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## Central Virginia Transportation Authority (CVTA)

$\#$ CVTA
Central VirginiaTransportation Authority

## NOTES

This meeting is open to the public. Members of the public are invited to attend in person or virtually. Please alert the CVTA at CVTA@PlanRVA.org if electronic transmission of this meeting fails for the public. Please refer to our Statement Regarding Virtual Meeting Participation by Members of the Public for more information.

Check out our complete Public
Participation Guide online to learn about the different ways you can staȳ connected and involved.

Meetings are also live streamed and archived on our YouTube Channel at Plan RVA - YouTube.

Members of the public are invited to submit public comments either verbally or in writing. Written comments can be submitted through the Q\&A/Chat function on Zoom by email to CVTA@PlanRVA.org. Written comments will be read aloud or summarized during the meeting when possible and will be included in the meeting minutes. Verbal comments will be taken during the Public Comment Period on the agenda. Please indicate by raising your hand (inperson participants, where applicable) or through the Q\&A/Chat functions on Zoom (virtual participants) if you would like to comment. When acknowledged by the Chairman, please clearly state your name so that it may be recorded in the meeting minutes.

Powered By:
 together to look ahead.

PlanRVA is where the region comes together to look ahead. Established in 1969, PlanRVA promotes cooperation across the region's nine localities and supports programs and organizations like the Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization, Central Virginia Transportation Authority, the Emergency Management Alliance of Central Virginia, Lower Chickahominy Watershed Collective and Don't Trash Central Virginia.

## AGENDA

# CENTRAL VIRGINIA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY 

Friday, December 1, 2023, 9:00 a.m.
PlanRVA James River Boardroom, 424 Hull Street, Suite 300, Richmond, VA 23224 and via Zoom

> If you wish to participate in this meeting virtually, please register via Zoom at the following link: https://planrva-org.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_WK-OuBg4SA-Pt7VaAiultA

## Call to Order (Stoney)

Pledge of Allegiance (Stoney)
Certification of a Quorum (Firestone)
Welcome and Introductions (Stoney)
A. ADMINISTRATION

1. Confirmation of Member Participation from a Remote Location (as needed) (Stoney)

Action requested (if there are member requests to participate remotely): motion to confirm that the Chair's decision to approve or disapprove the member(s) request to participate from a remote location was in conformance with the CVTA Policy for Remote Participation of Members; and, the voice of the remotely participating member(s) can be heard by all persons at the primary or central meeting location (voice vote).
2. Consideration of Amendments to the Agenda
(Stoney)
3. Approval of CVTA Meeting Minutes - September 29, 2023 - page 4
(Stoney)
Requested Action: motion to approve meeting minutes as presented (voice vote).
4. Open Public Comment Period
(Stoney/5 minutes)

## 5. CVTA Chairman's Report

(Stoney/5 minutes)
a. FY24 Authority Group Photograph

## B. ACTION ITEMS

1. Special Recognition
2. CVTA-Locality SPA Request (City of Richmond) - page 22
(Clarke/5 minutes)
Requested Action: motion to approve CVTA-Locality agreement for CVTA-0007 (Fall Line Trail - Bryan Park Segment) through enclosed SPA request (roll call vote).
3. CVTA Regional Projects Cycle - Status Update - page 28
(Parsons/10 minutes) - Review of current allocation plan, available funding, and candidate project database.
Requested Action: motion to recommend Finance Director's Working Group, including VDOT, be asked to advise Executive Director in planning for bonding capacity needs (voice vote).
4. Closed Session - CVTA Bond Counsel Solicitation - Update
(Parsons/Gregory/20 minutes)
Requested Action: motion to convene in closed session pursuant to Virginia Freedom of Information Act Section 2.2-3711 (A) (29), for the purpose of discussing the award of a public contract involving the expenditure of public fund and discussion of the terms or scope of such contract for special bond counsel for the CVTA, where discussion in an open session would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body, and which requires discussion and consultation with legal counsel, pursuant to Section 2.2-3711 (A) (8), during which all recording of the meeting will cease (voice vote).

## C. COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. CVTA Finance Committee Update
(75 minutes)
a. Finance Committee Chair's Report (Paige)
b. Financial Activities and Investment Reports (Parsons) - page 46
2. CVTA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Update (15 minutes)
a. TAC Chair's Report (Clarke)
D. OTHER BUSINESS
3. CVTA Executive Director's Report
(Parsons/10 minutes)
4. CVTA Member Comments

## E. ADJOURNMENT

e: CVTA@PlanRVA.org
p: 804.323.2033

# CENTRAL VIRGINIA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY MEETING MINUTES <br> Friday, October 27, 2023, 9:00 a.m. <br> PlanRVA James River Boardroom and via Zoom <br> 424 Hull Street, Suite 300, Richmond, VA 23224X 

## Members Present:

| Jurisdiction/ <br> Agency | Member | Present | Absent | Designee | Present | Absent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Town of Ashland | John H. Hodges, <br> Vice Chair | X |  | Daniel McGraw |  | X |
| Charles City County | Byron Adkins, Sr. | X |  | William Coada |  | X |
| Chesterfield <br> County | Kevin P. Carroll | X |  | Christopher <br> Winslow |  | X |
| Goochland County | Neil Spoonhower | X |  | Susan Lascolette |  | X |
| Hanover County | W. Canova <br> Peterson | X |  | Sean M. Davis |  | X |
| Henrico County | Frank J. Thornton | X |  | Patricia S. <br> O'Bannon |  | X |
| New Kent County | Patricia A. Paige |  | X | John Lockwood |  | $\times$ |
| Powhatan County | Michael W. Byerly |  | X | Steve McClung |  | X |
| City of Richmond | Mayor Levar M. <br> Stoney, Chair | X |  | Michael Jones |  | X |
| VA House of <br> Delegates | Delegate Roxann <br> Robinson | X |  | N/A |  |  |
| Senate of Virginia | Senator Ghazala F. <br> Hashmi | X |  | N/A |  |  |
| Commonwealth <br> Transportation <br> Board | J. Rex Davis | X |  | N/A |  |  |

## Non-Voting Ex-Officio

| Agency | Member | Present | Absent | Designee | Present | Absent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CRAC | Perry J. Miller | X |  | N/A |  | X |
| GRTC | Sheryl Adams | X |  | Adrienne Torres |  |  |
| RMTA | Joi Taylor Dean |  | X | N/A | X |  |
| VDRPT | Jennifer DeBruhl |  | X | Zach Trogden | X |  |
| VDOT | Stephen Brich |  | X | Dale Totten (A) | X |  |
| Virginia Port <br> Authority | Stephen A. <br> Edwards |  | X | Mark Riblett (A) |  | $\mathrm{Cathie} \mathrm{J} Vick$. |
|  |  |  | Barbara Nelson <br> (virtual) | X | X |  |

The technology used for the CVTA meeting was a web-hosted service created by Zoom and YouTube Live Streaming and was open and accessible for participation by members of the public. A recording of this meeting is available on our Plan RVA YouTube Channel.

## Call to Order

The Central Virginia Transportation Authority (CVTA) Chairman, Levar M. Stoney, presided and called the October 27, 2023, Central Virginia Transportation Authority meeting to order at 9:04 a.m.

## Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chair Stoney.

## Welcome and Introductions

Chair Stoney welcomed all attendees.

## A. ADMINISTRATION

1. Confirmation of Member Participation from a Remote Location

Chair Stoney reported that Barbara Nelson requested approval to participate remotely for the following reason: principal residence location more than 60 miles from the meeting location.

Chair Stoney announced his approval of the request to participate remotely.
On motion by Kevin Carroll, seconded by W. Canova Peterson, the Authority voted unanimously to approve the member's requests to participate from a remote location were in conformance with the CVTA Policy for Remote Participation of Members; and, the voice of the remotely participating member could be heard by all persons at the primary or central meeting location (voice vote).

The quorum was updated to show the presence of the remote member.

## 2. Consideration of Amendments to the Meeting Agenda

Chet Parsons, Executive Director, announced that an additional Standard Project Agreement (SPA) is available for the Authority to consider.

On motion by Kevin Carroll, seconded by W. Canova Peterson, the members of the Authority voted unanimously to amend the agenda to add Item B.-2.-d.-xi. Fall Line Design Build 1 (voice vote).

## 3. Approval of September 29, 2023, CVTA Meeting Minutes

On motion by Neil Spoonhower, seconded by Roxann Robinson, members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA meeting minutes as presented (voice vote; Byron Adkins abstained.)

## 4. Open Public Comment Period

There were no citizens present in-person or virtually wishing to offer public comments.

## 5. CVTA Chairman's Report

Chair Stoney reported on upcoming initiatives he would like to see the CVTA accomplish over the next year:

- Align CVTA projects to goals of the region through creation of a regional funding plan that will be available to the public.
- Incorporate PlanRVA's procurement policies into an official CVTA procurement policy that will emphasize inclusive purchasing.


## B. ACTION ITEMS

## 1. CVTA Audit Report -

Tyler Farnsworth, Audit Manager with PBMares, provided this report and offered to answer any questions.

On motion by Kevin Carroll, seconded by Neil Spoonhower, the members of the Authority voted to approve the FY 2023 audit report as presented (voice vote).

## 2. CVTA-VDOT SPA Revisions

Eric Gregory, legal counsel, described the changes to the model standard project agreement and summarized the requested actions on the agenda.
a. Standard Model Project Agreement for VDOT Administration of CVTA Funded Projects
On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by Neil Spoonhower, the members of the Authority voted to approve the new Standard Model Project Agreement for VDOT Administration of CVTA Funded Projects (voice vote).
b. Draft First Amendment to the VDOT CVTA MOA.

