 10 (I-95 - Rt 1) - W	IDENING		ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 0.087 MI W RT	E 1 (JEFF DAVIS HWY)	TO: 0.507 MI E RTE 1 (JEFF DAVIS HWY) (0.5	100 MI)		
ROUTE,	/STREET	WEST HUNDRED ROA	AD (0010)			TOTAL COST	\$8,996,859	
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN	Federal - RST	Р	\$34,222	\$136,888	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN	, constant , to constant			\$3,711,108	\$0	\$0	\$0	
AC								

UPC NO)	104889	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Ad	Ided Capacity			
SYSTEN	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	RT 10 (Whitepine to	Frith) WIDENING			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 0.787 MI N. of	Rte. 288 TO: 1.110 MIS.	of Rte. 288 (1.8970 MI)				
PROGR/	AM NOTE	All funds obligated b	obligated based on current allocations/estimate					
ROUTE	/STREET	ironbridge road	(0010)			TOTAL COST	\$15,171,000	
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
UPC N	0	110393	SCOPE					
SYSTE	M	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	:CT	#HB2.FY17 RT 10 (B	erm tri to meadow	/) GARVEE DEBT SERV	/ICE	ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESC	RIPTION							
PROGE	ram note	Includes \$2,973,055 \$698,074 GARVEE D GARVEE DS Int \$8,5	GARVEE DS Int Prev, DS Int FFY26, \$634,246 75,899. Corresponding	\$//4,993 GARVEE DS 1 GARVEE DS Int FFY27, 3 CN UPC 101020	Int FFY24, \$/58,944 G/ , \$2,736,587 GARVEE	ARVEE DS Int FFY25, DS Int FFY28-38. Total		
ROUTI	E/STREET	0010				TOTAL COST	\$16,324,630	
	FUND SOU	irce	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - A	C CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$758,944	\$698,074	\$634,246	
Federal - NHS/NHPP \$			\$0	\$774,993	\$0	\$0	\$0	
PE TO	TAL		\$0	\$774,993	\$758,944	\$698,074	\$634,246	
PE AC	Federal - A	С	\$0	\$4,827,851	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC N	0	56181	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTE	M	Primary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	CT .	RTE 33 - ADD LEFT T	URN LANES AT THE IN	N LANES AT THE INTERSECTION OF RTE 623 ADMIN BY				
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: 0.310 MI S RT	OM: 0.310 MI S RTE 623 (ASHLAND RD) TO: 0.285 MI N RTE 623 (ASHLAND RD) (0.5850 MI)					
ROUTI	E/STREET	0033				TOTAL COST		\$9,026,104
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Other		\$0	\$8,853	\$0	\$0		\$0
CN	Other		\$0	\$3,348,947	\$0	\$0		\$0

UPC NO	118145	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	ring		
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	Route 60 Corridor In	nprovement Study			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Winterfield Ro	d/LeGordon Drive TO:	Old Buckingham Road	d/Woolrdige Rd		
ROUTE/STREET	ROUTE 60 (0060)				TOTAL COST	\$125,000
FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$C	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO	120380	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	#164CIP - I-64 E/WB	- Broad Street - Sh	ORT PUMP BUS SERV	CE	ADMIN BY	DRPT
DESCRIPTION						
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 (0064)				TOTAL COST	\$3,744,635
FUND SOU		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC Federal - A	C OTHER	\$0	\$3,744,635	\$C	\$0	\$0
	1		I			
UPC NO	115534	SCOPE	Other		0. (50.0)	l
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT		m Interchange Modific	ation Report (IMR)		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: V				TOTAL 000T	# 500.000
ROUTE/STREET	CHIPPENHAM PARK		In 10.1	E. (0.5	TOTAL COST	\$500,000
FUND SOU	IRCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$ C	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO	118470	SCOPE	Cartatura and Educa Lia	n of Pedestrians /Bicyc	lisits	
SYSTEM		JURISDICTION	,	1 of Pedesinans /Bicyc	OVERSIGHT	NFO
	Primary		Henrico County			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION		an and Transit Improve e TO: Parham Road (1.			ADMIN BY	VDOT
ROUTE/STREET	WEST BROAD STRE	<u>'</u>	/ 700 IVII)		TOTAL COST	\$11,951,231
FUND SOU		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	\$11,951,231 FY27
		\$315,587	\$1,262,348			
RW Federal - RS			\$1,262,346 \$0			
CN Federal - RS		\$494,307 \$1,580,353	· ·			
CIA Trederar - KS) II	\$1,560,555	J	Į PC	<u>'</u> Ι Φ ^C	\$0,321,411

UPC NO		111467	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Λ	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	#SMART18 - SB Rt 2	88 to WB US 360 WB C	rff-Ramp		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 0.046 MI. N c	of Route 360 (Hull Stree (1.0	t Rd.) 890 MI)	TO: 1.135	5 MI. N. of Route 360 (F	Hull Street Rd.)	
Progran	n Note	FFY23 Rollover proje with the metropolito	ct to adjust the FFY24 an TIP.	STIP based on STIP A	dj. #FFY23-09 processe	ed 6/27/23. Project is a	consistent	
ROUTE	/STREET	ROUTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$21,860,471	
	FUND	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$C	\$C	\$C	\$0	\$ C	
UPC NO)	118147	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	ring			
SYSTEM	Λ	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	IAR - Route 288 (We	st Creek Area)			ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: Broad Street	Road TO: Tuckahoe Cr	eek Parkway				
ROUTE	/STREET	ROUTE 288 (0288)				TOTAL COST	\$499,900	
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - RST	Р	\$180	\$720	\$0	\$0	\$0	
LIDC NO		10,4000	CODE	De e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	dala al Causa susita i			
UPC NO		104890	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Ac	ааеа Сарасіту	O) (EDGLOLIT) IF O	
SYSTEM		Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC		,	wy to Castle Rock Rd)			ADMIN BY	Locally	
		est of Bridgewood Rd.	10: 0.029 Miles East of	Castle Rock Rd. (0.56	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ROUTE/STREET HULL STREET ROAD		·			TOTAL COST	\$7,034,227		
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$C	\$597,300	\$C	\$0	\$0	

UPC NO)	118144	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering			
SYSTEN	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	US 360 Superstreets	Study			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: Winterpock R	d TO: Harbour Point Po	arkway/Mockingbird l	Lane		
ROUTE	/STREET	US 360 (0360)				TOTAL COST	\$300,000
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO)	13551	SCOPE	Safety			
SYSTEN	1	Primary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	#SMART18 - RTE 360	WIDENING			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 0.61 MI W RTI	E 643 (LEE DAVIS RD) T	O: 0.19 MI E RTE 643 (LEE DAVIS RD) (0.800	0 MI)	
ROUTE	/STREET	MECHANICSVILLE T	URNPIKE (0360)			TOTAL COST	\$34,744,037
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$73,989	\$0	\$0	\$0
RW AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$407,325	\$C	\$ O	\$0
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$4,712,137	\$0	\$0	\$0
				La			
		115202	SCOPE	Other		Lovernous in	1,
		Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT Countywide Sidewal					ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCR		FROM: Various TO: V	arious				
ROUTE	/STREET	VARIOUS (9999)				TOTAL COST	\$124,935

FY25

\$0

FY26

\$0

FY27

\$0

\$0

FY24

\$0

FUND SOURCE

MATCH

Secondary Projects

UPC NO		111713	SCOPE	New Construction Roadway				
SYSTEM	١	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	#SMART18 - BBC Ph 1	- Bailey Br Conn., Brad	d McNeer Conn.		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: BRAD MC NE	ER PKWY TO: BAILEY I	BRIDGE ROAD				
ROUTE,	/STREET	BAILEY BRIDGE CON	NECTOR (0000)			TOTAL COST	\$26,374,800	
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - AC	CONVERSION	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0	
RW AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$22,374,800	\$0	\$0	
UPC NC)	115193	SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	Road Diet Study (Ho	okins, Turner, Whitepin	e)	ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: Various TO: Va	rious					
ROUTE,	/STREET	HOPKINS, TURNER, V	WHITEPINE (0637)			TOTAL COST	\$83,793	
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
UPC NC)	77121	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County	. ,	OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	RTE 638 - CONSTRUC	T LTL & ADD SB REC.	LN		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: 0.2 MILE SOU	TH OF ROUTE 301 TO:	INTERSECTION ROUTE	301 (0.2000 MI)			
ROUTE/STREET ATLEE ROAD (0638)					TOTAL COST	\$2,588,517		
FUND SOURCE MATCH		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - CN	1AQ	\$4,906	\$19,622	\$0	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$160,430	\$0	\$0	\$0	

UPC NC)	115195	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	1	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	Atlee Station Rd Wide	ening (Phase 2)			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: RTE 1860 (Cas	tle Tower Rd) TO: RTE 1	255 (Warren Ave) (2.0	0700 MI)			
ROUTE,	ROUTE/STREET ATLEE STATION ROAD (06)					TOTAL COST	\$31,529,239	
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
RW	Federal - RST	P	\$411,144	\$0	\$1,644,576	\$0	\$0	
RW	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$4,421,280	\$0	\$0	
AC								
CN	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,542,708	
AC								

