



Photo: Chesterfield County



NOTES

This meeting is open to the public. Members of the public are invited to attend virtually. Please alert the RRTPO at RRTPO@PlanRVA.org if electronic transmission of this meeting fails for the public. Please refer to our [Statement Regarding Virtual Meeting Participation by Members of the Public](#) for more information.

Check out our complete [Public Meeting Participation Guide](#) online to learn about the different ways you can stay connected and involved.

Meetings are also live streamed and archived on our YouTube Channel at [Plan RVA - YouTube](#).

Members of the public are invited to submit public comments either verbally or in writing. Written comments can be submitted through the Q&A/Chat function on Zoom by email to RRTPO@PlanRVA.org. Written comments will be read aloud or summarized during the meeting when possible and will be included in the meeting minutes. Verbal comments will be taken during the Public Comment Period on the agenda.

Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RRTPO) LongRange Transportation Plan (LRTP) Advisory WorkGroup

Powered By:



PlanRVA is where the region comes together to look ahead. Established in 1969, PlanRVA promotes cooperation across the region's nine localities and supports programs and organizations like the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization, Central Virginia Transportation Authority, the Emergency Management Alliance of Central Virginia, Lower Chickahominy Watershed Collaborative and Don't Trash Central Virginia.

AGENDA

RICHMOND REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN ADVISORY WORKGROUP

Wednesday, January 28, 2026, 10 a.m.

Zoom Meeting Registration: https://planrva-org.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_5Yyp6gCXrd-0p1z6mQcY3g

- 1. Attendance**
(Dorian Allen /10 minutes)
- 2. December 3, 2025 LRTP-AWG Meeting Summary**
(Dorian Allen /5 Minutes)
- 3. Public Comment Period**
(Dorian Allen /5 Minutes)
- 4. LRTP Progress Update**
(Dorian Allen /10 minutes)
- 5. LRTP Phase 5: Universe of Projects – Update**
(Dorian Allen /15 minutes)
- 6. LRTP Phase 6: Project Prioritization Methodology - Update**
(Dorian Allen /10 minutes)
- 7. Next Meeting**
*Feb. 25 at 10: a.m. via Zoom
(Dorian Allen /5 Minutes)*

**RICHMOND REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION
LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN ADVISORY WORKGROUP**

**Wednesday, December 3, 2025 - 10:00 a.m.
Virtual Meeting via Zoom**

Meeting Summary

Members and Alternates Present: (17) Present

Affiliation	Name	12/3/2025
Town of Ashland	Bannon Luckert	X
	Nora Amos (A)	
Charles City County	Sheri Adams	
Chesterfield County	JJ Banuelos	X
	Barb Smith (A)	
Goochland County	Patrick Longerbeam	X
	Ray Cash	
Hanover County	Philip Kempf	X
	Joseph Vidunas (A)	
Henrico County	Ashley Austin	X
	Sharon Smidler (A)	
New Kent County	Amy Inman	X
Powhatan County	Ligon Webb	X
City of Richmond	Dironna Moore Clarke	
	Kelli Rowan (A)	
	Atiba Muse (A)	
	Brandon King (A)	X
Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT)	Todd Scheid	X
	Liz McAdory (A)	
Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT)	Mitch Huber	X
	Wood Hudson	X
Port of Virginia	Thomas Cross	
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)	Ivan Rucker	X
	Guy Roach	X
GRTC Transit System	Frank Adarkwa	
	John O'Keeffe	X
Tri-Cities Area MPO (TCAMPO)	Zakari Mumuni	X
	Landon Bridges (A)	
Central Virginia Transportation Authority (CVTA)	Chet Parsons	
Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU)	John Leonard	
RRTPO Community Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC) – Chair	Andrew Bunn	
Richmond Cycling Corp	Emily Monroe	
RVA Rapid Transit	Faith Walker	

	Stephanie Power (A)	
Southern Environmental Law Center	Trip Pollard	X
Youth Representative	Diana Hall	
	Marisa Perez (A)	
Partnership for Housing Affordability	Woody Rogers	X

1. Attendance

Deputy LRTP Project Manager Dorian Allen welcomed everyone and asked that all Advisory Work Group Members record their respective names and affiliations in the Zoom chat to record attendance.

2. October 22, 2025, LRTP-AWG Meeting Summary

The eighth LRTP Advisory Workgroup meeting was held on October 22, 2025. Dorian informed the group that the summary of the meeting was included within the agenda packet.

There were no amendments to the meeting summary.

3. Public Comment Period

There were no requests to offer public comment.

4. LRTP Progress Update

Dorian presented a review of the condensed LRTP process flow with an overview and timeline for past and upcoming tasks.

The Advisory Workgroup did not have any comments or questions on this item.

5. LRTP Phase 5: Universe of Projects – Update

Dorian presented information about the Universe of Projects phase of the LRTP, in which the issues and needs are converted into different categories of projects.

Dorian stated that a draft of the Universe of Projects had been distributed to the AWG prior to the meeting. He stated that he had received some feedback from AWG members. Based on this feedback, he presented the proposed revisions and additions.

Dorian next showed the Universe of Projects categorized by Project Type, and the number and percent of projects of each Type, as well as the associated costs. He stated that in total there are 201 projects.

Dorian stated that AWG approval action for this Universe of Projects is requested at this meeting. He noted next steps will include project scoring (December/January), the formal public review period (January), and Policy Board approval would follow, planned for February 2026.