On motion by John Hodges, seconded by Frank Thornton, the members of the Authority voted to approve and authorize execution of the draft First Amendment to the VDOT CVTA MOA (voice vote).
c. Transfer project information approved by the CVTA at the September 29, 2023, conforming the agreements to the new SPA Model Project Agreement format On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by Neil Spoonhower, the members of the Authority voted to approve transferring the project information approved by the CVTA at the September 29, 2023, conforming the agreements to the new SPA Model Project Agreement format (voice vote).
d. Actions requested: approve and authorize the execution of each Special Project Agreement:
Mr. Parsons presented each SPA for the Authority members to consider.
i. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0016: I-64 Ashland Road Interchange
On motion by Neil Spoonhower, seconded by Kevin Carroll, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0016: I-64 Ashland Road Interchange with CVTA funding in the amount of \$33,699,829 (roll call vote; see Appendix A).
ii. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0017: I-64 Oilville Road Interchange
On motion by Neil Spoonhower, seconded by John Hodges, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0017: I-64 Oilville Road Interchange with CVTA funding in the amount of \$606,000 (roll call vote; see Appendix A).
iii. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0018: Bottoms Bridge Park and Ride
On motion by Byron Adkins, seconded by Neil Spoonhower, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0018: Bottoms Bridge Park and Ride with CVTA funding in the amount of \$198,047 (roll call vote; see Appendix A).
iv. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0020: Rte 288 NB Hard Shoulder Running
On motion by Kevin Carroll, seconded by Neil Spoonhower, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0020: Rte 288 NB Hard Shoulder Running with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 8,000,000$ (roll call vote; see Appendix A).
v. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0023: Mayo Bridge Replacement
On motion by Byron Adkins, seconded by Levar M. Stoney, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0023: Mayo Bridge Replacement with CVTA funding in the amount of \$5,000,000 (roll call vote; see Appendix A).
vi. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0024: Port of Virginia Richmond Marine Terminal Access Improvements at I-95/Bells Road On motion by Levar M. Stoney, seconded by Kevin Carroll, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0024: Port of Virginia Richmond Marine Terminal Access Improvements at I-95/Bells Road with CVTA funding in the amount of \$2,000,000 (roll call vote; see Appendix A).

## vii. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0026: Short Pump Area

 Transportation ImprovementsOn motion by Frank Thornton, seconded by John Hodges, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0026: Short Pump Area Transportation Improvements with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 4,985,000$.

Following the vote, it was noted that there was a discrepancy between the funding amount that was listed on the agenda and the actual, correct funding amount listed in the SPA document.

On motion by Kevin Carroll, seconded by John Hodges, the members of the Authority voted to reconsider the matter (voice vote).

It was clarified that the correct amount of funding is $\$ 1,800,000$.
On motion by Frank Thornton, seconded by Neil Spoonhower, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0026: Short Pump Area Transportation Improvements with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 1,800,000$ (roll call vote; see Appendix A).

## viii. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0033: W Broad Street Intersection Improvements

On motion by Frank Thornton, seconded by W. Canova Peterson, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0033: at Parham Road with CVTA funding in the amount of \$2,611,000.

Following the vote, it was noted that there was a discrepancy between the action that was listed on the agenda and the actual, correct action listed in the SPA document.

On motion by Kevin Carroll, seconded by Neil Spoonhower, the members of the Authority voted to reconsider the matter (voice vote).

The correct project is W. Broad Street Intersection Improvements in Short Pump and the correct amount of funding is $\$ 3,230,000$.

On motion by Frank Thornton, seconded by John Hodges the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0033: W Broad Street Intersection Improvements in Short Pump with CVTA funding in the amount of \$3,230,000 (roll call vote; see Appendix A).
ix. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0039: Staples Mill Road Improvements
On motion by Frank Thornton, seconded by Kevin Carroll, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0039: Staples Mill Road Improvements with CVTA funding in the amount of \$5,670,000 (roll call vote; see Appendix A).
x. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0045: I-64 Gap Widening
On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by Kevin Carroll, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0045: I-64 Gap Widening with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 100,000,000$ (roll call vote; see Appendix A).

Following the vote on the matter, Kevin Carroll noted the significance of this project reaching this point and the work and collaboration that went into it, particularly the efforts of Patricia Paige and Carlos Brown.
xi. CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for project 0002 the Fall Line Design Build 1 project (added to agenda)
On motion by W. Canova Peterson, seconded by Frank Thornton, the members of the Authority voted to approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0002: Fall Line Trail DB \#1 with CVTA funding in the amount of \$8,381,771 (roll call vote; see Appendix A).

## C. OTHER COMMITTEE REPORTS

1. CVTA Finance Committee Update
a. Finance Committee Chair's Report

Mr. Parsons reported that the Finance Committee did not meet in October. The next meeting is scheduled for November $8{ }^{\text {th }}$.
b. Financial Activity and Investment Reports

Mr. Parsons reviewed the financial activity and investment reports.

## 2. CVTA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Update

## a. TAC Chair's Report

i. Candidate Project Database

Dironna Moore Clarke, TAC Chair, came forward and provided an overview of the candidate project database.

She also reported on the Fall Line Trail and the status of Design Build 1. The working group is currently reviewing the wayfinding plan. TAC is collecting a "wish list" of projects for each locality to integrate into the candidate project database to show the planned/funded projects along with the wished for projects. This is intended to help guide the investment strategy going forward.

## A. OTHER BUSINESS

1. Executive Director's Report
a. Correspondence

Mr. Parsons reported on the letter of support to the FTA for GRTC and Richmond's pilot program for transit-oriented development planning - section 20005(b) funding opportunity and the letter of support to VDOT for the FHWA SMART planning grant.
b. Moody's Evaluation

Mr. Parsons reported that the evaluation is moving forward. The Finance Committee will be receiving a presentation on the evaluation on November $15^{\text {th }}$.
c. Round 3 Project Application Cycle
i. Projected available funding

Mr. Parsons gave an overview of the worksheet provided in the packet that demonstrates the total amount of funding through FY30 under the current pay-go investment model/amount of reserve.

The goal is to gain an understanding of the additional needs in the region that have not yet been applied for. That is the reason for the requested project list Ms. Clarke reported on.

Authority members had questions about what portion of the $\$ 183$ million on the worksheet would be available for leveraging. It was noted that this will be reviewed by the Finance Committee and reported on again at the next Authority meeting.

## ii. Screening and Scoring Update

There was no report given on this item.

## d. Transportation Forum - March 15

The tentative date is March $15^{\text {th }}$ and the hope is to hold the forum at Main Street Station and have it be a similar event as last year's forum.

## 2. CVTA Member Comments

Mr. Thornton commended and thanked each of the Authority members for the work that they do. He noted that the Authority has, since its inception, endeavored to be thoughtful, think of the members of the public and try to build the best foundation for the CVTA. Mr. Carroll commended Mr. Thornton for his leadership as Chair when the Authority was created.

Mr. Spoonhower commended his fellow members for their commitment to regional cooperation and thanked the Authority for all it has done for Goochland County.

Mr. Totten commented on the significance of the amount of funding that was voted on today. The approximate total of the projects is $\$ 168,000,000$ and these projects are already moving forward.

Mr. Peterson requested inviting the other inaugural CVTA members to the next meeting so they can be part of the planned group photo.

## 3. Next meeting: December 1, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. (Group Photo!)

## D. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Stoney adjourned the meeting at 10:20 a.m.


## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.
Quorum Preser YES

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0017: I-64 Oilville Road presented: Interchange with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 606,000$

|  |  |  | UNWE | GHTED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members | Population* | Weighted Votes | "Yay" | "Nay" | Abstain | Absent | Voting Check | Population "Yays" |
| Ashland | 7,873 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 7,873 |
| Charles City | 6,773 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 6,773 |
| Chesterfield | 364,548 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 364,548 |
| Goochland | 24,727 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 24,727 |
| Hanover | 102,106 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 102,106 |
| Henrico | 334,389 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 334,389 |
| New Kent | 22,945 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - |
| Powhatan | 30,333 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - |
| Richmond | 226,610 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 226,610 |
| Delegate |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| Senator |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| CTB Member |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| * Census 2020 | 1,120,304 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1,067,026 |
| A "Valid" vote requires all 12 members to have their vote |  |  |  |  |  |  | VALID |  |


| WEIGHTED |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| "Yay" | "Nay" |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 |
|  |  |

OVERALL VOTE $=$ $\qquad$

| Voting Check | VALID |
| ---: | :---: |
| Quorum Present | YES |
| $4 / 5$ Population in |  |
| Affirmative | PASS |

## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0018: Bottoms Bridge Park and Ride with CVTA
presented: funding in the amount of $\$ 198,047$

|  |  |  | UNWEIGHTED |  | COLUMN |  |  |  |  | WEIGHTED |  |  | PASS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members | Population | Weighted Votes | "Yay" | "Nay" | Abstain | Absent | Voting Check | Population "Yays" | Population "Nays" | "Yay" | "Nay" |  |  |
| Ashland | 7,873 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | Ok | 7,873 | - | 1 | 0 | Voting Check | VALID |
| Charles City | 6,773 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 6,773 | - | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Chesterfield | 364,548 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 364,548 | - | 4 | 0 | Quorum | YES |
| Goochland | 24,727 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 24,727 | - | 2 | 0 | Present |  |
| Hanover | 102,106 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 102,106334,389 | - | 3 | 0 | Populationin | PASS |
| Henrico | 334,389 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  | - | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| New Kent | 22,945 | 2 |  |  |  |  | Ok | - | - | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Powhatan | 30,333 | 2 |  |  |  |  | Ok | - | - | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Richmond | 226,610 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 226,610 | - | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| Delegate |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Senator |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| CTB Member |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| * Census 202 | 1,120,304 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1,067,026 | - | 22 | 0 |  |  |
| A "Valid" vote requires all 12 members to have their vote marked "Yay", "Nay", "Abstain" or marked "Absent". |  |  |  |  |  |  | VALID |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Quorum:
A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the Authority;
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities \& counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than A., vote FAILS.

896,243
1,067,026

PASS
If $B$. is greater than or equal than $A$., motion $P A$ If $B$. is less than $A .$, motion FAILS.