UPC NO	0	109988	SCOPE	Bridge Replacement w/ Added Capacity					
SYSTE	M	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County OVERSIGHT NFO			NFO		
PROJE	CT	#SGR21VB -RT 715 -	BR ONLY OVER NEWF	IEWFOUND RIVER (Fed 9578) ADMIN BY VDOT					
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: 0.80 Miles North of Rte 781 TO: 0.90 Miles North of Rte 781 (0.0730 MI)							
Progra	Program Note FFY23 Rollover project to adjust the FFY24 STIP based on STIP Adj. #FFY23-08 processed 6/01/23. Project is consistent with the metropolitan TIP.								
ROUTE	STREET	BEAVER DAM ROAD	(0715)			TOTAL COST	\$1,722,235		
	FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO	81667	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	RTE 615 (CREIGHTON	RD) - ROUNDABOUT			ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRIPTION	FROM: COLD HARBOR RD - FR 0.16 MI N CREIGHTON RD TO 0.08 MI S TO: CREIGHTON RD - FR 0.12 MI W COLD HARBOR RD TO 0.07 MI E (0.2000 MI)							
ROUTE/STREET	ROUTE/STREET CREIGHTON ROAD & COLD HARBOR ROAD (0615)				TOTAL COST	\$7,599,275		
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO)	109260	SCOPE	Preliminary Engineering			
SYSTEM Secondary		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	POLE GREEN RD WIE	DENING			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: Bell Creek Roc	ad TO: Rural Point Road	d (1.6300 MI)			
PROG	RAM NOTE	TIP AMD – Release \$43	31,454 RW Phase				
ROUTE	/STREET	POLE GREEN ROAD	(0627)			TOTAL COST	\$39,848,191
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW	Federal - RST	P	\$824,502	\$3,298,006	\$0	\$ C	\$0
CN	Federal - RST	P	\$1,365,726	\$0	\$0	\$ C	\$5,462,905
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$C	\$C	\$7,571,369
UPC NO)	104957	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity			
SYSTE	Λ	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Hanover County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	CT	#HB2.FY17 RTE 656 -	SLIDING HILL ROAD CORRIDOR			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: 0.35 MI W RTE	1265 (ТОТОРОТОМО	Y TRAIL) TO: 0.676 MI E	RTE 1265 (TOTOPOTO	MOY TRAIL) (1.0300 N	۸۱)
ROUTE	/STREET	SLIDING HILL ROAD	(0656)			TOTAL COST	\$10,248,817
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$569,190	\$0	\$C	\$0
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$2,747,306	\$C	\$C	\$0
UPC NO	<u> </u>	50528	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Ac	Ided Canacity		
SYSTE		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County	adda dapadiiy	OVERSIGHT	NFO
,		- WIDENING - PE ON	,		ADMIN BY	Locally	
		HILL DRIVE TO: GASKI				,	
ROUTE/STREET 9999		9999		· · · · · ·		TOTAL COST	\$2,933,000
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - RST	P	\$14,000	\$56,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO 60933			3 SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTE/	M	A Secondary JURISDICTION Henrico County			OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJE	ECT .	RTE 9999 - DABBS H	IOUSE RD; RECONSTR	UCTION		ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESCR	RIPTION	FROM: INT. DABBS I MI)	HOUSE ROAD AND NII	NE MILE ROAD TO: 0.0	75 MI. N OF INT. DABI	3S HOUSE RD & E RICH	HMOND RD (0.8920	
ROUTE	E/STREET	DABBS HOUSE ROA	ND (9999)			TOTAL COST	\$13,444,064	
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - RS1	ſΡ	(\$107,936)	(\$431,742)	\$C	\$0	\$0	
RW	Federal - RS1	ſΡ	\$8,816	\$35,262				
CN	Federal - RS1	ΓP	\$99,120	\$396,480	\$C	\$0	\$0	
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$154,321	\$C	\$C	\$0	
1			-					
UPC N	0	118150	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycles				
SYSTE!	М	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJE	:CT	Nuckols Road Pede	strian Improvements			ADMIN BY	Locally	
DESC	RIPTION	FROM: Springfield Ro	oad TO: Capital One w	ay				
ROUTE	E/STREET	NUCKOLS RD (0157)			TOTAL COST	\$2,160,000	
	FUND SOUF	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
PE	Federal - CA	ЛAQ	\$66,400	\$265,600	\$0	\$0	\$C	
RW	Federal - CA	ЛAQ	\$164,800	\$0	\$0	\$659,200	\$0	
CN	Federal - CA	ЛAQ	\$200,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$803,200	
UPC N	UPC NO 11500		SCOPE	Other				
SYSTEM		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJECT Parham Road/Hu		Parham Road/Hung	ary Road Bicycle and F	Pedestrian Study		ADMIN BY	Locally	
		d - Various TO: Hungary	·			·		
ROUTI	E/STREET	PARHAM ROAD (99				TOTAL COST	\$250,000	
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

UPC NO)	111716	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity						
SYSTEN	Λ	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO			
PROJEC	CT	#SMART18 - RICHMO	OND-HENRICO TURNE	PIKE SOUTH SGMT		ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESCR	IPTION	FROM: LABURNUM A	VENUE TO: HUMMING	BIRD RD (1.1490 MI)						
PROGRA	am note	Linked to UPC 110911 TIP AMD: Update estimate from \$29,678,850 to \$38,488,800. Add \$1,324,458 (STP/STBG) FFY24 RW phase; release \$2,200,719 (STP/STBG) & \$21,252,031 (AC-Other) FFY24, add \$668,371 (STP/STBG) & \$31,594,329 (AC-Other) FFY25 CN phase								
ROUTE	/STREET	RICHMOND-HENRIC	O TURNPIKE (7609)			TOTAL COST	\$38,488,800			
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
RW	Federal - STP	/STBG	\$0	\$1,324,458	\$0	\$0	\$0			
CN	Federal - STP	/STBG	\$0	\$0	\$668,371	\$0	\$0			
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$0	\$31,594,329	\$0	\$0			
UPC N		104880	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestri	ans and Bicycles					
SYSTE		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO			
PROJE			- CONSTRUCT SIDEWA		ADMIN BY	Locally				
	RIPTION		: FALCONBRIDGE DR	(1.1800 MI)						
ROUTI	E/STREET	RIDGEFIELD PARKV	· ,			TOTAL COST	\$1,172,000			
	FUND SOL		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
CN AC	Federal - A	C OTHER	\$0	\$1,999	\$0	\$0	\$0			
UPC N		60934	SCOPE	December ation w/o	A ddad Canacity					
		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Reconstruction w/o / Henrico County	чаава Сараспу	OVERSIGHT	NFO			
SYSTEM		,		,	/ DDO I					
			RD; WIDEN & RECON: ST OF DOMINION BOL			ADMIN BY	Locally			
	E/STREET	SADLER ROAD (999)		ILLVAKU IO. U.USU MI	LASI OF CEDAR FOR	TOTAL COST	\$3,500,000			
KOUII		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	\$3,300,000 FY27			
	FUND SOURCE		MAICH	1124	1120	1120	112/			

\$118,623

\$0

\$0

\$29,656

PE

Federal - STP/STBG

\$0

UPC N	0	50529	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ Ad	Ided Capacity		
SYSTE	М	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	СТ	RTE 9999 (THREE CH	OPT ROAD) WIDEN TO 4 LANES			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCI	RIPTION	FROM: 1.076 Mi W IN	T. COX ROAD TO: 0.241 MI E INT. GASKINS ROAD (2.1740 MI)				
ROUT	E/STREET	THREE CHOPT ROAD	(9999)			TOTAL COST	\$30,818,528
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW	Federal - RST	Federal - RSTP \$728,699 \$2,914,796 \$0		\$0	\$C		
CN	Federal - RST	P	\$2,700,499	\$0 \$10,801,994		\$0	\$C
CN AC	Federal - AC OTHER		\$0	\$0	\$9,903,733	\$0	\$C
UPC N	0	101246	SCOPE	Bridge Rehab w/o Ad	Ided Capacity		
	SYSTEM Secondary		JURISDICTION	Powhatan County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE		<u>'</u>	REPAIR (SCOUR) OVE	,	R	ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCI	RIPTION		attox River (VA Str 6910				_
ROUT	E/STREET	CLEMENTON ROAD	(0681)			TOTAL COST	\$2,036,495
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - BR		\$0	\$90,135	\$0	\$0	\$C
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$557,778	\$0	\$0	\$C
UPC N	0	112974	SCOPE	New Construction Ro	adway		
SYSTE		Secondary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE		,	e road, rt 288-old	,	TENSION	ADMIN BY	LOCALLY
DESCRIPTION FROM: 0.299 MI South of Rte 652 TO: 0.435 M			,				
ROUT	E/STREET	Woolridge Road (Rte	668)			TOTAL COST	
MPO N	NOTE		Funded via CVTA, stat	e Revenue Sharing; Inc	cluded for conformity;	CN completion expec	ted in 2025
	FUND SOUR			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

UPC NO	HEN0001	SCOPE	New Construction Roadway					
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	Magellan Parkway Ex	tension	ion			LOCALLY		
DESCRIPTION	From: Green City, To:	Magellan Parkway Bri	dge over I-95					
ROUTE/STREET	Magellan Parkway				TOTAL COST	\$14,400,000		
MPO NOTE	Project is non-federal;	Locally funded; Includ	led for conformity only	; CN completion expe	cted in 2024			
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$C	\$C	\$C	\$0		

UPC NO	HEN0002	SCOPE	New Construction Bridge					
SYSTEM	Secondary	JURISDICTION	Henrico County	NFO				
PROJECT	Magellan Parkway Br	idge over I-95	over I-95 ADMIN BY LOCALLY					
DESCRIPTION From: Magellan Pkwy Extension, To: Magellan Pkwy (Retreat @ One)								
ROUTE/STREET	Magellan Parkway				TOTAL COST	\$18,616,000		
MPO NOTE	Project is non-federal;	CVTA funded; Include	d for conformity; CN co	ompletion expected in	2025			
FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Urban Projects

UPC N	0	121681	SCOPE	Bridge Replacement w/o Added Capacity						
SYSTE <i>l</i>	M	Urban	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO			
PROJE	:CT	#BF- RTE 0 MEAD	OW RD (FED ID 9652) C	R		ADMIN BY	Locally			
DESCF	RIPTION	FROM: 2.15 miles 1	O GRAPEVINE RD TO: (GRAPEVINE RD TO: 0.15 miles TO 60						
Progra	ım Note	· ·	ject - Project added to e metropolitan TIP.	the FFY24 STIP based	on FHWA approval of	STIP Amd #FFY23-06 2	2/14/23. Project is			
ROUTE	E/STREET	MEADOW ROAD	(0000)			TOTAL COST	\$2,181,266			
	FUND S	SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
RW	Federa	l - BR	\$	0 \$0	\$5,250	\$0				
CN	Federal - BR		\$	0 \$0	\$0	\$1,746,556	\$0			
CN AC	Federal - AC OTHER		\$	\$(\$0	\$186,500	\$0			
UPC N		1.51	0.50 \$000 5	December ation w/ A	ddad Caraaitu					
			958 SCOPE	Reconstruction w/ A	аава Сараспу	O) (EDCI CLIT	Γ			
SYSTE!		Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT ADMIN BY	La a allui			
PROJE	RIPTION	COMMERCE ROAL		WIDENING SOUTH JUNCTION) TO: 0.13 Mile N. of BELLEMEADE ROAD (1.8780			Locally			
	E/STREET	COMMERCE ROAL	· '	D. U.13 MIIIE IN. OI BELL	EMEADE ROAD (1.0/00	TOTAL COST	\$36,272,153			
KOUII	FUND SOU		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	\$36,272,133 FY27			
PE	Federal - RS		\$100,15							
CN	Federal - N		\$	· ·			·			
CIT	Federal - RS		\$438,51							
Federal - STP/STBG		\$234,32	·							
CN TOTAL		\$672,83	· ·	· ·	·					
CN			\$	· ·	-					