Mitch Huber stated the rail team will be meeting with the Federal Railroad Administration and he will forward any projects that they have on the horizon.

Myles Busching and Mitch Huber discussed project funding sources and how this would impact how the project may or may not be included in the list and the overall approach in the LRTP.

Ashley Austin asked if Henrico would have the ability to add a project later in the process.

Myles Busching answered that due to the need to move into project scoring, there is a cap on the timeline of adding projects. He clarified why the situation was different for the rail projects previously discussed.

Ashley Austin stated that there was one transit project Henrico would like to add, which is a Willow Lawn transfer facility, likely at a similar price to another already on the list.

Trip Pollard noted the modal split of the projects in the Universe of Projects, and asked for clarification that the approval today is just to move forward with scoring/analysis, not endorsement of the projects.

Dorian and Myles confirmed.

JJ Banuelos asked whether certain projects that had been the subject of recent coordination were included in the list.

Dorian confirmed the items listed on the Revisions/Additions slide.

Patrick Longerbeam asked about a project he had emailed about for Rockville Road.

Dorian reviewed the list and stated that it might not be included if it did not meet project inclusion guidelines.

Myles stated that it could be added to the list, noted as subject to review.

Todd Scheid stated that there were some projects VDOT had submitted that were not included in the list. He asked if they could have information about the ones that were not included.

Dorian stated this could be provided.

Dorian asked for approval, subject to review of the additional projects just discussed.

The Advisory Workgroup did not have any further comments, questions or concerns, and thereby approved the Universe of Projects.

6. LRTP Phase 6: Project Prioritization – Proposed Performance Measures (PMs)

Dorian presented information about the Project Prioritization process, which is the step of deciding the region's priorities for investment. He noted that project prioritization evaluates and ranks the potential solutions based on expected contribution toward goals. Dorian stated that for this iteration of the LRTP, there will be some new performance measures compared to the last LRTP.

Dorian discussed overall Performance Measure Goal weights by category, noting that the proposed weights were derived to align with Smart Scale weighting for this region.

Dorian next presented the PMs, grouped by Goal for Goals A (Safety) and B (Sustainability). For each PM, he talked through the calculation methodology that will be used to calculate the score for each project.

Dorian asked if the AWG had any comments on this set of PMs.

Mitch Huber asked what types of information was included in the Regional Heritage Index, for example, did it include data from Richmond's Cultural Heritage Plan.

Myles explained this Sustainability PM integrates the LRTP with other regional plans, in this instance the Natural Resource Plan. Myles described some of the environmental and cultural data layers that are included.

Trip Pollard and Guy Roach asked about the ability and timeline for the AWG to provide feedback on the PM scoring approaches that had just been presented.

Myles stated that a draft document is being developed that will provide these details and the AWG will have the opportunity to comment on the document.

Dorian presented the PMs for Goal C (Reliability). For each PM, he talked through the calculation methodology that will be used to calculate the score for each project.

The AWG did not have any questions or comments on this set of PMs.

Dorian presented the PMs for Goal D (Resiliency). For each PM, he talked through the calculation methodology that will be used to calculate the score for each project.

Dorian presented the draft Performance Measures Scorecard.

The AWG did not have any questions or comments on this set of PMs and Performance Scorecard.

Trip Pollard stated that he might have questions after reviewing the documentation of the material that was just presented.

Dorian stated that the documentation will be shared with the AWG for review and comment.

The Advisory Workgroup did not have any further comments or questions on this item.

7. LRTP Phase 6: Constrained Plan Development

Myles presented an overview of the constrained plan development. He stated that the LRTP is federally required to be fiscally constrained, which means that the project list includes only projects for which reasonably expected revenues are identified.

Myles presented a forecast of revenues between the years of 2026 out to 2050. He noted that the region would have about \$32 billion in projected revenue by 2050. He stated that certain categories will be funded as the first cut, such as operations and local projects. He stated that after this is taken into consideration the funding for regional

projects is the remainder. He noted that roughly a quarter of the total forecast revenue was available for regional projects in the 2045 plan.

For funding the regional projects, he stated that the proposed approach this time is to develop four investment options for AWG and public to review – Efficiency (benefit per dollar invested), Benefit (most impactful projects), Mode Shift (reflects adopted objectives), and Business-As-Usual (reflects existing trends and reflects cost-benefit funding processes).

The Advisory Workgroup did not have any comments or questions on this item.

Myles asked for any AWG ideas on how to approach the Mode Shift scenario.

Mitch Huber stated that there might be a couple different ways such as looking at increasing total lane miles of protected bicycle and pedestrian facilities or network connectivity metrics.

Myles stated that looking at the PMs will be a starting point for all scenarios, with the aspect that still needs to be determined being the approach used to differentiate this overall investment scenario from the others.

Trip Pollard wondered if this scenario would need to be run after the others in order to assess improvements in mode shift.

Myles thanked the AWG members for their input.

Myles talked about the next steps in the process, including the upcoming public outreach effort. Myles and Dorian discussed ways that the AWG can assist with engagement, such as sharing stakeholder information, event opportunities, and co-hosting meetings.

8. Next Meeting

Dorian noted that the next regular meeting date would fall on the last Wednesday in January (the 28th) and that he would follow up with a meeting invite soon.