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0020: Rte 288 NB Hard
presented: Shoulder Running with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 8,000,000$

|  |  |  | UNWEI | IGHTED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members | Population* | Weighted Votes | "Yay" | "Nay" | Abstain | Absent | Voting Check | Population "Yays" |
| Ashland | 7,873 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 7,873 |
| Charles City | 6,773 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 6,773 |
| Chesterfield | 364,548 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 364,548 |
| Goochland | 24,727 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 24,727 |
| Hanover | 102,106 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 102,106 |
| Henrico | 334,389 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 334,389 |
| New Kent | 22,945 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok |  |
| Powhatan | 30,333 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - |
| Richmond | 226,610 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 226,610 |
| Delegate |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| Senator |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| CTB Member |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| * Census 2020 | 1,120,304 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1,067,026 |
|  | A "Valid" vote requires all 12 members to have their vote |  |  |  |  |  |  | VALID |  |
|  | marked "Yay", "Nay", "Abstain" or marked "Absent". |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| WEIGHTED |  | OVERALL VOTE $=$ | PASS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "Yay" | "Nay" |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | Voting Check | VALID |
| 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | Quorum Present | YES |
| 2 | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 4/5 Population in | PASS |
| 4 | 0 | Affirmative |  |

## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities $\&$ counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than $A$., vote FAILS.

896,243
$1,067,026 \leftarrow \underset{\text { If } B \text {. is greater than or equal than A., motion PASSES. }}{\text { PASS }}$
If $B$. is less than $A$., motion FAILS.

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0023: Mayo Bridge
presented: Replacement with CVTA funding in the amount of \$5,000,000


## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities $\&$ counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than $A$., vote FAILS.

896,243
$1,067,026 \leftarrow \underset{\text { If } B . \text { is greater than or equal than A., motion PASSES. }}{\text { PASS }}$
If $B$. is less than $A$., motion FAILS.

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0024: Port of Virginia
presented: Richmond Marine Terminal Access Improvements at I-95/Bells Road with CVTA funding in the

|  |  |  | UNWEI | GHTED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members | Population* | Weighted Votes | "Yay" | "Nay" | Abstain | Absent | Voting Check | Population "Yays" |
| Ashland | 7,873 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 7,873 |
| Charles City | 6,773 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 6,773 |
| Chesterfield | 364,548 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 364,548 |
| Goochland | 24,727 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 24,727 |
| Hanover | 102,106 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 102,106 |
| Henrico | 334,389 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 334,389 |
| New Kent | 22,945 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - |
| Powhatan | 30,333 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - |
| Richmond | 226,610 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 226,610 |
| Delegate |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| Senator |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| CTB Member |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| * Census 2020 | 1,120,304 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1,067,026 |
| A "Valid" vote requires all 12 members to have their vote |  |  |  |  |  |  | VALID |  |
| marked "Yay", "Nay", "Abstain" or marked "Absent". |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

OVERALL VOTE $=$ PASS

| Voting Check | VALID |
| ---: | :---: |
| Quorum Present | YES |
| $4 / 5$ Population in <br> Affirmative | PASS |

## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities $\&$ counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than $A$., vote FAILS.

896,243
$1,067,026 \leftarrow \underset{\text { If } B . \text { is greater than or equal than A., motion PASSES. }}{\text { PASS }}$
If $B$. is less than $A$., motion FAILS.

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0026: Short Pump Area
presented: Transportation Improvements with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 1,800,000$

|  |  |  | UNWEI | GHTED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members | Population* | Weighted Votes | "Yay" | "Nay" | Abstain | Absent | Voting Check | Population "Yays" |
| Ashland | 7,873 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 7,873 |
| Charles City | 6,773 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 6,773 |
| Chesterfield | 364,548 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 364,548 |
| Goochland | 24,727 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 24,727 |
| Hanover | 102,106 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 102,106 |
| Henrico | 334,389 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 334,389 |
| New Kent | 22,945 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - |
| Powhatan | 30,333 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - |
| Richmond | 226,610 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 226,610 |
| Delegate |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| Senator |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| CTB Member |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  |
| * Census 2020 | 1,120,304 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1,067,026 |
| A "Valid" vote requires all 12 members to have their vote |  |  |  |  |  |  | VALID |  |


| WEIGHTED |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| "Yay" | "Nay" |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
|  |  |

OVERALL VOTE $=$ PASS

| Voting Check | VALID |
| ---: | :---: |
| Quorum Present | YES |
| $4 / 5$ Population in <br> Affirmative | PASS |

## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities $\&$ counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than $A$., vote FAILS.

896,243
$1,067,026 \leftarrow \underset{\text { If } B . \text { is greater than or equal than A., motion PASSES. }}{\text { PASS }}$
If $B$. is less than $A$., motion FAILS.

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0033: W Broad Street
presented: Intersection Improvements in Short Pump with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 3,230,000$

|  |  |  | UNWEI | GHTED |  |  |  |  | WEI | ITED | OVERALL VOTE = | PASS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Members | Population* | Weighted Votes | "Yay" | "Nay" | Abstain | Absent | Voting Check | Population "Yays" | "Yay" | "Nay" |  |  |
| Ashland | 7,873 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 7,873 | 1 | 0 | Voting Check | VALID |
| Charles City | 6,773 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 6,773 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Chesterfield | 364,548 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 364,548 | 4 | 0 | Quorum Present | YES |
| Goochland | 24,727 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 24,727 | 2 | 0 | Quorum Present | YES |
| Hanover | 102,106 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 102,106 | 3 | 0 | 4/5 Population in | PASS |
| Henrico | 334,389 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 334,389 | 4 | 0 | Affirmative |  |
| New Kent | 22,945 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Powhatan | 30,333 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Richmond | 226,610 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 226,610 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| Delegate |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Senator |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| CTB Member |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| * Census 2020 | 1,120,304 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1,067,026 | 22 | 0 |  |  |
| A "Valid" vote requires all 12 members to have their vote |  |  |  |  |  |  | VALID |  |  |  |  |  |
| marked "Yay", "Nay", "Abstain" or marked "Absent". |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities $\&$ counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than $A$., vote FAILS.

896,243
$1,067,026 \leftarrow \underset{\text { If } B \text {. is greater than or equal than A., motion PASSES. }}{\text { PASS }}$
If $B$. is less than $A$., motion FAILS.

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0039: Staples Mill Road presented: Improvements with CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 5,670,000$


## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities $\&$ counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than $A$., vote FAILS.

896,243
$1,067,026 \leftarrow \underset{\text { If } B \text {. is greater than or equal than A., motion PASSES. }}{\text { PASS }}$
If $B$. is less than $A$., motion FAILS.

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0045: I-64 Gap Widening with presented: CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 100,000,000$

| Members | Population* | Weighted Votes | UNWEIGHTED |  |  |  |  |  | WEI | TED | OVERALL VOTE = | PASS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | "Yay" | "Nay" | Abstain | Absent | Voting Check | Population "Yays" | "Yay" | "Nay" |  |  |
| Ashland | 7,873 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 7,873 | 1 | 0 | Voting Check | VALID |
| Charles City | 6,773 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 6,773 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Chesterfield | 364,548 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 364,548 | 4 | 0 | Quorum Present | YES |
| Goochland | 24,727 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 24,727 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Hanover | 102,106 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 102,106 | 3 | 0 | 4/5 Population in | PASS |
| Henrico | 334,389 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 334,389 | 4 | 0 | Affirmative |  |
| New Kent | 22,945 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Powhatan | 30,333 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | Ok | - | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Richmond | 226,610 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok | 226,610 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| Delegate |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Senator |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| CTB Member |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | Ok |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| * Census 2020 | 1,120,304 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1,067,026 | 22 | 0 |  |  |
| A "Valid" vote requires all 12 members to have their vote |  |  |  |  |  |  | VALID |  |  |  |  |  |

## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities $\&$ counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than A., vote FAILS.

896,243
$1,067,026 \leftarrow \underset{\text { If } B \text {. is greater than or equal than A., motion PASSES. }}{\text { PASS }}$
If $B$. is less than $A$., motion FAILS.

Motion as Approve the CVTA-VDOT Special Project Agreement for Project 0002: Fall Line Trail DB \#1 with presented: CVTA funding in the amount of $\$ 8,381,1$


## Quorum:

A majority of the voting members of the Authority (or designees) shall constitute a quorum. There are
12 voting members, therefore at least 7 members must be present to constitute a quorum.

## Quorum Present

Decisions of the Authority shall require an affirmative vote of those present and voting whose votes represent at least four-fifths of the population embraced by the
A. Four-fifths of the pop. embraced by the Authority =
B. Pop. of voting members (cities $\&$ counties) present $\&$ voting in the affirmative $=$

If $B$. is less than A., vote FAILS.

896,243
$1,067,026 \leftarrow \underset{\text { If } B . \text { is greater than or equal than A., motion PASSES. }}{\text { PASS }}$
If $B$. is less than $A$., motion FAILS.

# STANDARD PROJECT ADMINISTRATION AGREEMENT CVTA Regionally Funded Projects 

| CVTA Project ID | Project Name | UPC | Local Government |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0007 | Fall Line Trail- <br> Bryan Park <br> Segment |  | CITY OF RICHMOND |

THIS AGREEMENT is hereby executed and made effective as of the date of the last (latest) signature set forth below, by and between the CITY OF RICHMOND, VIRGINIA, hereinafter referred to as the LOCALITY and the Central Virginia Transportation Authority, hereinafter referred to as the CVTA. The CVTA and the LOCALITY are collectively referred to as the "Parties."