UPC NO	19036	SCOPE	Reconstruction w/o A	dded Capacity		
SYSTEM	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT FOREST HILL AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS					ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION	FROM: HATHAWAY F	RD TO: POWHITE PARK	(WAY (0.7400 MI)			
ROUTE/STREET	FOREST HILL AVENU	E (U000)			TOTAL COST	\$14,189,886
FUND SOURCE		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO)				19035	SCOPE			Reconstruction	w/o Adde	ed Capacity
SYSTEN	Λ	Urban		JURISDICTIOI	7	Richmond	1	OVERSI	GHT NF	0	
PROJEC	CT		Jahnke Roa Improvemen			ADMIN BY			Locally		
DESCR	RIPTION					FROM: BL	AKEMORE RD	TO: FOR	EST HILL AVE (1.6000	MI)	
ROUTE	/STREET		JAHNKE ROA	D (U000)		TOTAL COST					\$22,015,668
		FUND SOURCE	MATCH		FY24		FY25	F	Y26	FY27	
PE		Federal - RSTP		\$82,000		\$328,000		\$0	\$0		\$0
RW		Federal - RSTP		\$30,000		\$120,000		\$0	\$0		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
CN		Federal - CMAG)	\$216,381		\$865,522		\$0	\$0		\$0
		Federal - RSTP		\$1,279,354		\$5,117,417		\$0	\$0		\$0
		Federal - STP/STE		\$170,000		\$680,000		\$0	\$0		\$0
CNTOTA	AL		\$1,665,735		\$6,662,939		\$0		\$0		\$0
CN AC		Federal - AC OTH	HER	\$0		\$9,126,994		\$0	\$0		\$0
UPC NO		104887	SCOPE)	ab w/o Ad	ded Capacity				
SYSTEN	Λ	Urban	JURISDI	CTION	Richmond			(OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	RTE 60 - BRIDG	E REHABILITA	TION				1	admin by	Locally	
DESCR		AT RTE 161 (BELT	, ,	0.1900 MI)							
ROUTE	/STREET	MIDLOTHIAN TO	JRNPIKE (006	RNPIKE (0060)				1	TOTAL COST		\$2,010,348
	FUND SOUR		MATCH		FY24		FY25		-Y26	FY27	
CN	Federal - RST	P		\$0		\$411,882		\$0	\$0		\$0
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER		\$0		\$85,589		\$0	\$0		\$0
UPC NO			15955 SCOPE			tion w/o A	dded Capacit				
SYSTEN	Λ	Urban	JURISDI	CTION	Richmond			(OVERSIGHT	NFO	
PROJEC	CT	RTE 1 - INTERSEC	CTION IMPRO	VEMENTS AT	hopkins ri	D & HARW	OOD ST	/	ADMIN BY	VDOT	
DESCRIPTION FROM: 0.008 MI S CHE		S CHESTERMA	AN AVE TO: 0	.435 MIN C	CHESTERMA	N AVE (0.4430) MI)				
ROUTE	ROUTE/STREET RICHMOND HWY (0		Y (0001)					1	TOTAL COST		\$15,494,494
	FUND SOUR		MATCH		FY24		FY25	F	-Y26	FY27	
PE	Federal - STP	/STBG		\$130,746		\$522,985		\$0	\$0		\$0
RW	Federal - CN	IAQ		(\$38,534)		(\$154,134)		\$0	\$0		\$0
	Federal - EB/	MG		(\$258,222)	(5	\$1,032,889)		\$0	\$0		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
RW TO	TAL			(\$296,756)	(5	\$1,187,023)		\$0	\$0		\$0

UPC NO)	115222	SCOPE	Other					
SYSTEM	l	Urban	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJEC	CT Park-N-Ride Bus Purchase					ADMIN BY	Locally		
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: Various TO: Various							
ROUTE/	/STREET	VARIOUS (9999)				TOTAL COST	\$90,335		
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - CMAQ \$18,067		\$72,268	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Enhancement Projects

UPC NC)	107535	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestric	ans and Bicycles		
SYSTEM	1	Enhancement	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	DOREY PARK - SHA	RED-USE PATH - TAP	E PATH - TAP			Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 1.0 mi S of the	int of Darbytown Rd c	and Dorey Pk TO: 0.1 m	town Rd and Dorey Pk		
ROUTE,	/STREET	DOREY PARK DRIVE	(EN15)			TOTAL COST	\$364,863
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$17,315	\$C	\$0	\$0
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0 \$13,798 \$0		\$0	\$0	
	UPC NO 107515 SCOPE Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicycle			ans and Bicycles	S		
	SYSTEM Enhancement JURIS			Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	CARNATION STREET	- ADD SIDEWALK - TA	P		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: RTE 60 (MIDL	OTHIAN TPKE) TO: HIC	DAKS RD			
PROGR <i>A</i>	AM NOTE	All funds obligated b	ased on current allocc	tions/estimate			
ROUTE,	/STREET	CARNATION STREET	(EN15)			TOTAL COST	\$591,991
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NC)	110968	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestric	ans and Bicvcles		
SYSTEM		Enhancement	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	FOUR MILE CREEK V	CT IMPROVEMENTS	,		ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 0.1 mi E of Fa	rmer's Circle Drive TO:	0.1 mi W of Farmer's C	Circle Drive (0.2000 MI)		,
ROUTE/STREET EN17			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL COST	\$1,234,975		
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN AC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$387,242	\$C	\$0	\$0

Miscellaneous Projects

UPC NO	103393	SCOPE	Facilities for Pedestric	ans and Bicycles		
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Ashland		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	ASHLAND TROLLEY	LINE TRAIL			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION	FROM: MAPLE ST EX	TENSION TO: TROLLE	Y LINE TRAIL PARK (0.			
ROUTE/STREET	0000				TOTAL COST	\$1,710,156
FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
		\$C	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO	T23339	SCOPE	I			
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	PE for Parking Lots -		Thornico coomy		ADMIN BY	DRPT
DESCRIPTION	FROM: Various TO: V				/ (B) (III (B)	51111
ROUTE/STREET			TOTAL COST	\$507,318		
FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE AC Federal - AC	OTHER	\$C	\$99,999	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO	T206	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT		ey Vehicle Replaceme			ADMIN BY	Locally
DESCRIPTION					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2000
ROUTE/STREET	GREATER RICHMONE) TRANSIT COMPANY	(0000)		TOTAL COST	\$180,000
FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
•		\$C	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO	109193	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Henrico County		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJECT	CRAC CNG BUS PURC		Thermed County		ADMIN BY	Other
DESCRIPTION	FROM: various TO: va				/ LD / VIII 4 D I	
ROUTE/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$140,150
FUND SOUR		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE Federal - CM		\$28,000	\$112,000	\$0		

UPC NC)	86357	SCOPE	Other			
SYSTEM	1	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Multi-jurisdictional: Ri	chmond MPO	OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	CT	RAMPO Travel Demo	and Modeling On-Call	Consultant Support		ADMIN BY	Other
DESCRI	IPTION						
ROUTE,	/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$1,443,550
	FUND SOUR	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$0	\$	0 \$0	\$0
		_		_			
UPC N		115815	SCOPE	Other			
SYSTE	M	Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE		I-64 Express Barge S	•			ADMIN BY	Other
	RIPTION	FROM: RMT TO: RM	T (_)				
ROUTE	ROUTE/STREET RMT (9999)					TOTAL COST	\$3,000,000
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - C	MAQ	\$600,000	\$2,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC N	\cap	118143	SCOPE	Preliminary Enginee	oring		
SYSTE		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond District-v		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE		RRTPO Scenario Pla		RICHITIONA DISINCI-V	VIGE	ADMIN BY	Locally
	RIPTION	FROM: Various TO: \			ADMINDI	Locally	
	E/STREET	9999	v anous			TOTAL COST	\$243,550
ROOM	FUND SOU		MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - RS		\$48,710	\$194,840	\$0		\$0
	•		_	•	•	•	
UPC N	0	T20741	SCOPE				
SYSTE	SYSTEM Miscellaneous		JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJE	PROJECT VEHICLE FUEL CON		iversion program i	MARKETING		ADMIN BY	Other
DESCR	DESCRIPTION						
ROUTE	E/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$360,000
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - C	MAQ	\$72,000	\$288,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

UPC NO		T11802	SCOPE	Other			
SYSTEM		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Statewide		OVERSIGHT	FO
PROJEC	T T	Vehicle Fuel Convers	ion Program	•		ADMIN BY	Other
DESCRI	PTION	FROM: 1 TO: 1					•
ROUTE/	'STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$8,640,000
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - CN	1AQ	\$1,235,482	\$4,941,927	\$C	\$C	\$0
UPC NO		T41	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC	T	Renovation of Transp	ortation Facility			ADMIN BY	VDOT
DESCRI	PTION						
ROUTE/			TRANSIT COMPANY	1 /		TOTAL COST	\$3,786,000
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
UPC NO		T203	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC			tion Reduction Progra		ADMIN BY	DRPT	
DESCRI			tion Reduction Progra	m			
ROUTE/		COMPOOL INC.(RID				TOTAL COST	\$17,688,219
	FUND SOUR			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - CM	1AQ	\$201,800	\$407,200	\$400,000	\$0	\$0
UPC NO		113832	SCOPE	Other		1	•
SYSTEM		Miscellaneous	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO
PROJEC			minal (RMT) - Drop La	ot		ADMIN BY	Other
DESCRI		FROM: various TO: va					
PROGRA		· ·		tions/estimate. Linked	to UPCs 122142, 12214		
ROUTE/		RMT TERMINAL (U00				TOTAL COST	\$2,764,062
	FUND SOUR	CE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Public Transportation Projects

UPC NO	T2131	SCOPE	Transit					
SYSTEM	Public Transportation	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield County		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	Expansion of Bus Ser	ADMIN BY	VDOT					
DESCRIPTION	Expansion of Bus Ser	vice						
ROUTE/STREET	0000				TOTAL COST	\$2,595,508		
FUND SC	OURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

UPC NO)	T20113	SCOPE	Transit						
SYSTEM	1	Public Transportation	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO			
PROJEC	CT	GRTC REPLACEMENT	OF ROLLING STOCK	TOCK CNG BUSES (FY22) ADMIN BY DRPT						
DESCRI	IPTION	FROM: N/A TO: N/A								
ROUTE,	/STREET	9999				TOTAL COST	\$2,550,420			
FUND SOURCE MATCH			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27				
CN Federal - CMAQ \$139,630			\$558,520	\$0	\$0	\$0				

STIP ID		GRTC003	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	IENT Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT		Preventive Maintenance				ADMIN BY GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD – Reduce FTA 5307 by total to \$29,937,500. Approved		l local by \$662,500	in FY24. Reduce	TOTAL	\$29,937,500
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Federal - FTA 530)7		\$ 4,000,000	\$ 6,650,000	\$ 6,650,000	\$ 6,650,000
Local				\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,662,500	\$ 1,662,500	\$ 1,662,500

STIP ID		GRTC004	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Replace Rolling Stock	Replace Rolling Stock				GRTC	
MPO NOTES						TOTAL	\$32,400,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$1,344,000	\$3,024,000	\$4,032,000	\$672,000	
State				\$3,264,000	\$7,344,000	\$9,792,000	\$1,632,000	
	Local			\$192,000	\$432,000	\$576,000	\$96,000	

STIP ID		GRTC005	SCOPE	Transit						
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company						
PROJECT		Transit Amenities	-			ADMIN BY	GRTC			
MPO NOTES TIP ADJ: Reduce previous (FY23) obligation and local by \$82,877.				ons by \$189,202 i	in FTA 5339, \$1,80	00,130 in state,	TOTAL	\$400,000		
	FUND SOURCE	E		Previous	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
	Federal - FTA 5	307			\$28,000	\$28,000	\$28,000	\$28,000		
	Federal - FTA 5339			-\$189,202	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
	State			-\$1,800,130	\$68,000	\$68,000	\$68,000	\$68,000		
	Local			-\$82,877	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000		

STIP ID		GRTC008	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT		Paratransit Vehicles				ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES			5146,625 local in FY2	07, Add \$1,766,984 4. Update total fron		TOTAL	\$15,165,654
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307	7		\$0	\$998,200	\$483,000	\$998,200
	Federal – FTA 533	9		\$1,766,984			
	State			\$4,291,245	\$2,424,200	\$1,173,000	\$2,424,200
	Local			\$252,425	\$142,600	\$69,000	\$142,600

STIP ID		GRTC031	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT Greater Richmond Transit Compan			ny	
PROJECT Surveillance/Security Equi			Equipment			ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES		1% security requirements state by \$408,000, an Approved 11/6/23.				TOTAL	\$100,000
	FUND SOURCE				FY25	FY26	FY27
Federal - FTA 5307		07		\$80,000			
State				\$0			
	Local			\$20,000			

STIP ID		GRTC032	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Shop Equipment	Shop Equipment				GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP ADJ – Reduce FTA : \$8,200 in FY24. Reduce			ocal by	TOTAL	\$1,965,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$98,000	\$155,400	\$154,000	\$142,800	
State				\$238,000	\$377,400	\$374,000	\$346,800	
	Local			\$14,000	\$22,200	\$22,000	\$20,400	

STIP ID		GRTC033	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Computer Hardware				ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP ADJ – Reduce FTA 5 \$50,120 in FY24. Reduc			d local by	TOTAL	\$2,154,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$485,520	\$35,000	\$39,200	\$43,400	
State				\$1,179,120	\$85,000	\$95,200	\$105,400	
	Local			\$69,360	\$5,000	\$5,600	\$6,200	

STIP ID		GRTC034	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Computer Software				ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP ADJ – Increase FTA \$116,691 in FY24. Increa			local by	TOTAL	\$5,459,621	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$845,664	\$323,010	\$323,010	\$323,010	
State				\$985,184	\$784,453	\$784,453	\$784,453	
	Local			\$167,952	\$46,144	\$46,144	\$46,144	

STIP ID		GRTC035	SCOPE Transit					
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT Greater Richmond Transit Company					
PROJECT		Support Vehicles				ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES						TOTAL	\$1,002,285	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$205,734	\$53,164	\$21,742		
State			\$499,640	\$129,112	\$52,802			
	Local			\$29,391	\$7,595	\$3,106		

STIP ID		GRTC056	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Renovation of Adı	Renovation of Admin Maintenance Facility			ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add \$351,000 FTA 5307, \$561,000 State, and \$6 FY24. Update total from \$575,000 to \$1,550,000. Approve				TOTAL	\$1,550,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307	7		\$428,000	\$28,000	\$28,000	\$28,000	
	State			\$748,000	\$68,000	\$68,000	\$68,000	
	Local			\$74,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	

STIP ID	STIP ID		SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Non-Fixed Route	ADA Paratransit Ser	DA Paratransit Service ADMIN BY			GRTC	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add nev in local in FY24. Ap	•	00,000 in FTA 5307 c	ind \$325,000	TOTAL	\$1,625,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$1,300,000				
State								
	Local			\$325,000				

STIP ID		GRTC060	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company				
PROJECT		Mobility Manage	ment			ADMIN BY	GRTC	
MPO NOTES			v project. Add \$65,0 al in FY24. Approved	.000 in FTA 5310, \$13,000 in state, d 11/2/23		TOTAL	\$81,	,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$65,000				
State				\$13,000				
	Local			\$3,000				

STIP ID		GRTC067	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT		Planning Consulta	ant Services			ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add new project. Add \$1,43 State, and \$126,348 in local in FY24. A				TOTAL	\$3,158,700
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$1,435,866			
State				\$1,596,486			
	Local			\$126,348			

STIP ID		GRTC065	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Greater Richmond Transit Company			
PROJECT		Articulated Vehicles				ADMIN BY	GRTC
MPO NOTES						TOTAL	\$2,400,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5307			\$672,000			
	State			\$1,632,000			
	Local			\$96,000			

STIP ID		CAA0001	1 SCOPE Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Capital Area Agency on Aging, Senior Connections			
PROJECT		Operating Assistance				ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES		TIP ADJ: Increase total to \$13,000. Increase to) \$62,000, local	TOTAL	\$123,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 531	0		\$62,000			
State			\$48,000				
	Local			\$13,000			

STIP ID		CAA0002	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Capital Area Agency on Aging, Senior Connections			
PROJECT		Mobility Managemen	t			ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES						TOTAL	\$215,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 531	0		\$172,000			
	State			\$34,000			
	Local			\$9,000			

STIP ID		CCH001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield County			
PROJECT		Mobility Manage	ment			ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES			v project. Add \$240 cal in FY24. Approve		3,000 in State,	TOTAL	\$300,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310)		\$240,000			
	State			\$48,000			
	Local			\$12,000			

STIP ID		CCH002	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield County			
PROJECT		Operating Assistar	Operating Assistance			ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES			v project. Add \$37,5 al in FY24. Approved		000 in State,	TOTAL	\$75,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310)		\$37,500			
State				\$30,000			
	Local			\$7,500			

STIP ID		CHS0001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield Mental Health Support Services			
PROJECT	PROJECT Paratransit Vehicles					ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES	MPO NOTES					TOTAL	\$150,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 531	0		\$120,000			
	State			\$0			
	Local			\$30,000			

STIP ID	CHS0003 SCOPE Transit							
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield County Citizens Information & Services				
PROJECT	Operating Assistance					ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed plo	anned FY24 obligations. Approved 11/2/23		23	TOTAL		\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$0				
	State			\$0				
	Local			\$0				

STIP ID		CHS0004	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Chesterfield Mental Health Support Services				
PROJECT		Capital Cost of Contrac	cting			ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed plo	anned FY24 obligations	s. Approved 11/2/2	23	TOTAL		\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 531	0		\$0				
	State			\$0				
	Local			\$0				

STIP ID		HCS0001	SCOPE	Transit			
SYSTEM	Public Transportation RECIPIENT Hanover County DASH						
PROJECT	CT Operating Assistance					ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES						TOTAL	\$70,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 531	0		\$35,000			
	State			\$28,000			
	Local			\$7,000			

STIP ID		HCS0002	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Hanover County DASH				
PROJECT		Capital Cost of Contrac	cting			ADMIN BY	DRPT	
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Removed planned FY24 obligations.		s. Approved 11/2/2	23	TOTAL	:	\$0
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5310			\$0				
	State			\$0				
	Local			\$0				

STIP ID		HCS0003	SCOPE	Transit				
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Hanover County				
PROJECT	Mobility Manage	ment			ADMIN BY	DRPT		
MPO NOTES			v project. Add \$508 cal in FY24. Approve	8,800 in FTA 5310, \$101,760 in State, ed 11/2/23		TOTAL	\$636,000	
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	
	Federal - FTA 5310)		\$508,800				
State				\$101,760				
	Local			\$25,440				

STIP ID	STIP ID PCSS001 SCOPE			Transit			
SYSTEM		Public Transportation	RECIPIENT	Powhatan County Department of Social Services			
PROJECT Operating Assiste			nce			ADMIN BY	DRPT
MPO NOTES		TIP AMD: Add nev \$2,500 in local in	v project. Add \$12, FY24. Approved 11	500 in FTA 5310, \$10 /2/23	,000 in State, and	TOTAL	\$25,000
	FUND SOURCE			FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
	Federal - FTA 5310)		\$12,500			
State				\$10,000			
	Local			\$2,500			