WHEREAS, the LOCALITY has expressed its desire to administer the work described in Appendix A, and such work for each improvement shown in Appendix A is hereinafter referred to as the "Project;" and

WHEREAS, the funds shown in Appendix A have been allocated to finance the Project; and

WHEREAS, the LOCALITY is committed to the development and delivery of the Project in an expeditious manner; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have concurred in the LOCALITY's administration of the Project as shown in Appendix A in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual premises contained herein, the Parties hereto agree as follows:

1. The representations, covenants and recitations set forth in the foregoing recitals are material to this Agreement and are hereby incorporated into and made a part of this Agreement as though they were fully set forth in this Section 1.
2. The LOCALITY shall:
a. Be responsible for all activities necessary to complete the noted phase(s) of the Project as shown in Appendix A, as expressly required by federal, state, and local laws and regulations, or as otherwise agreed to, in writing, between the Parties. Every phase of the Project will be designed and constructed to meet or exceed current American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials standards when the facilities are locally maintained and shall further comply with all supplementary standards established by the Virginia Department of Transportation when the facilities are maintained by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
b. Maintain accurate and complete records of the Project's development and retain documentation of all expenditures and make such information available for inspection
or auditing by the CVTA upon request. Records and documentation for the Project shall be maintained for no less than three (3) years following the CVTA's acceptance of the final voucher on the Project.
c. Submit quarterly progress and expenditure reports, and invoices with supporting documentation to the CVTA in the form prescribed by the CVTA. The supporting documentation shall include copies of vendor and contractor invoices paid by the LOCALITY, an up-to-date Project summary and schedule, and a cash flow summary of all payment requests, payments, and adjustments in a form prescribed by the CVTA.
d. Requests for reimbursement shall be made within 90 days after any eligible project expenses are incurred by the LOCALITY. Reimbursement for eligible expenditures shall not exceed funds allocated each year for the Project by the CVTA. Additional funds for eligible expenditures for the Project may be requested and shall be approved on case-by case basis, per paragraph 4 below.
e. Provide, or have others provide, maintenance of the Project upon completion, unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties. Where the Project results in physical construction, the LOCALITY will continue to operate and maintain the Project, or have others operate and maintain the Project, in accordance with the final constructed design and applicable standards. The LOCALITY agrees that any modification of the approved design features, without the approval of the CVTA or agencies with proper oversight, may, at the discretion of the CVTA, result in restitution either physically or monetarily as determined by the CVTA.

## 3. The CVTA shall:

a. Upon receipt of the LOCALITY's invoices pursuant to paragraph 2.c, reimburse the LOCALITY the cost of eligible Project expenses, as described in Appendix A. Such reimbursements shall be payable by the CVTA within 30 days of an acceptable submission by the LOCALITY.
b. Audit the LOCALITY's Project records and documentation as may be required to verify LOCALITY compliance with applicable policies, laws, and regulations.
4. Nothing in this Agreement shall obligate the Parties hereto to expend or provide any funds in excess of funds agreed upon in this Agreement or as shall have been included in an annual or other lawful appropriation. CVTA funding is limited to the allocated funding identified in the Appendix A of this Agreement, or other sources of funding allocated to the project by the CVTA and is allocable only upon LOCALITY's compliance with all requirements of this Agreement. In the event the cost of all or part of the Project is anticipated to exceed the allocation shown on Appendix A, the Parties agree to cooperate in seeking additional funding for the Project or to terminate the Project before Project costs exceed the allocated amount. Any requested increase in CVTA funding is subject to CVTA policy and procedures applicable to the funding source and is not guaranteed. If the CVTA elects to allocate additional funds, such additional funds shall be paid from federal, state, and/or CVTA revenues, in proportions as agreed by the Parties at the time, with the goal of expending state or federal funds first before expending LOCALITY and/or CVTA
revenues.
5. In the event there is a significant reduction in costs, the LOCALITY and CVTA will work reasonably and in good faith to amend Appendix A fairly to reflect the effect of the reduction, with the goal of applying the savings to supplant LOCALITY and CVTA funding commitments, and to maximize the use of federal and state funds on the Project. If federal or state funding not previously available for the Project becomes available for any portion of the Project, then the LOCALITY and CVTA will work reasonably and in good faith to fairly allocate the additional funding, with the goal of applying the additional funding to supplant LOCALITY and CVTA funding commitments, and to maximize the use of state and federal funds on the Project.
6. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as a waiver of the LOCALITY's or CVTA's sovereign immunity.
7. The Parties mutually agree and acknowledge, in entering this Agreement, that the individuals acting on behalf of the Parties are acting within the scope of their official authority and capacity and the Parties agree that neither Party will bring a suit or assert a claim against any official, officer, or employee of either Party, in their individual or personal capacity, for a breach or violation of the terms of this Agreement or to otherwise enforce the terms and conditions of this Agreement. The foregoing notwithstanding, nothing in this Agreement shall prevent the enforcement of the terms and conditions of this Agreement by or against either Party in a competent court of law.
8. The Parties mutually agree that no provision of this Agreement shall create in the public, or in any person or entity other than the Parties, rights as a third party beneficiary hereunder, or authorize any person or entity, not a party hereto, to maintain any action for, without limitation, personal injury, property damage, breach of contract, or return of money, or property, deposit(s), cancellation or forfeiture of bonds, financial instruments, pursuant to the terms of this Agreement or otherwise. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, unless otherwise provided, the Parties agree that the LOCALITY and the CVTA shall not be bound by any agreements between either Party and other persons or entities concerning any matter which is the subject of this Agreement, unless and until the LOCALITY and the CVTA has, in writing, received a true copy of such agreement(s) and has affirmatively agreed, in writing, to be bound by such Agreement.
9. This Agreement may be terminated by either Party upon 30 days advance written notice to the other Party. Eligible Project expenses incurred through the date of termination shallbe reimbursed in accordance with paragraph 3.a., subject to the limitations established in this Agreement and Appendix A. Upon termination and unless otherwise agreed to, the CVTA shall retain ownership of plans and specifications. Right-of-way acquired with CVTA funding shall be transferred to the jurisdiction in which the Project is located, unless otherwise agreed. The LOCALITY may retain plans, specifications, and right-of-way if all CVTA funds expended for the project are reimbursed to the CVTA.
10. Prior to taking any action alleging breach of this Agreement, the CVTA shall provide notice to the LOCALITY with a specific description of the LOCALITY's breach of this

Agreement. Upon receipt of a notice of breach, the LOCALITY will be provided the opportunity to cure such breach or to provide a plan to cure to the satisfaction to the CVTA. If, within sixty (60) days after receipt of the written notice of breach, the LOCALITY has neither cured the breach, nor is diligently pursuing a cure of the breach, then upon receipt by the LOCALITY of a written notice from the CVTA stating that the breach has neither been cured, nor is the LOCALITY diligently pursuing a cure, the CVTA may exercise any remedies it may have under this Agreement or at law or in equity.
11. The LOCALITY and CVTA acknowledge and agree that this Agreement has been prepared jointly by the Parties and shall be construed in accordance with its fair meaning and not strictly for or against any Party.
12. This Agreement, when properly executed, shall be binding upon both Parties, their successors, and assigns.
13. This Agreement may be modified only in writing by mutual agreement of the Parties.

The remainder of this page is BLANK

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each party hereto has caused this Agreement to be executed as of the day, month, and year first herein written.

## LOCALITY, VIRGINIA:

Signature

Lincoln Saunders
Typed or printed name of signatory
Chief Administrative Officer
Title Date

Signature of Witness
Date

NOTE: The official signing for the LOCALITY must attach a certified copy of his or her authority to execute this agreement.

CENTRAL VIRGINIA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY:

| Chair | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Central Virginia |  |
| Transportation Authority |  |

Signature of Witness Date

## Attachments

Appendix A

## Project Details

Locality: $\qquad$
CVTA Project Name: $\qquad$
CVTA Project Number: $\qquad$ UPC Number (If Applicable):
CVTA Program Coordinator $\qquad$

This project covers sections 6b of the Fall Line Trail impcting the City of Richmond. The project will be a Scope of Services: minimum of 10 ' wide asphalt multi-use path navigating primarily within the boundaries of Bryan Park, sity owned land. The City will administer the project, handle the procurement and administer the construction phase of the project through completion.