Rail Projects

UPC NO	-20299	SCOPE	Operational expense	es related to six trains.				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Richmond		OVERSIGHT	NFO		
PROJECT	Virginia State-Suppo	orted Amtrak Operatio	ons		ADMIN BY	VPRA		
DESCRIPTION	on the Richmond re	erating expenses for 2 trains on the Newport News route (Route 47), 3 trains on the Norfolk route (Route 50), and 1 train the Richmond route (Route 51). The cost included is only for a portion of the routes and a portion of the train costs mated for the jurisdiction.						
PROGRAM NOTE	TIP AMD – Add new	Add new project.						
ROUTE/ STREET	Newport News Ope Operations (Route & Richmond Operation	•	folk		TOTAL COST	\$144,119,692		
FUND SOURCE		Previous Funding	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE Federal CMA	Q	\$0	\$2,695,051	\$2,806,852	\$2,951,060	\$3,073,864		
PE State CMAQ		\$0	\$673,763	\$701,713	\$737,765	\$768,466		
PE VPRA		\$20,706,216	\$22,117,599	\$23,044,638	\$30,897,597	\$32,945,107		

UPC NO		VPRA001	SCOPE	Hanover Third Tro	ıck (Siding C)		
SYSTEM		Primary	JURISDICTION	Hanover		OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT		Hanover Third Tro	ack (Siding C)			ADMIN BY	VPRA
DESCRIPTION	located to allow freight and passenger trains to utilize the sidings and fluidly move traffic through the corridor between Washington, D.C. and Richmond. Siding C is one of three sidings that is included in the 1 of TRV. These improvements will increase network fluidity and reduce delays due to passenger and fre train interference and lay the groundwork for a dedicated third track from Alexandria to Spotsylvania. Siding C is 2.9 miles long and stretches from Milepost 15.5 to Milepost 18.7.						
PROGRAM NOTE TIP AMD – Add new project. Project is Amtrak Funded. Included for information and coordination property only						ation purposes	
ROUTE/STREET		Siding C is located	d in Hanover Count	ry north of Ashland.	TOTAL COST	\$84,363,600	
	FUND SOURCE		Previous Funding	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
RW	Amtrak Capital (f	ederal funds)	\$0	\$40,000	\$60,000	\$0	\$0
PE Amtrak Capital (federal funds)			\$1,898,385	\$3,596,771	\$1,099,193	\$ O	\$0
CS Amtrak Capital (federal funds)			\$388,890	\$745,004	\$25,678,761	\$38,161,944	\$0
PM	Amtrak Capital (f	ederal funds)	\$722,877	\$1,102,852	\$4,678,424	\$6,190,498	\$0

Project Groups

Introduction

Many projects which use federal funds do not add capacity to the regional transportation network or create new connections. Some examples of these more minor projects include cleaning highway signs, bridge safety inspections, and constructing sidewalks where none exist currently.

Federal law allows funds to be set aside to cover groups of smaller projects. The projects and project details within each group can change without triggering the TIP modification process, providing additional flexibility. As the projects within each grouping can change, VDOT provides regular updates online. By practice, public transportation projects are all individually listed in the TIP and STIP.

As with other projects, any changes to the planned obligations or total cost of these groupings require TIP amendments or adjustments as detailed in the Modifications section of this document.

Bridge Rehabilitation, Replacement, and Reconstruction

These funds cover bridge construction projects such as bridge or drainage structure rehabilitation, and reconstruction or replacement when said work is on or adjacent to the same alignment.

Rail

These funds cover projects for rail/highway grade crossing improvements, regardless of funding source. Examples include improvements to warning devices, crossing surfaces, and construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossings at the same location.

Recreational Trails

These funds cover projects funded and advanced as part of the recreational trails program through the Department of Conservation and Recreation.

Transportation Enhancement, Byway, and Other Non-Traditional Projects

These funds cover projects with scopes of work eligible for funding under the former Transportation Enhancement and Transportation Alternatives Programs, and the Transportation Alternatives (TA) Set-Aside of the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, regardless of funding source. Examples include construction of interpretive pull-offs and overlooks, welcome centers, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, parking facilities, and wildflower plantings

Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation

These funds cover projects such as area wide programs for cleaning drainage facilities, corrosion protection activities, highway sign face cleaning, and retrofitting of dowel bars. In addition, pavement preservation projects that are of a preventative nature that extend pavement life between 2 and 10 years and typically involve the surface layer of the pavement structure. Finally, this group includes pavement resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation (3R) activities that are limited to the bound layers of the pavement and typically extend pavement life between 12 and 20 years.

Preventive Maintenance for Bridges

These funds cover eligible bridge activities such as sealing, replacing, or reconstructing joints; deck overlays; painting; cathodic protection; retrofit of fracture critical members and fatigue prone details; and some concrete repairs. This group also includes bridge safety inspections.

Traffic and Safety Operations

These funds cover projects which include signs, traffic signals, pavement markings and markers, guardrail, replacement or preventive maintenance of roadway lighting, maintenance, replacement, or upgrade of traffic calming devices.

Transit Access

These funds cover projects which provide bicycle or pedestrian facilities which increase access to transit services.

Transit Ridesharing

These funds cover projects which include continuation of ridesharing and van-pooling promotion activities at current levels.

Transit Vehicles

These funds cover projects which include purchase or lease of new buses and rail cars to replace existing vehicles or for minor expansions of the fleet, rehabilitation of transit vehicles, and the purchase of support vehicles. This also includes the purchase of operating equipment for vehicles such as radios, fareboxes, and lifts.

GROUPIN	NG C	onstruction	: Bridge Rehabilitation/Rep	lacement/Reconstruc	ction		
ROUTE/S	STREET					TOTAL COST	\$360,510,344
	FUND SOURC	Œ	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - NHS/	NHPP	(\$2,868,000)	(\$11,472,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - STP/S	TBG	\$678,712	\$0	\$C	\$2,714,848	\$0
PE TOTAL	L		(\$2,189,288)	(\$11,472,000)	\$C	\$2,714,848	\$0
RW	Federal - BR		\$452,815	\$0	\$C	\$1,811,259	\$0
	Federal - NHS/	NHPP	\$233,560	\$0	\$0	\$934,241	\$0
RW TOTA	\L		\$686,375	\$0	\$C	\$2,745,500	\$0
RW AC	Federal - AC C	THER	\$C	\$385,735	\$0	\$801,491	\$0
CN	Federal - BR		\$8,285,484	\$12,100,763	\$C	\$2,955,154	\$18,086,017
	Federal - DEM	0	\$82,829	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$331,314
	Federal - HIP/F	:	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000,000
	Federal - NHPF	P/E	\$461,045	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,844,181
	Federal - NHS/	NHPP	\$5,443,430	\$1,300,924	\$0	\$0	\$20,472,795
	Federal - RSTP		\$323,520	\$0	\$C	\$0	\$1,294,080
	Federal - STP/S	TBG	\$608,621	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,434,482
CNTOTAL	CNTOTAL		\$16,204,928	\$13,401,687	\$C	\$2,955,154	\$48,462,869
CNAC	Federal - AC C	THER	\$C	\$1,463,845	\$C	\$12,991,883	\$87,002,028

GROUPING	è	Construction : Rail	nstruction : Rail							
ROUTE/STE	REET		TOTAL COST	\$4,132,882						
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
CN	Federal - STI	P/STBG	\$139,296	\$1,253,662	\$C	\$0	\$0			
CNAC	Federal - AC		\$0	\$374,908	\$C	\$0	\$0			

GROUPING	Construction : Recre	Construction: Recreational Trails							
ROUTE/STREET					TOTAL COST	\$16,171,265			
FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

GROUPIN	NG Co	onstruction : Saf	ety/ITS/Operational Imp	orovements			
ROUTE/S	STREET					TOTAL COST	\$663,445,403
	FUND SOURC	E	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - AC C	ONVERSION	\$452,297	\$1,479,467	\$504,396	\$249,559	\$137,119
	Federal - CMA	Q	\$603,551	\$1,116,160	\$861,563	\$0	\$436,480
	Federal - NHFP		\$C	(\$25,405)	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NHS/1	NHPP	\$154,882	\$619,529	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - RSTP		\$167,661	\$670,642	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - STP/S	TBG	\$440,623	\$1,391,127	\$0	\$1,112,902	\$649,590
PE TOTA	L		\$1,819,013	\$5,251,520	\$1,365,959	\$1,362,461	\$1,223,189
PE AC	Federal - AC O	THER	\$C	\$823,125	\$0	\$0	\$504,400
RW	Federal - AC C	ONVERSION	\$887,039	\$0	\$200,066	\$310,487	\$3,037,602
	Federal - CMA	Q	\$1,005,197	\$320,000	\$0	\$3,700,786	\$0
	Federal - CRP/	F	\$1,665,744	\$0	\$3,119,527	\$3,543,449	\$0
	Federal - HSIP		\$62,000	\$0	\$0	\$405,000	\$153,000
	Federal - NHFP		\$81,751	\$327,004	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NHPP	P/E	\$208,810	\$835,240	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NHS/1	NHPP	\$2,076,831	\$4,034,102	\$3,910,503	\$362,719	\$0
	Federal - RSTP		\$1,781,213	\$10,000	\$3,611,712	\$783,918	\$2,719,222
	Federal - STP/S	TBG	\$60,044	\$0	\$0	\$540,394	\$0
RW TOTA	٩L		\$7,828,628	\$5,526,346	\$10,841,808	\$9,646,753	\$5,909,824
RW AC	Federal - AC O	THER	\$0	\$1,628,884	\$388,109	\$7,163,522	\$0
CN	Federal - AC C	ONVERSION	\$2,812,358	\$373,207	\$6,131,538	\$4,781,962	\$1,997,060
	Federal - CMA	Q	\$541,840	\$0	\$0	\$1,622,400	\$544,959
	Federal - HIP/F		\$326,409	\$1,305,636	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - HSIP		\$2,630,475	\$13,018,711	\$4,726,541	\$2,523,420	\$3,405,600
	Federal - NHPP	r/E	\$1,533,065	\$0	\$400,000	\$2,340,471	\$3,391,789
	Federal - NHS/I	NHPP	\$4,003,703	\$1,356,231	\$2,270,926	\$7,667,979	\$4,719,674
	Federal - RSTP		\$6,113,690	\$10,812,862	\$976,400	\$5,272,656	\$7,392,843
	Federal - STP/STBG		\$1,359,509	\$4,645,585	\$0	\$0	\$792,452
CNTOTAL	CNTOTAL		\$19,321,048	\$31,512,232	\$14,505,405	\$24,208,888	\$22,244,377
CNAC	Federal - AC O	THER	\$0	\$21,453,159	\$29,924,421	\$11,644,188	\$38,615,200

GROUPIN	NG Construction : Tro	ansportation Alternative	es/Byway/Non-Traditio	onal		
ROUTE/S	STREET				TOTAL COST	\$154,510,696
	FUND SOURCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
PE	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$76,199	\$211,757	\$93,040	\$0	
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$93,400	\$373,600	\$0	\$C	
	Federal - RTAP	\$56,945	\$227,779	\$0	\$C	· ·
PE TOTAL	L	\$226,544	\$813,136	\$93,040	\$C	\$0
PE AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$C	\$116,300	\$0	\$0	\$0
RW	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$487,165	\$0	\$466,400	\$1,482,260	
	Federal - CMAQ	\$195,811	\$275,600	\$507,642	\$C	
	Federal - HIP/F	\$6,000	\$24,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$23,882	\$95,528	\$0		
	Federal - RSTP	\$24,000	\$96,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - RTAP	\$29,321	\$76,872	\$40,410	\$0	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$496,560	\$417,600	\$1,568,640	\$0	\$0
	Federal - TAP/F	\$2,000	\$0	\$8,000	\$0	
RW TOTA	NL	\$1,264,738	\$985,600	\$2,591,092	\$1,482,260	\$0
RW AC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$3,930,106	\$7,330,960	\$0	\$0
CN	Federal - AC CONVERSION	\$638,036	\$0	\$0	\$2,552,142	\$0
	Federal - CMAQ	\$1,434,883	\$0	\$2,691,225	\$1,424,000	\$1,624,308
	Federal - DEMO	\$53,760	\$215,038	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - HIP/F	\$422,979	\$0	\$1,691,917	' \$C	\$0
	Federal - NHPP/E	\$175,500	\$0	\$0	\$702,000	\$0
	Federal - NHS/NHPP	\$928,618	\$0	\$3,198,311	\$516,160	
	Federal - RSTP	\$208,640	\$0	\$0	\$834,560	\$0
	Federal - RTAP	\$744,519	\$1,284,713	\$759,214	\$934,148	\$0
	Federal - STP/STBG	\$554,666	\$0	\$2,218,664	\$0	\$0
	Federal - TAP/F	\$753,413	\$1,614,859	\$1,288,507	\$110,284	\$0
CNTOTAL		\$5,915,013	\$3,114,610	\$11,847,838	\$7,073,294	\$1,624,308
CNAC	Federal - AC OTHER	\$0	\$7,090,893	\$15,837,228	\$11,036,098	\$0

GROUPII	NG	Maintenance: Preve	sintenance : Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation						
PROGRA	M NOTE	Funding identified to	nding identified to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.						
ROUTE/S	STREET		TOTAL COST \$134,737,9°						
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
CN	Federal - NH	HFP	\$0	\$115,430	\$115,430	\$115,430	\$115,430		
	Federal - NHS/NHPP		\$0	\$2,258,586	\$2,258,586	\$2,258,586	\$2,258,586		
	Federal - STP/STBG		\$0	\$31,116,665	\$31,244,409	\$31,374,325	\$31,506,450		
CNTOTAL	L		\$0	\$33,490,681	\$33,618,425	\$33,748,341	\$33,880,466		

GROUPING	;	Maintenance : Preve	entive Maintenance f	or Bridges			
PROGRAM I	NOTE	Funding identified to	o be obligated distric	twide as projects are	e identified.		
ROUTE/STR	REET					TOTAL COST	\$93,219,475
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
CN	Federal - BR		\$0	\$354,545	\$50,000	\$1,237,719	\$0
	Federal - Ch	MAQ	\$0	\$0	\$4,195,000	\$0	\$0
	Federal - HSIP		\$0	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NH	HFP	\$0	\$751,472	\$751,472	\$751,472	\$751,472
	Federal - NH	HPP/E	\$0	\$176,284	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Federal - NH	HS/NHPP	\$0	\$2,543,612	\$6,728,208	\$4,037,999	\$2,102,357
	Federal - STI	P/STBG	\$0	\$6,926,117	\$6,953,560	\$6,981,469	\$7,009,853
CNTOTAL	CNTOTAL		\$0	\$13,752,030	\$18,678,240	\$13,008,659	\$9,863,682
CNAC	Federal - AC	OTHER	\$0	\$7,896,875	\$16,803,314	\$13,216,675	\$C

GROUPING	3	Maintenance : Traffi	intenance : Traffic and Safety Operations							
PROGRAM	NOTE	Funding identified to	ed to be obligated districtwide as projects are identified.							
ROUTE/ST	REET		TOTAL COST \$32,							
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27			
CN	Federal - Ci	MAQ	\$0	\$0	\$4,195,000	\$0	\$0			
	Federal - HSIP		\$0	\$3,000,000	\$C	\$0	\$0			
	Federal - ST	P/STBG	\$0	\$6,405,707	\$6,432,005	\$6,458,750	\$6,485,949			
CN TOTAL \$0 \$9,405,707 \$10,627,005 \$6,458,750						\$6,485,949				

GROUPING	,	Transit : Access	ransit : Access						
ROUTE/STR	REET	TOTAL COST \$26,800							
	FUND SOU	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27		
PE	Federal - N	HS/NHPP	\$407,236	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,628,943		

GROUPING	;	Transit : Ridesharing	ransit: Ridesharing						
ROUTE/STR	REET		TOTAL COST						
	FUND SOU	RCE	CE MATCH FY24 FY25			FY26	FY27		
\$0 \$0 \$0					\$0				

GROUPING		Transit: Vehicles					
ROUTE/STR	EET					TOTAL COST	\$3,355,697
	fund sou	RCE	MATCH	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Illustrative Projects

Introduction

Under federal law, the TIP must be fiscally constrained, meaning the projects that are listed must have identified funding sources. Localities and transit agencies continue to plan for and pursue funding for other high priority projects. The Illustrative Projects List in this section provides information on priority projects from ConnectRVA 2045, the long-range transportation plan, that the RRTPO has endorsed for federal discretionary funding. Projects on this list are supported by the RRTPO for any federal discretionary grants and earmark funding, and the RRTPO will amend the TIP to add these projects to the constrained TIP if funding is awarded.

FY24 - FY27 TIP

61

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE	Bridge, New Construction				
SYSTEM	Urban	JURISDICTION	Ashland	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Vaughan Road Ove	Vaughan Road Overpass			TBD		
DESCRIPTION	From: Henry Street	To: Park Street		•			
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FRA-6		
ROUTE/STREET	Vaughan Road			ESTIMATE	\$ 41,152,852		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond				
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Interstate	Interstate JURISDICTION Chesterfield OVERSIGHT				
PROJECT	I-95/Route 10 Pha	I-95/Route 10 Phase II Interchange Improvements			TBD	
DESCRIPTION						
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-67	
ROUTE/STREET	I-95 at VA-10			ESTIMATE	\$ 47,329,424	

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Interstate	nterstate JURISDICTION Chesterfield OVERSIGHT					
PROJECT	I-95/Willis Road In	I-95/Willis Road Interchange Improvement			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-72		
ROUTE/STREET	I-95 at Willis Road	I-95 at Willis Road ESTIMATE \$123,427,775					

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield OVERSIGHT				
PROJECT	Route 150/Route	Route 150/Route 360 Interchange and Multimodal Improvements			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-153		
ROUTE/STREET	Chippenham Par	kway (VA-150) at U	S-360	ESTIMATE	\$ 45,000,000		

МРО	Richmond						
UPC NO		SCOPE Roadway, New Construction					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Powhite Parkway Extension, Phase II			ADMIN BY	TBD		
DESCRIPTION	From: Woolridge	Road To: US-360					
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-904 FHW-905		
ROUTE/STREET	Powhite Parkway	(VA-76)		ESTIMATE	\$ 500,000,000		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Chesterfield OVERSIGHT				
PROJECT	Route 150/Route	Route 150/Route 60 Interchange and Multi-modal Improvements			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Illustrative Project Only			FHW-154		
ROUTE/STREET	Chippenham Par	Chippenham Parkway (VA-150) at US-60			\$ 24,444,160		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE	Roadway, New Construction				
SYSTEM	Interstate	JURISDICTION	Henrico	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Short Pump Area Improvements - N. Gayton Rd Interchange			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Ilustrative Project Only			FHW-32		
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 at N. Gayton	Rd.		ESTIMATE	\$ 96,000,000		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/o Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Henrico	OVERSIGHT			
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Short Pump Area Improvements - W. Broad St. Interchange			TBD		
DESCRIPTION							
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project	Only	LRTP ID	FHW-55			
ROUTE/STREET	I-64 at W. Broad	St. (US-250)		ESTIMATE	\$ 118,000,000		

МРО	Richmond	Richmond					
UPC NO		SCOPE Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity					
SYSTEM	Interstate	Interstate JURISDICTION Henrico OVERSIGHT					
PROJECT	Short Pump Area	Short Pump Area Improvements - I-295 Improvements			TBD		
DESCRIPTION	From: I-64 To: N	uckols Rd					
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project Only LRTP ID				FHW-34		
ROUTE/STREET	I-295			ESTIMATE	\$ 19,000,000		

МРО	Richmond				
UPC NO	SCOPE Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT	Short Pump Area Improvements - 288 SB Auxiliary Lane			ADMIN BY	TBD
DESCRIPTION	From: I-64 To: Tuckahoe Creek Parkway				
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project Only			LRTP ID	FHW-159
ROUTE/STREET	VA-288			ESTIMATE	\$ 29,000,000

МРО	Richmond				
UPC NO	SCOPE Reconstruction w/ Added Capacity				
SYSTEM	Primary	JURISDICTION	Goochland	OVERSIGHT	
PROJECT	Short Pump Area Improvements - VA-288/W. Broad St. Interchange Improvements & 288 NB Auxiliary Lane		ADMIN BY	TBD	
DESCRIPTION					
PROGRAM NOTE	Illustrative Project Only		LRTP ID	FHW-163	
ROUTE/STREET	VA-288 at W. Broad St. (US-250)		ESTIMATE	\$ 22,000,000	

Modifications

Introduction

The TIP is an ever-evolving list of transportation priorities. It changes on a regular basis to include new projects or project phases. A TIP modification is any change that is made between full updates of the TIP. There are three types of TIP modifications: (1) amendments, (2) administrative modifications, and (3) technical corrections. RRTPO coordinates modifications with VDOT and DRPT to ensure consistency between the TIP and the STIP. All completed modifications are listed on the impacted project page and in a separate Amendments and Adjustments document on the RRTPO website for easy reference.