> Allocated Project Funding Amount:
$\$ 3,000,000$

## Schedule

Milestone
Anticipated Date

| Project Scoping Meeting | 11/15/2023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Survey | 1/1/2024 |
| Utility Designation (If Applicable) |  |
| Geotechnical Engineering Report |  |
| Conceptual Design Phase Submittal (If Applicable) |  |
| Approved NEPA Document (If Applicable) |  |
| Preliminary Design Phase Submittal | 3/1/2024 |
| Citizen Information Meeting (If Applicable) | 3/1/2024 |
| Post Willingness (if Applicable) |  |
| Public Hearing (If Applicable) | 5/1/2024 |
| Utility Field Inspection (If Applicable) |  |
| ROW Design Phase Submittal (If Applicable) |  |
| ROW Acquisition |  |
| Relocate Utilities (If Applicable) |  |
| Final Construction Design Phase Submittal |  |
| Draft Invitation for Bid Submittal |  |
| Invitation for Bid Advertisement |  |
| Environmental Permits Obtained (If Applicable) |  |
| Begin Construction | 6/1/2024 |
| End Construction | 1/31/2025 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title | UPC | SS App ID | FY21 | FY22 | FY23 |  | FY24 |  | FY25 |  | FY26 |  | FY27 | FY28 |  | Total CVTA |  | Complete | Notes |
| \#FLT - DB 2 - Chesterfield, Petersburg, Colonial Heights (Segments TA 2B) | 122511 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | 9,985,050 | Estimate taken from the latest FLWG sheet; VDOT shows CVTA funds not needed but still showing a deficit for Segment 2B |
| \#FLT - DB1 - Ashland, Hanover, Henrico (Segments 7.C2 - 7.C4) | $\begin{aligned} & 121374 \\ & 119959 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | \$ 2,459,287 |  | 4,741,320 |  | 1,180,504 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 8,381,111 | \$ | - | Estimate taken from latest FLWG sheet; years based on SPA |
| \#FLT - Commerce Road - Phase II |  | 9010 |  |  |  | \$ | 5,392,981 |  | 8,467,706 |  |  |  | 13,139,313 |  | \$ | 27,000,000 | \$ | 42,063,422 | \$8M awarded in Round 2; additional \$19M recommended by FLWG; funding shifted based on TAC review |
| \#FLT - C Commerce Road - Phase I | 118946 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,391,127 |  |  | \$ | 984,860 | \$ | 624,013 |  | \$ | 3,000,000 | \$ | $(3,000,000)$ | Replacing local leverage funding on Smart Scale app (other in SYIP) |
| \#FLT - DB3? - Chesterfield ( Segments 2C - 3A) |  |  |  | \$ 25,194,503 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 25,194,503 | \$ | $(25,194,503)$ | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22); estimate and schedule update needed |
| \#FLT - Manchester Bridge (Segment 4E-R) |  |  | \$ 1,500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,500,000 | \$ | (1,500,000) | FLWC recommendation (17/2/22); estimate and |
| \#FLT - Bryan Park (Segment 6B) |  |  | \$ 3,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,000,000 | \$ | $(3,000,000)$ | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22); |
| \#FLT - Park St (Segment 6C) | 177047 |  | \$ 713,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 713,000 | \$ | $(5,000)$ | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22) |
| \#FLT - Lakeside Community Trail Ph 1 (Segment 6D.1) | 118065 |  | \$ 396,504 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 396,504 | \$ | 54,977 | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22) |
| \#FLT - Lakeside Community Trail Ph 2 (Segment 6D.2) | 118091 |  | \$ 803,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 803,000 | \$ | 32,783 | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22) |
| \#FLT - Lakeside Community Trail Ph 3 (Segment 6D.3) |  |  | \$ 3,073,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,073,000 | \$ | 1,126,000 | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22) |
| \#FLT - Brook/Hilliard Road Diet (Segment 6E) | 118153 |  | \$ 1,037,401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,037,401 | \$ | 78,599 | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22) |
| \#FLT - Villa Park Phase (Segment 6C) |  |  | \$ 3,706,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,706,000 | \$ | - | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22) |
| \#FLT - Longdale Trail (Segments 7A - 7C.1) |  |  | \$ 18,791,656 | \$ 7,299,344 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 26,091,000 | \$ | - | FLWG recommendation (17/2/22) |
| Capital Trail Crossings |  |  | \$ 234,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 234,000 | \$ |  |  |
| 1-64 at Ashland Rd (Rte 623) Interchange - DDI |  | 9135 |  |  |  | \$ | 5,314,767 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,895,820 | \$ 26,489,242 | \$ | 33,69,829 | \$ | 34,567,873 |  |
| $1-64$ at Oilville Rd (Rte 617) Interchange |  | 9411 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 606,000 | \$ | 606,000 | \$ | 520,806 | SYIP funds are Congressionally Designated; funds provided for CN |
| Bottoms Bridge Park and Ride | 120444 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 200,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 3 |  |
| Stavemill Rd Turn Lane |  |  | \$ 1,800,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,800,000 | \$ |  |  |
| Rte 288 NB Hard Shouder Running | 122147 | 9325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 8,000,000 | \$ | 8,000,000 | \$ | 7,411,254 |  |
| CreenCity Connector Trail and Bridge |  |  |  | \$ 1,655,000 | \$ 1,960,000 |  |  | \$ | 3,81,500 | \$ | 3,81,500 |  |  |  | \$ | 9,978,000 | \$ |  |  |
| F Manchester Connection to James River |  |  |  |  | \$ 564,900 | \$ | 1,034,997 |  |  | \$ | 2,372,467 |  | 2,372,467 |  | \$ | 6,344,831 | \$ | - |  |
| Mayo Bridge Replacement | 104888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000,000 |  | \$ | 5,000,000 | \$ | $(5,000,000)$ | Appears to be overfunded from SYIP figures (note re: CVTA funds in SYIP online, so may be included in total?) |
| POV Richmond Marine Terminal Access Improvements at l-95/Bells Road |  |  | \$ 2,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | - |  |
| RT 288 NB Flyover to Bailey Bridge Connector - PE ONLY |  |  | \$ 3,696,750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,696,750 | \$ |  |  |
| N. Cayton Road Interchange at 1-64 |  |  | \$ 4,985,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 4,985,000 | \$ | - |  |
| Chippenham Parkway/RT 60 Interchange Improvements |  |  | \$ 2,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | - |  |
| Rt. 301 3rd Southbound Lane |  |  |  |  | \$ 52,403 |  | 777,455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 829,858 | \$ |  |  |
| W Broad Street Intersection Improvements at Parham Road |  | 9240 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,108,624 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 502,376 |  | \$ | 2,611,000 | \$ | 23,333,691 |  |
| Rt. 1/Rt. 30 Green-T |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,574,091 |  |  | \$ | 3,440,028 |  |  |  | \$ | 4,954,199 | \$ |  |  |
| A Hull Street Phase II (US360) | 121391 | 8929 |  |  |  | s | 779,570 |  |  | + | 1,199,332 |  |  | 4,312,949 | \$ | 6,291,851 | \$ | 7,928,537 |  |
| Rt. 301/Rt. 54 Roundabout |  |  |  |  | \$ 700,000 | \$ | 905,000 |  |  | \$ | 2,919,642 |  |  |  | \$ | 4,524,642 | \$ |  |  |
| W Broad Street Improvements - Short Pump |  | 9043 |  |  | \$ 3,038,850 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 191,150 |  | \$ | 3,230,000 | \$ | 24,669,865 |  |
| 1-95/Route 10 Interchange Improvement, Phase II |  | 9270 |  |  | \$ 4,82,067 |  |  |  |  |  | 512,327 | \$ | 12,391,888 |  | \$ | 17,086,282 | \$ | 25,187,765 |  |
| Magellan Parkway Bridge and Approach Section |  |  |  | \$ 2,010,000 | 1,346,000 | \$ | 6,086,400 | \$ | 6,086,400 | \$ | 3,043,200 |  |  |  | \$ | 18,572,000 | \$ | - |  |
| C Broad Street Streetscape (US250) with Pulse Expansion Phase III |  | 9009 |  |  | \$ 2,380,938 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,547,668 | \$ | 3,871,394 |  | 5 | 8,800,000 | \$ | 13,808,713 |  |
| Brook Road Improvements - Villa Park Dr to Hilliard Rd |  |  |  |  | 1,305,000 | \$ | 1,799,000 | \$ | 2,508,672 | \$ | 2,924,800 | \$ | 1,878,528 |  | \$ | 10,416,000 | \$ |  |  |
| Woorridge Road (Route 288 - Old Hundred Road) Extension | 112974 |  |  | \$ 19,578,166 | \$ 18,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 37,578,166 | \$ | (37,578,166) | Advanced schedule based on TAC review; funding shifted on I-64 and Commerce Rd Ph 2 to accommodate |
| Staples Mill Road Improvements |  | 9041 |  |  | \$ 4,331,401 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,338,599 |  | \$ | 5,670,000 | \$ | 29,999, 278 |  |
| Woodman Road Improvements - Mountain Rd to Hungary Rd |  |  |  |  | \$ 3,270,000 | \$ | 9,397,885 | \$ | 6,102,121 | \$ | 9,078,094 |  |  |  | \$ | 27,848,000 | \$ | 34,721,308 |  |
| SB 288 Continuous HSR Lane - West Creek Parkway to Route 771 |  | 8927 |  |  | \$ 3,850,646 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 521,492 |  | \$ | 4,372,138 | \$ | 39,203,393 |  |
| Route 360 (Woodlake Pkwy to Otterdale Rd) Widening |  | 9014 |  |  | \$ 3,579,090 |  |  | \$ | 7,372,054 | \$ | 9,048,856 |  |  |  | \$ | 20,000,000 | \$ | 14,276,877 |  |



| Title | FY21 |  | FY22 |  | FY23 |  | FY24 |  |  | FY25 | FY26 |  | FY27 |  | FY28 |  | Total CVTA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regional Reserve (Target) | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 7,049,000 | \$ | 7,098,000 | \$ | 17,893,750 | \$ | 21,682,500 | 53,723,250 |  |
| Surplus Reserve (beyond Target) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | $\cdots$ | \$ | 6,251,192 | \$ | 7,172,601 | \$ | 13,423,793 |
| SUBTOTAL (TOTAL RESERVES) | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 7,049,000 | \$ | 7,098,000 | \$ | 24,144,942 | \$ | 28,855,01 |  | \$ 67,147,043 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 541,679,157 |  |
| TOTAL ALLOCATION \& RESERVE | \$ | 47,736,311 | \$ | 71,982,846 | \$ | 67,515,000 | \$ | 69,125,000 |  | 70,490,000 |  | 70,980,000 | \$ | 71,575,000 | \$ | 72,275,000 |  |  |
| PROJECTED \& ACTUAL REVENUE | \$ | 47,736,311 | \$ | 71,982,846 | \$ | 67,515,000 | \$ | 69,12,000 |  | 70,490,000 |  | 70,980,000 | \$ | 7,57,000 | \$ | 72,275,000 | 541,679,157 |  |
| DIFFERENCE | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  |
|  | Actual |  |  | Actual | Projected |  | Projected |  | Projected |  | Projected |  | Projected |  | Projected |  |  |  |