Amendments

TIP amendments are the most significant type of TIP modification. An amendment involves a major change to a project. The list below provides examples of TIP amendments:

- Adding or removing a project or phase
- Changing the start date significantly for the project or phase
- Revising the design concept or scope in a significant way such as changing the project limits, the number of lanes in a highway project, or the number of stations for a transit project

- Modifying the TIP in any way that triggers an updated air quality conformity analysis
- Increasing project or phase cost. Details about the sliding scale used to determine if a cost increase is significant can be found in the STIP procedures manual here.

All amendments are made available for public review and comment consistent with the <u>Public Engagement Plan</u>. Amendments require an updated demonstration of fiscal constraint and, if the project is not exempt, a determination of air quality conformity. Amendments are incorporated into the STIP and are subject to Federal approval.

Amendments are reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and approved by the Policy Board.

Administrative Modifications

Administrative modifications, also known as adjustments, are minor changes to the TIP. Examples of minor changes include:

- Changing the start date for the project or phase by a small amount
- Revising the design concept or scope in a limited way
- Increasing project or phase cost below the sliding scale threshold for an amendment

- Revising the funding source such as updating the type of federal funding or replacing non-Federal with Federal funding
- Splitting or combining projects already included in the TIP without changes to cost, scope, or schedule
- Updating the project or phase name
- Switching the lead agency administering the project

Administrative modifications do not undergo public review, a demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a determination of air quality conformity. These modifications do not require Federal approval.

Administrative modifications are processed by RRTPO staff with the agreement of the affected locality or agency and submitted to VDOT or DRPT as needed.

Technical Corrections

The last type of modification is the technical correction. These corrections include typographical, grammatical, or syntactical errors that address, for example, an error in spelling, grammar, deletion of a redundant word or formatting that was inadvertently published. It does not include changes to funding amounts. Technical corrections do not require Federal approval.

Performance Targets

Introduction

Federal law establishes performance measures to ensure states and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) are investing in projects that contribute towards national goals. The USDOT has published rules for states and MPOs to collect data and establish performance targets that will support performance-based investment decisions. The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) must include a description of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the performance targets identified by the MPO. The TIP must also demonstrate the link between investment priorities in the TIP and achievement of performance targets in the plans. A summary of each set of performance targets and the relation to investment decisions is included below.

Roadway Safety

This measure focuses on reducing fatal and severe injuries for all users, including both motorized and non-motorized modes of travel. Fatalities and injuries are measured in both total number and rate per 100 million vehicle miles travelled (VMT). A separate target is also set for non-motorized modes such as biking and walking to reflect the importance of protecting more vulnerable road users. The safety target is reviewed annually. The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for 2023.

Table 1: Roadway Safety Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Fatalities	103
Fatalities per 100M VMT	0.986
Serious Injuries	886
Serious Injuries per 100M VMT	8.462
Non-Motorized Fatalities & Serious Injuries	113

To achieve the targets for fatalities and injuries across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce crashes resulting in fatalities or severe injuries. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on safety in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 2: Safety Weighting in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	25%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	25%
VHSIP (state)	100%
Smart Scale (state)	20%
CVTA regional (CVTA)	38.5%

In the FY24 – FY27 TIP, \$40,213,747 in Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding is dedicated to safety improvements throughout the region.

Beyond dedicated safety funds, the Safety/ITS/ Operational Improvements project group has \$274,712,462 dedicated to improvements which enhance safety and operations on existing roadways.

To improve the safety of more vulnerable non-motorized road users, \$82,373,060 is programmed toward active transportation improvements in the Transportation Alternatives/Byway/Non-Traditional project group. These investments are expected to provide safer connections for non-motorized road users, reducing fatalities and serious injuries.

Pavement Condition

This measure focuses on the condition of pavements along interstates and non-interstates that form the National Highway System (NHS). In planning and programming federal funds, MPOs are required to emphasize preservation of the existing transportation system. The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for pavement conditions for the next four years.

Table 3: Pavement Condition Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Target Description	rarget
Interstate Pavement in Good Condition	45%
Interstate Pavement in Poor Condition	3%
Non-Interstate Pavement in Good Condition	25%
Non-Interstate Pavement in Poor Condition	5%

Highways maintenance is primarily the responsibility of the Virginia Department of Transportation, particularly in the case of the interstates and state primary highways that form the NHS. Some localities including the Town of Ashland, Henrico County, and the City of Richmond own and maintain their own roadways to varying extents.

To ensure pavements throughout the state are maintained in good condition, funding for maintenance is set aside before distribution to the various competitive programs. Additionally, the State of Good Repair program makes funding for reconstruction and rehabilitation available for interstate and primary routes through a prioritization process.

In the FY24 – FY27 TIP, \$134,737,913 in federal funds are programmed for Preventive Maintenance and System Preservation. These funds are expected to help meet the pavement condition targets and represent approximately 22% of the total federal funding programmed in the TIP.

Bridge Condition

This measure is like the pavement condition target but focused on the condition of the deck or surface of bridges on the National Highway System (NHS) that are included in the National Bridge Inventory (NBI). The RRTPO has adopted the following targets for bridge deck condition for the next four years:

Table 4: Bridge Condition Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Deck Area of Bridges in Good Condition	25.1%
Deck Area of Bridges in Poor Condition	3.6%

69

The primary funding source for bridge rehabilitation is the State of Good Repair program. This program provides funding for structurally deficient bridges (bridges in poor condition). Other funding programs such as RSTP or the Central Virginia Transportation Authority (CVTA) regional program have also provided funding for bridge projects.

Bridge funding generally falls under either preventive maintenance, including deck overlays and inspections, or bridge rehabilitation, replacement, or reconstruction project groups. These two funds program \$227,957,388 to support these bridge deck condition targets.

Roadway Performance

This measure focuses on the consistency or dependability in the travel time of a trip weighted by the number of people travelling along a corridor. This measure looks at the longest 20% of travel times along the corridor relative to the median travel time. If travel time is at least 50% longer than normal during the worst periods, the segment is deemed unreliable. Each road segment is weighted by length, traffic volume, and vehicle occupancy to calculate the percentage of person-miles that are reliable. RRTPO has adopted the following targets for the next four years.

Table 5: Reliability Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Person-Miles Travelled that are Reliable (Interstate)	85%

Person-Miles Travelled that are Reliable	88%
(Non-Interstate)	00/0

To achieve the targets for reliability across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce congestion and improve reliability. While congestion is not the same as reliability, the two measures are closely related. Congested roads are more likely to experience delays due to unexpected events (such as crashes or lane closures) and reducing non-recurrent congestion improves reliability. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on congestion or reliability in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 6: Reliability and Congestion Weighting in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	15%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	15%
Smart Scale (state)	15%
CVTA regional (CVTA)	23%

Improvements to congestion bottlenecks and unreliable segments of the NHS are expected to improve regional performance toward these measures. Identified projects based on the RRTPO's Congestion Management Process (CMP) include:

- UPC 102952 RTE 10 (I-95 Rt 1) WIDENING
- UPC 120374 #I64CIP I-64WB EXIT 181
 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE CONFIGURATION

- Grouped projects (Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements):
 - UPC 101034 #HB2.FY17 RTE 6 PATTERSON AVE AT PARHAM RD INTERSECTION
 - UPC 112051 I-195 SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS
 - UPC 115412 #SMART20 RCUT Route 60 and Woolridge\Old Buckingham

Freight Movement

This measure is like roadway performance but focuses on the reliability of travel for trucks on the interstates. This measure looks at the median travel time and compares it with the longest five percent (5%) of travel times for the same corridor. A lower Target (closer to one) indicates more reliable travel. The RRTPO has adopted the following target for the next four years.

Table 7: Truck Reliability Performance Target

ſ	Target Description	Target
	Truck Travel Time Reliability Index	<1.56

To achieve the targets for truck travel time reliability across the region, funding is prioritized in both state and regionally administered programs for projects that are expected to reduce congestion and improve reliability, with a focus on truck movement. As noted under roadway performance, congestion and reliability are closely connected and improvements to congestion often improve reliability. Some of the major funding programs with a focus on congestion or

reliability for truck travel in evaluating applications are summarized below.

Table 8: Freight Reliability in Funding

Funding Program	Weight
RSTP (RRTPO)	3.75%
CMAQ (RRTPO)	3.75%
Smart Scale (state)	4%

Improvements to unreliable freight segments of the interstate are expected to improve regional performance toward these measures. Identified projects based on the RRTPO's Congestion Management Process (CMP) include:

- UPC 107458 #HB2.FY17 RTE 64 MAJOR WIDENING
- Grouped Projects (Construction: Safety/ITS/Operational Improvements)
 - UPC 109320 #HB2.FY17 SB I95 Belvidere
 St Interchange Safety Improvement
 - UPC 109321 #HB2.FY17 RTE 95 IMPROVE INTERCHANGE AT MAURY ST
 - UPC 109322 #HB2.FY17 RTE 95 -IMPROVE INTERCHANGE AT RTE 10

Transit Asset Management

This measure focuses on the condition of vehicles and facilities owned and operated by public transportation service providers. All rail operators and fixed and non-fixed route providers with more than 100 vehicles (Tier 1 agencies) set their own performance targets and

develop a Transit Asset Management (TAM) plan. Smaller, non-rail providers (Tier 2 agencies) can choose to follow a group asset management plan developed by the state rather than developing their own targets. The Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) is a Tier 1 agency.

GRTC has adopted policies for asset management for revenue vehicles, non-revenue vehicles, and facility conditions in the <u>Transit Asset Management Plan</u>. The targets for vehicles are set as a percentage of vehicles at or beyond their <u>useful life benchmarks (ULB)</u>, an FTA developed measure of expected useful life of a vehicle. Facilities are assessed based on the percentage classified as marginal or poor (less than 3 on the 5-point TERM scale). A summary of the targets by asset class for 2023 is included below.