> PROCRAMMING NOTES Funds generally programmed based on schedule (SYIP >SS Rd $5>$ CVTA application)


| Avg Annual Rd 3 <br> Est (8 yrs) | $\$$ | $84,548,750$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 3-month <br> reserve (based <br> on Rd 3 est) | $\$$ | $21,137,188$ |
|  <br> FY24) | $\$$ | $20,440,000$ |
| Remaining <br> Need (Reserve <br> cover) | $\$$ | 697,188 |


| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 3 , 5 7 3 , 0 4 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total minus <br> Reserve | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 3 , 1 3 3 , 0 4 3}$ |


| Summary | Estimated Spen | nd Down Schedule 429,212,209 | CVTA Regional P tal Proceeds |  | Date | 20-Nov-23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projects |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regional Allocation |  | \$4,662,308 | \$234,000 | \$80,361,198 | \$34,305,829 | \$10,308,619 | \$83,310,000 | \$125,200,000 | \$1,800,000 | \$12,372,138 | \$33,575,614 | \$43,082,503 |  | \$429,212,209 | At 09/25/2023 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Charles city | Chesterfield | Goochland | Hanover |  |  | Powhatan | Powhatan/Goochland | Regionwide | Richmond | Distributed |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ashland Projects | Projects | Projects | Projects | Projects | Henrico Projects | New Kent Projects | Projects | Projects | Projects | Projects | geographically | Total | Summary Projects |  | CVTA Regional |
| FY23 Q1 | July - Sept | 50 | so | 50 | so | s0 | so | so | 50 | so | \$614,822 | so | so | \$614,822 | Ashland Projects |  | \$4,662,308 |
| FY23 Q 2 | Oct- Dec | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$614,822 | \$0 | \$0 | \$614,822 | Charles city Projects |  | \$234,000 |
| FY23 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Jan - March | \$0 | \$0 | s0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$614,822 | \$0 | \$0 | \$614,822 | Chesterfield Projects |  | \$80,361,198 |
| FY23 Q4 | April - June | \$0 | \$0 | \$272,000 | \$0 | \$8,485 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$614,822 | so | \$0 | \$899,307 | Goochland Projects |  | \$34,305,829 |
| FY24 Q1 | July - Sept | \$0 | \$0 | \$978,000 | \$0 | \$220,000 | \$0 | \$13,286,969 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,185,330 | \$1,000,000 | \$0 | \$16,670,299 | Hanover Projects |  | \$10,308,619 |
| FY24 Q2 | Oct- Dec | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,375,000 | \$0 | \$205,000 | \$2,196,250 | \$13,286,969 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,185,330 | \$0 | \$0 | \$18,248,549 | Henrico Projects |  | \$83,310,000 |
| FY24 Q 3 | Jan - March | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,116,750 | \$664,345 | \$410,000 | \$3,990,146 | \$13,286,969 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,704,780 | \$0 | \$0 | \$23,172,990 | New Kent Projects |  | \$125,200,000 |
| FY24 Q 4 | April - June | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,930,000 | \$664,346 | \$410,000 | \$4,190,146 | \$13,286,969 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,704,780 | \$500,000 | \$0 | \$26,886,241 | Powhatan Projects |  | \$1,800,000 |
| FY25 Q1 | July - Sept | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,500,000 | \$332,173 | \$460,000 | \$3,990,146 | \$2,562,900 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,814,576 | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | \$19,159,795 | Powhatan/Goochland Projects |  | \$12,372,138 |
| FY25 Q 2 | Oct- - Dec | \$0 | \$0 | \$8,450,000 | \$332,173 | \$260,000 | \$2,943,896 | \$11,926,381 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,814,576 | \$2,500,000 | \$0 | \$29,227,026 | Regionwide Projects |  | \$33,575,614 |
| FY25 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Jan - March | \$0 | \$0 | \$8,400,000 | \$332,173 | \$50,000 | \$4,743,896 | \$11,926,381 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,814,576 | \$1,844,831 | \$0 | \$30,111,857 | Richmond Projects |  | \$43,082,503 |
| FY25 Q 4 | April - June | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,65,000 | \$332,173 | \$550,000 | \$5,743,896 | \$12,126,381 | \$0 | so | \$2,814,575 | \$0 | so | \$27,217,025 | VDOT Projects |  | \$0 |
| FY26 Q1 | July - Sept | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,060,000 | \$332,173 | \$2,000,000 | \$5,743,896 | \$13,005,040 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,519,450 | \$3,000,000 | \$0 | \$30,660,559 |  |  | \$0 |
| FY26 Q 2 | Oct- Dec | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,735,000 | \$332,173 | \$900,000 | \$6,993,896 | \$13,005,041 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,519,451 | \$3,291,851 | \$0 | \$29,77, 412 |  |  | \$0 |
| FY26 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Jan - March | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,308,166 | \$332,173 | \$900,000 | \$9,693,896 | \$3,75,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,519,451 | \$0 | \$0 | \$18,503,686 |  |  | \$0 |
| FY26 Q 4 | April - June | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$332,173 | \$900,000 | \$9,065,896 | \$3,75,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,519,451 | \$0 | \$0 | \$16,567,520 |  |  | \$0 |
| FY27 Q1 | July- Sept | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$332,173 | \$600,000 | \$6,60,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,000,000 | \$0 | \$10,532,173 |  | Total | \$429,212,209 |
| FY27 Q2 | Oct- Dec | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$332,173 | \$925,000 | \$5,794,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,000,000 | \$0 | \$10,051,173 |  |  |  |
| FY27 Q 3 | Jan - March | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$332,173 | \$689,642 | \$3,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,800,000 | \$0 | \$13,821,815 |  |  |  |
| FY27 Q4 | April - June | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$332,173 | \$0 | \$3,048,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$12,645,821 | \$0 | \$16,025,994 |  |  |  |
| FY28 $\mathrm{Q}^{1}$ | July- Sept | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$606,000 | \$0 | \$740,260 | \$0 | \$0 | 58,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,346,260 |  |  |  |
| FY28 Q 2 | Oct - Dec | \$0 | so | so | so | \$0 | \$740,260 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$740,260 |  |  |  |
| FY28 $\mathrm{Q}^{3}$ | Jan - March | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$740,260 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$740,260 |  |  |  |
| FY28 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | April - June | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,895,820 | \$0 | \$740,260 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,636,080 |  |  |  |
| FY29 Q1 | July - Sept | \$0 | \$0 | 50 | \$6,622,310 | \$0 | s0 | S0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,622,310 |  |  |  |
| FY29 Q 2 | Oct- Dec | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,622,310 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,622,310 |  |  |  |
| FY29 Q 3 | Jan - March | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,622,311 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,622,311 |  |  |  |
| FY29 Q | April - June | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,622,311 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,622,311 |  |  |  |
| Planned Spend Down |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$44,774,916 | \$34,305,829 | \$9,488,127 | \$80,699,000 | \$125,200,000 | \$0 | \$8,000,000 | \$33,57, 614 | \$43,082,503 | \$0 | \$379,125,989 |  |  | ( $5429,212,209$ ) |
| Remainder |  | \$4,662,308 | \$234,000 | \$35,586,282 | s0 | \$820,492 | \$2,611,000 | so | \$1,800,000 | \$4,372,138 | s0 | s0 | \$0 | \$50,086,220 |  |  |  |

Ashland Estimated Spend Down Schedule - CVTA Regional Projects
4,662,308 Total Proceeds


## * VDOT Administered Project

Planned Spend Down

## Charles City Estimated Spend Down Schedule - CVTA Regional Projects

234,000 Total Proceeds

## Projects

Hold on programming

Regional Allocation
234,000

* VDOT Administered Project

Planned Spend Down


Goochland Estimated Spend Down Schedule - CVTA Regional Projects


* VDOT Administered Project

Planned Spend Down

Hanover Estimated Spend Down Schedule - CVTA Regional Project
10,308,619 Total Proceeds


Projects

At 09/25/2023


## Projects Hold on programmin

At 09/25/2023

| Henrico Project | A Regional |
| :---: | :---: |
| Greencity Connector Trail and Bridge | 9,978,000 |
| Short Pump Area Transportation Improvements | 3,185,000 |
| Magellan Parkway Bridge and Approach Section | 18,572,000 |
| Brook Road Improvements - Villa Park Dr to Hilliard Rd | 10,416,000 |
| Woodman Road Improvements - Mountain Rd to Hungary Rd | 27,848,000 |
| *W Broad Street Intersection Improvements at Parham Road Nc | 2,611,000 |
| *W Broad Street Improvements - Short Pump | 3,23,000 |
| * Staples Mill Road Improvements | 5,670,000 |
| * Short Pump Area Transportation Improvements - vDOT NEPA | 1,800,000 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Total | 83,3 |

[^0]New Kent Estimated Spend Down Schedule - CVTA Regional Projects
$125,200,000$ Total Proceeds


New Kent Projects CVTA Regional 06 Interchange 25,000,000 * Bottoms Bridge Par 200,000 ${ }^{*}$ I-64 Gap Widening $\quad 53,210,776$ *-64 Gap Widening 46,789,224

## * VDOT Administered Project

Potential Future Application

Planned Spend Down
25,000,000
200,000
53,210,776
46,789,224
125,200,000
Remainder

Powhatan Estimated Spend Down Schedule - CVTA Regional Projects 1800,000 Total Proceeds


## VDOT Administered Project

Planned Spend Down

Powhatan/Goochland Estimated Spend Down Schedule - CVTA Regional Projects
12,372,138 Total Proceeds

Projects Hold on programming


## At 09/25/2023

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Powhatan/Goochland Projects } & \text { CVTA Regional } \\ \text { * Rte } 288 \text { NB Hard Shoulder Runr } & 8,000,000\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lr}\text { * Rte } 288 \text { NB Hard Shoulder Runr } & \mathbf{8 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0} \\ \text { * SB } 288 \text { Continuous HSR Lane }-1 & \mathbf{4 , 3 7 2 , 1 3 8}\end{array}$