Table 9: GRTC TAM Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Rolling Stock	
AO - Automobile	35%
BU – Bus	20%
BR – Over the Road Bus	20%
CU - Cutaway	35%
Equipment	
Automobiles	100%
Trucks & Other Rubber Tired Vehicles	72%
Facilities	
Administrative/Maintenance Facilities	33%
Passenger/Parking Facilities	10%

In addition to GRTC, the eastern portions of the region are served by Bay Transit, a nonprofit community transit service. Bay Transit is a Tier 2 provider which has opted into the state-sponsored group plan published October 1, 2022. The performance targets for 2023 are summarized below.

Table 10: DRPT Tier 2 TAM Performance Targets

Target Description	Target
Rolling Stock	
AB – Articulated Bus	5%
BU – Bus	15%
BR – Over the Road Bus	15%
CU - Cutaway	10%
MV – Minivan	20%
VN - Van	20%
Equipment	
Automobiles	30%
Trucks & Other Rubber Tired Vehicles	30%
Facilities	
Administrative Facilities	10%
Maintenance Facilities	10%
Passenger Facilities	15%
Parking Facilities	10%

In allocating funds, DRPT prioritizes State capital assistance provided to transit agencies via the <u>MERIT Capital Assistance Program</u>. The MERIT program is designed to favor projects that:

- Achieve the statewide policy objective of maintaining a state of good repair of existing assets and,
- Have the greatest impact on the provision of public transportation services throughout the state.

To achieve these targets, the region is investing significantly in the replacement of rolling stock. In total, the TIP programs \$44,598,150 for maintaining GRTCs fleet in a state of good repair.

Additionally, just over \$1 million is planned to be invested in support vehicles and \$575,000 is programmed to renovations of administrative and maintenance facilities. These investments will help the region to meet the designated targets for asset management.

Transit Safety

This measure focuses on the safety of public transportation, specifically fatalities, injuries, safety events, and the frequency of these incidents in terms of both of revenue miles and distance. All rail operators and fixed and non-fixed route providers with more than 100 vehicles (Tier 1 agencies) set their own performance targets and develop a Transit Asset Management (TAM) plan. Smaller, non-rail providers (Tier 2 agencies) can choose to follow a group asset management plan developed by the state rather than developing their own targets. The Greater Richmond Transit Company (GRTC) is a Tier 1 agency.

GRTC has developed policies and targets for reducing fatalities, injuries and safety events which were originally adopted on July 21, 2020. The targets for 2023 are summarized below.

Table 11: GRTC Safety Targets

Target Description	Target
Fixed Route	
Fatalities (total reportable)	0
Fatalities (per revenue mile)	0
Injuries (total reportable)	108
Injuries (per revenue mile)	0.13
Safety Events (total)	144
Safety Events (per revenue mile)	0.22
Distance between Major Failures	9,500 mi.
Distance between Minor Failures	3,200 mi.
Paratransit/Demand Response	
Fatalities (total reportable)	0
Fatalities (per revenue mile)	0
Injuries (total reportable)	60
Injuries (per revenue mile)	0.05
Safety Events (total)	96
Safety Events (per revenue mile)	0.15
Distance between Major Failures	15,500 mi.
Distance between Minor Failures	5,200 mi.

To achieve these safety targets, the region has prioritized investments in preventive maintenance for GRTC rolling stock. Total spending on preventive maintenance exceeds \$33 million for the four years covered by the TIP.

The TIP also programs \$600,000 in additional security equipment for the GRTC system. This equipment will help to reduce injuries and safety events on the system.

Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality

As an attainment area under the 2015 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), RRTPO is not required to adopt the CMAQ standards.

Conformity Assessment

Introduction

The Richmond-Petersburg Area was previously designated a nonattainment area under the 1997 ozone standard and later a maintenance area before being designated an attainment area under the 2008 ozone standard. The area is currently in attainment for all standards including the 2015 ozone standard.

In February 2018, the D.C. Circuit Court issued a decision in South Coast Air Quality Management District v. EPA which impacted areas like the Richmond-Petersburg Area that had been designated nonattainment and/or maintenance under the 1997 ozone standard but designated attainment under the 2008 ozone standard. One of those impacts is that new, updated, or amended Transportation Improvement Program and Long-Range Transportation Plan must

demonstrate conformity in keeping with the antibacksliding requirements associated with the revocation of the 1997 ozone standard.

A copy of the Richmond Regional Conformity
Assessment report which includes the FY 2024-2027
Transportation Improvement Program and 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan (as well as the Tri-cities area plans) for the 1997 ozone standard can be found on the RRTPO website. The conformity analysis was prepared in accordance with EPA's November 24, 1993, Final Rule on Criteria and Procedures for Determining Conformity and subsequent rulemakings related to ozone. The RRTPO resolution approving the conformity analysis is attached as an informational item.



POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23; ITEM A-6-c.

Regional Conformity Assessment

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by John H. Hodges, of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) adopts the Richmond and Tri-Cities FY2024 - FY2027 Transportation Improvement Program and 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Regional Conformity Assessment as presented.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 78, 2023.

WITNESS: BY:

Janice Firestone Program Manager

anice Firestone

PlanRVA

Chet Parsons Secretary

Richmond Regional Transportation

Planning Organization

Self-Certification



POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23; ITEM B-2. MPO Self-Certification

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

On motion by Kevin P. Carroll, seconded by Cynthia I. Newbille, the members of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution:

Resolved, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization hereby certifies that in accordance with 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 450 Section 336 Self-certification and Federal certifications, the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

- 1. 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and 23 CFR 450.336;
- Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d)) and 40 CFR part 93;
- 3. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21;
- 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- 5. Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act (Pub. L. 114-357) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in DOT funded projects;
- 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
- 7. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38;
- 8. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance:
- 9. Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
- 10. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 18, 2023.

Richmond Regional Transportation

	Planning Organization
Outfarm	John L Lumpkins Jr John L Lumpkins Jr (May 26, 2023 13:08 EDT)
Chet Parsons RRTPO Secretary	John L. Lumpkins, RRTPO Chair
5/22/23	5/26/23
Date:	Date
Attest:	Virginia Department of Transportation
Mark Riblett	
Mark Riblett (May 26, 2023 11:41 EDT)	Dale R. Totten Dale R. Totten (May 26, 2023 12:37 EDT)
Mark Riblett Assistant Richmond District Engineer	Dale Totten Richmond District Engineer
-	-
5/26/23	5/26/23
Date:	Date

FY24 - FY27 TIP 77

Attest:

Resolution of Approval



POLICY BOARD AGENDA 5/18/23

FY24 - FY27 Transportation Improvement Program

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization

On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by Cynthia I. Newbille, the members of the RRTPO Policy Board voted to approve the following resolution.

Resolved, that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) adopts the FY24 – FY27 Transportation Improvement Program as presented.

This is to certify that the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization Policy Board approved the above resolution at its meeting held May 18, 2023.

WITNESS: BY:

Jamice Firestone Program Manager

PlanRVA

Chet Parsons Secretary

Richmond Regional Transportation

Planning Organization

Public Engagement and Comments

Introduction

The Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) is committed to providing opportunities for the public to learn about and participate in the development of regional transportation plans. Regarding the development of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), federal regulations stipulate that the TIP development process must include opportunities for public involvement (23 CFR 450.324(b)), as outlined in the RRTPO's Public Engagement Plan (23 CFR 450.316(a)).

TIP Development Process

The RRTPO's Public Engagement Plan was adopted in March 2020 guide outreach and engagement efforts as part of the development of the FY24 – FY27 TIP. Major milestones in the development of the TIP include:

- Develop draft project schedule and public outreach strategy. (Summer 2022)
- 2. Present project schedule to Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). (August 2022)
- 3. Develop TIP website for public review and increased transparency. (Fall 2022)
- 4. Develop draft TIP document in coordination with VDOT, DRPT, and member agencies. (Winter 2022 2023)
- 5. Approve project list for conformity. (March 2023)
- 6. Open public review of draft TIP. (March 2023)

- 7. Review public comments and respond. (April 2023)
- 8. Adopt FY24 FY27 TIP. (May 2023)

Outreach Efforts and Opportunities

In developing the TIP, the RRTPO aims for both broad and targeted outreach. The Public Engagement Plan requires the TPO to develop a project specific engagement strategy which employs best practices as identified in the public engagement toolkit. For this TIP, the TPO settled on four major forms of engagement:

- Public Meetings
- Mailing/Email Lists
- Open Houses, and
- A new TIP website

Major decisions and announcements are always handled by the TPO in public meetings, making this tool a natural fit for updates and seeking public comment on the TIP. All meetings of the RRTPO and its various committees are livestreamed online, available for later viewing in a video archive, and allow for public comment both in person and virtually. Major presentations or actions by the RRTPO policy board and technical advisory committee include schedule updates (2 meetings), project review and approval (2 meetings), and adoption of the TIP (2 meetings).

The RRTPO also maintains a mailing list of interested parties which is used to provide opportunities for input on major planning efforts. This list was used as part of the TIP development to notify the public of upcoming board meetings and comment opportunities. In total 209 people were contacted through this list and invited to participate in the planning process. Both the RRTPO website and the TIP website offered public opportunities to subscribe to RRTPO updates.

The third approach to engagement used in developing the TIP was open houses during the public comment period. RRTPO staff hosted one (1) open house which was advertised in the Richmond Times Dispatch and the Richmond Free Press in the two weeks leading up to the event. Additionally, the public comment period and open house was promoted on social media platforms including LinkedIn, Facebook, and Twitter.

Finally, the RRTPO took a web-first approach to developing the TIP with project information and mapping available at a new website:

https://www.rrtpotip.org. This interactive page allowed anyone interested in the TIP to view project information, search by map or project information, and provide comments in general and directly on projects. A total of 809 unique visitors used the site during the 30-day review period. Three (3) comments were submitted requesting to be added to the interested parties list, but no substantive comments were received which required a response or changes to the plan.

Opportunities to comment on the TIP are not limited to the TIP update process. The TIP is regularly revised to accommodate projects development schedules. The RRTPO welcomes input on specific projects contained in the TIP and the format of the TIP on an on-going basis. The TIP website will be used to provide notice of any amendments during the life of the TIP and will serve as a key component of continuous public engagement.

Public Comments and Responses

No comments requiring a response were submitted during the public review period.