## * VDOT Administered Project

Regionwide Estimated Spend Down Schedule - CVTA Regional Projects
33,575,614 Total Proceeds

| Regional Allocation |  | 8,381,111 | 25,194,503 | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 33,575,614 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * Fall Line Trail: DB-1 Ashland, Hanover, Henrico (Segments 7.C2 <br> 7.C4) | * Fall Line Trail: DB-2 Chesterfield, Petersburg, Colonial Heights (Segments 1A 2B) Note: SPA agreement pending completion of scope/schedule/estimate updates to DB-2. | * Fall Line Trail: DB-3 Chesterfield (Segments 2C - <br> 3A) Note: CVTA funding proposed to be transferred to DB-2. |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |  |  | - | Total |
| FY23 Q1 | July - Sept | 614,822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 614,822 |
| FY23 Q2 | Oct - Dec | 614,822 | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 614,822 |
| FY23 Q3 | Jan - March | 614,822 | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 614,822 |
| FY23 Q4 | April - June | 614,822 | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 614,822 |
| FY24 Q1 | July - Sept | 1,185,330 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,185,330 |
| FY24 Q2 | Oct - Dec | 1,185,330 | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 1,185,330 |
| FY24 Q3 | Jan - March | 1,185,330 | 2,519,450 | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 3,704,780 |
| FY24 Q4 | April - June | 1,185,330 | 2,519,450 | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 3,704,780 |
| FY25 Q1 | July - Sept | 295,126 | 2,519,450 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,814,576 |
| FY25 Q2 | Oct - Dec | 295,126 | 2,519,450 | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 2,814,576 |
| FY25 Q3 | Jan - March | 295,126 | 2,519,450 | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 2,814,576 |
| FY25 Q4 | April - June | 295,125 | 2,519,450 | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 2,814,575 |
| FY26 Q1 | July - Sept | - | 2,519,450 | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 2,519,450 |
| FY26 Q2 | Oct - Dec | - | 2,519,451 | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 2,519,451 |
| FY26 Q3 | Jan - March | - | 2,519,451 | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 2,519,451 |
| FY26 Q4 | April - June | - | 2,519,451 | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | 2,519,451 |
| FY27 Q1 | July - Sept | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| FY27 Q2 | Oct - Dec | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| FY27 Q3 | Jan - March | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| FY27 Q4 | April - June | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| FY28 Q1 | July - Sept | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| FY28 Q2 | Oct - Dec | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| FY28 Q3 | Jan - March | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| FY28 Q4 | April - June | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| FY29 Q1 | July - Sept | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| FY29 Q2 | Oct - Dec | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| FY29 Q3 | Jan - March | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| FY29 Q4 | April - June | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |

* VDOT Administered Project
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Regionwide Projects } & \text { CVTA Regional } \\ \text { * Fall Line Trail: DB-1 A } & 8,381,111\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lr}\text { * Fall Line Trail: DB-1 A } & 8,381,111 \\ \text { * Fall Line Trail: DB-2 C } & 25,194,503\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { * Fall Line Trail: DB-2 C } \\ & \text { 25,194,503 }\end{array}$


| Richmond Projects |
| :--- |
| Manchester Connection to James River |
| CVTA Regional |
| $6,344,831$ |

 * May''s Bridge (North) Replacement US 360 Crossing James River
A Hull Street Phase II (US360) G Broad Street Streetscape (US250) with Pulse Expansion Phase III B Forest Hill Avenue Phase II

* PoV Richmond Marine Terminal Access Improvements at $1.95 / \mathrm{Bells}$ ।2,500,000
$2,50,000$
$6,291,851$$2,500,000$
6,2181851
$8,800,000$
8 $8,800,000$
$14,655,81$
$2,000,000$


|  |  | Capital Trail Crossings Note: SPA agreement not needed. Project to be funded via VDOT Capital Trail Maintenance funds | I-64 at Ashland Rd (Rte 623) Interchange - DDI | 1-64 at Oilville Rd <br> (Rte 617) Interchange | Bottoms Bridge Park and Ride | Stavemill Rd Turn Lane Note: Project on hold per locality | Rte 288 NB Hard Shoulder Running | POV Richmond Marine Terminal Access Improvements at I95/Bells Road | W Broad Street Intersection Improvements at Parham Road Note: CVTA/VDOT SPA not needed as this project will be administered by Henrico | W Broad Street Improvements Short Pump | Staples Mill Road Improvements | Rte 288 - New SB <br> Auxiliary Lane South of U.S. 250 <br> Note: CVTA regional funding transferred to I64 @ Ashland Rd Interchange Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY23 Q1 | July - Sept |  | - - | - | - |  |  | - - |  |  |  |  |
| FY23 Q2 | Oct - Dec |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY23 Q3 | Jan - March |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY23 Q4 | April - June |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY24 Q1 | July - Sept |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY24 Q2 | Oct - Dec |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY24 Q3 | Jan - March |  | 664,345 | - | - |  | - | - |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY24 Q4 | April - June |  | 664,346 | - | - |  | - | 500,000 |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY25 Q1 | July - Sept |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | 500,000 |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY25 Q2 | Oct - Dec |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | 500,000 |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY25 Q3 | Jan - March |  | 322,173 | - | - |  | - | 500,000 |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY25 Q4 | April - June |  | 332,173 | - | 200,000 |  | - | - |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY26 Q1 | July - Sept |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | - |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY26 Q2 | Oct - Dec |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | - |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY26 Q3 | Jan - March |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | - |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY26 Q4 | April - June |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | - |  | 323,000 | 270,896 |  |
| FY27 Q1 | July - Sept |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY27 Q2 | Oct - Dec |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| FY27 Q3 | Jan - March |  | 332,173 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY27 Q4 | April - June |  | 322,173 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY28 Q1 | July - Sept |  | - | 606,000 | - |  | 8,000,000 | - |  | - | 740,260 |  |
| FY28 Q2 | Oct - Dec |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | 740,260 |  |
| FY28 Q3 | Jan - March |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | 740,260 |  |
| FY28 Q4 | April - June |  | 1,895,820 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | 740,260 |  |
| FY29 Q1 | July - Sept |  | 6,622,310 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY29 Q2 | Oct - Dec |  | 6,622,310 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY29 Q3 | Jan - March |  | 6,622,311 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| FY29 Q4 | April - June |  | 6,622,311 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
|  |  | - | 33,699,829 | 606,000 | 200,000 | - | 8,000,000 | 2,000,000 | - | 3,230,000 | 5,670,000 | - |
|  |  | 234,000.00 | - | - | - | 1,800,000.00 | - | - | 2,611,000.00 | - | - | - |


| 4,372,138 | 4,662,308 | 8,381,111 | 25,194,503 | - | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 1,800,000 | 53,210,776 | 46,789,224 | 207,460,889 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vaughan Road Overpass Note: could be a priority in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB 288 | coming months |  | Fall Line Trail: DB-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuous HSR | based on |  | Chesterfield, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane - West | results of |  | Petersburg, Colonial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Creek Parkway | pending |  | Heights (Segments 1A- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| to Route 711 | Railroad | Fall Line Trail: DB | 2B) Note: SPA | Fall Line Trail: DB-3 | Mayo's Bridge | Mayo's Bridge |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Project | Crossing | 1 Ashland, | agreement pending | Chesterfield (Segments | (South) | (North) | Short Pump Area |  |  |  |
| may be cancelled | Elimination | Hanover, Henrico | completion of | 2C-3A) Note: CVTA | Replacement US | Replacement US | Transportation |  |  |  |
| due to lack of SS | Grant | (Segments 7.c2- | scope/schedule/estima | funding proposed to be | 360 Crossing James | 360 Crossing James | Improvements - VDOT | I-64 Gap Widening | I-64 Gap Widening |  |
| Rnd 5 funding. | applications. | 7.c4) | te updates to DB-2. | transferred to DB-2. | River | River | NEPA Study | Segment A | Segment B | Total |
|  |  | 614,822 | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | 614,822 |
|  |  | 614,822 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 614,822 |
|  |  | 614,822 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 614,822 |
|  |  | 614,822 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 614,822 |
|  |  | 1,185,330 | - |  | - | - | - | 13,286,969 | - | 14,472,299 |
|  |  | 1,185,330 | - |  | - | - | - | 13,286,969 | - | 14,472,299 |
|  |  | 1,185,330 | 2,519,450 |  | - | - | 450,000 | 13,286,969 | - | 18,699,990 |
|  |  | 1,185,330 | 2,519,450 |  | - | - | 450,000 | 13,286,969 | - | 19,199,991 |
|  |  | 295,126 | 2,519,450 |  | - | - | 450,000 | 62,900 | - | 4,753,545 |
|  |  | 295,126 | 2,519,450 |  | - | - | 450,000 | - | 9,426,381 | 14,117,026 |
|  |  | 295,126 | 2,519,450 |  | - | - | - | - | 9,426,381 | 13,667,026 |
|  |  | 295,125 | 2,519,450 |  | - | - | - | - | 9,426,381 | 13,367,025 |
|  |  | - | 2,519,450 |  | - | - | - | - | 9,255,040 | 12,700,559 |
|  |  | - | 2,519,451 |  | - | - | - | - | 9,255,041 | 12,700,561 |
|  |  | - | 2,519,451 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 3,445,520 |
|  |  | - | 2,519,451 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 3,445,520 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 332,173 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 332,173 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 332,173 |
|  |  | - | - |  | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | - | - | - | 5,332,173 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 9,346,260 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 740,260 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 740,260 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 2,636,080 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 6,622,310 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 6,622,310 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 6,622,311 |
|  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 6,622,311 |
| - | - | 8,381,111 | 25,194,503 | - | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 1,800,000 | 53,210,776 | 46,789,224 | 193,781,443 |
| 4,372,138.00 | 4,662,308.00 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,679,446.00 |

-64 at Ashland Rd (Rte 623) Interchange - DDI $\quad \mathbf{3 3 , 6 9 9 , 8 2 9}$
-64 at Oilville Rd (Rte 617) Interchange - DDI 606,000
Bottoms Bridge Park and Ride $\quad 200,000$
Stavemill Rd Turn Lane Note: Project on hold per locality $\quad 1,800,000$

Rte 288 NB Hard Shoulder Running
800,000

W Broad Street Intersection Improvements at Parham Road Note: CVTA/VDOT SPA not needed as this project will be administered by Henrico
$\mathbf{3 , 2 3 0 , 0 0 0}$

Staples Mill Road Improvements
3,230,000
Rte 288 - New SB Auxiliary Lane South of U.S. 250 Note: CVTA regional funding transferred to l-64 @ Ashland Rd Interchange Project
SB 288 Continuous HSR Lane - West Creek Parkway to Route 711 Note: Project may be cancelled due to lack of SS Rnd 5 funding.
5,670,000
4,372,138
Vaughan Road Overpass Note: could be a priority in coming months based on results of pending Railroad Crossing Elimination Grant applications.
4,662,308
fall Line Trail: DB-1 Ashland, Hanover, Henrico (Segments 7.C2-7.C4)
8,381,111
Fall Line Trail: DB-2 Chesterfield, Petersburg, Colonial Heights (Segments 1A - 2B) Note: SPA agreement pending completion of scope/schedule/estimate updates to DB-2.
Mayo's Bridge (South) Replacement US 360 Crossing James River
2,500,000
2,500,000
Mayo's Bridge (North) Replacement US 360 Crossing James River
1,800,000
Imp Area Transportation Improvements - VDOT NEPA Study
53,210,776
46,789,224

CENTRAL VIRGINIA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
Tax Collections and Allocations
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

|  | JuneTax Activity$09 / 30 / 23$ |  |  |  | August Tax Activity |  | September Tax Activity |  | October Tax Activity |  | November Tax Activity |  | December Tax Activity |  | YTD |  | LTD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Distribution Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receipt of Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales and Use Tax | \$ | 13,837,841 | \$ | 14,286,440 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 28,124,281 | \$ | 438,574,577 |
| Local Fuels Tax |  | 3,842,244 |  | 4,369,570 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 8,211,815 |  | 151,992,938 |
| Total Receipt of Taxes |  | 17,680,085 |  | 18,656,010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 36,336,096 |  | 590,567,514 |
| Cash Outflows \& Transfers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Fund |  | 325,048 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 325,048 |  | 2,019,768 |
| Regional Fund |  | 6,074,263 |  | 6,442,722 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 12,516,985 |  | 205,904,830 |
| Payments to GRTC |  | 2,603,256 |  | 2,798,402 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,401,657 |  | 88,282,162 |
| Total transfers |  | 9,002,567 |  | 9,241,124 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 18,243,690 |  | 296,206,760 |
| Local Distributions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashland |  | 28,669 |  | 34,903 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 63,571 |  | 986,859 |
| Charles City |  | 39,251 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 39,251 |  | 1,811,301 |
| Chesterfield |  | 2,582,822 |  | 2,716,292 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,299,114 |  | 84,503,156 |
| Goochland |  | 203,589 |  | 205,763 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 409,352 |  | 7,304,413 |
| Hanover |  | 1,223,007 |  | 1,341,443 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,564,451 |  | 40,839,622 |
| Henrico |  | 2,775,521 |  | 3,002,053 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,777,573 |  | 94,428,951 |
| New Kent |  | 153,946 |  | 219,171 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 373,117 |  | 6,957,998 |
| Powhatan |  | 195,798 |  | 223,703 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 419,501 |  | 6,555,977 |
| Richmond |  | 1,474,916 |  | 1,671,559 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,146,475 |  | 50,972,479 |
| Total local distributions |  | 8,677,519 |  | 9,414,887 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 18,092,405 |  | 294,360,755 |
| Local Distribution Fund ending balance | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $\stackrel{(0)}{ }$ |
| Operating Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance, July 1, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 678,669 |  |  |
| Transfer from Local Distribution Fund | \$ | 325,048 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | 325,048 |  |  |
| Prior year accounts payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Payment of operating costs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Interest income |  | 2,630 |  | 3,772 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6,402 |  |  |
| Operating Fund ending balance | \$ | 327,678 | \$ | 3,772 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,010,119 |  |  |
| Regional Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance, July 1, 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 198,993,043 |  |  |
| Transfer from Local Distribution Fund | \$ | 6,074,263 | \$ | 6,442,722 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | 12,516,985 |  |  |
| Payment for regional projects |  | $(234,759)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(234,759)$ |  |  |
| Interest income |  | 582,074 |  | 632,530 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,214,604 |  |  |
| Receivable Due from Locality |  |  |  | 86,881 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86,881 |  |  |
| Regional Fund ending balance | \$ | 6,421,578 | \$ | 7,162,133 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 212,576,755 |  |  |

## MONTHLY INVESTMENT REPORT

REBECCA R. LONGNAKER, CPA, MGT INVESTMENT OFFICER
9211 Forest Hill Avenue
Richmond, VA 23235
Office: 804-748-1201
Email: longnakerr@chesterfield.gov www.chesterfield.gov/treasurer

OCTOBER 2023
This investment report for October 2023 is presented in accordance with the Investment Policy of the Treasurer of Chesterfield County, Virginia. The objective of the policy is to obtain the highest possible yield on available financial assets, consistent with constraints imposed by safety objectives, cash flow considerations and the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia that restrict the placement of public funds. All investments held are in compliance with this policy.

Investments at a glance (as of $10 / 31 / 2023$ )

Total Book Value
\$205,957,322.33

Average Days to Maturity
Yield to Maturity 365 Day Equivalent
5.51\%

## Investment Type Allocation



| Portfolio Mix | Balance |
| :--- | ---: |
| Local Govt. Invest. Pool (LGIP) | $\$ 57,435,073.05$ |
| Virginia Investment Pool (VIP) | $\$ 78,987,849.27$ |
| Federal Agency Discount | $\$ 0$ |
| Federal Agency Coupon | $\$ 0$ |
| Corporate Bond | $\$ 0$ |
| Commercial Paper | $\$ 9,679,683.15$ |
| Certificate of Deposit | $\$ 50,115,077.97$ |
| US Treasury Discount | $\$ 9,739,638.89$ |
| Total | $\$ \mathbf{2 0 5 , 9 5 7 , 3 2 2 . 3 3}$ |

## Cumulative Interest Earnings FY2024



Interest earnings through October 2023 reflect the highest yields since inception. Short term yields continue to remain high to combat inflation. Cash flow projections provided by the financial advisory committee will determine how long maturities may be invested.

## Benchmark Comparisons

The 91-Day Treasury Bill, 6-Month Treasury Bill and the Virginia Treasury Prime Liquidity are used as benchmarks for Central Virginia Transit Authority's (CVTA) portfolio performance. The portfolio outperformed one of the yield benchmarks and all of the year-over-year change benchmarks for the month of October. High inflation continues to keep short term yields high.

YIELD BENCHMARKING

|  | CURRENT YIELD | YEAR AGO | YoY CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Portfolio | $5.51 \%$ | $2.99 \%$ | $2.52 \%$ pts |
| 91-day T-Bill | $5.60 \%$ | $3.87 \%$ | $1.73 \%$ pts |
| 6-month T-Bill | $5.57 \%$ | $4.31 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ pts |
| Va. Treas. Prime Liq. | $4.70 \%$ | $2.58 \%$ | $2.12 \%$ pts |

## Compliance Report

The Treasurer's Investment Policy specifies limits on categories of investments to obtain diversification and avoid incurring unreasonable risk inherent in over-investing in specific instruments. CVTA's Investment Portfolio is in compliance with the Treasurer's Investment Policy.

|  | Code of Virginia |  |  | Treasurer's Investment Policy |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Category | Maximum Maturity | Authorized \% Limit | Rating Requirement | Maximum Maturity | Authorized \% Limit | Rating Requirement | October <br> Actual \% |
| Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP) | N/A | 100\% | N/A | N/A | 100\% | N/A | 27.89\% |
| Virginia Investment Pool Trust Fund (VIP) | N/A | 100\% | N/A | N/A | 100\% | N/A | 38.35\% |
| Federal Agency Discount | No Limit | 100\% | N/A | 5 Years | 100\% | N/A | 0.00\% |
| Federal Agency Coupon | No Limit | 100\% | N/A | 5 Years | 100\% | N/A | 0.00\% |
| Corporate Bonds | 5 Years | 100\% | AA/Aa | 5 Years | 100\% | AA/Aa | 0.00\% |
| Commercial Paper | 270 Days | 35\% | A1/P1/F1/D1 | 270 Days | 35\% | A1/P1/F1/D1 | 4.70\% |
| Certificate of Deposit | 5 Years | 100\% | A1/P1/AA/Aa | 5 Years | 100\% | A1/P1/AA/Aa | 24.33\% |
| U.S. Treasury Discount | No Limit | 100\% | N/A | 5 Years | 100\% | N/A | 4.73\% |

## Understanding Key Investment Terms

| Local Government <br> Investment Pool (LGIP) | A State-administered fund that enables governmental entities to realize the economies of large-scale investing and <br> professional funds management. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Virginia Investment Pool <br> Trust Fund (VIP) | A fund, administered by the Virginia Municipal League (VML) and Virginia Association of Counties (VACo), that <br> provides political subdivisions of the Commonwealth of Virginia the ability to pool their funds and invest under the <br> direction and daily supervision of a professional fund manager. |
| Federal Agency Discount | Fixed income government agency obligations priced below par and maturing in more than one year. |
| Federal Agency Coupon | Fixed income government agency obligations with a stated interest rate and maturing in more than one year. |
| Corporate Bonds | Corporate Bonds are debt securities issued by publicly-held corporations to raise money for expansion or other busi- <br> ness needs with a rating from at least two agencies of Aa by Moody's Investor Service, Inc., AA by Standard and <br> Poors, Inc. or AA by Fitch, and a maturity of no more than five years. |
| Commercial Paper | "Prime Quality" paper with a maturity of 270 days or less and rated at least prime 1, A-1, or F-1 by the major credit <br> rating agencies. |
| Certificate of Deposit | Negotiable certificates of deposits of domestic banks and domestic offices of foreign banks with a rating of at least <br> A-1 or P-1 for maturities one year or less, and AA or Aa for maturities over one year and not exceeding five years. |
| U.S. Treasury Discount | Fixed income government securities priced below par and maturing in less than one year. |


[^0]:    Note: $\$ 1,800,000$ is being set aside for VDOT
    to conduct the NEPA for
    this project

